55.701

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appellee

VS.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT OF
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Honorable Woody R. Densen, Judge Presiding

VOLUME XXVII OF X VOLUMES

JUNE 6, 1994

ORIGINAL

GINA BENCH Certified Court Reporter Harris County, Texas

FILEU IN COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

FEB 1 5 1995

Thomas Lowe, Clerk

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

2		
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION: JUNE 6, 1994	PAGE
4	APPELLATE PAGE	1
5	APPEARANCES	2
6	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 6, 1994	3
7	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. GUTIERREZ	7
8	STATE'S WITNESSES:	
9	EDUARDO BELLAS	10
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	12 44 60
11	ERIC BENGE	00
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	61 128
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	156
14	LEE ROSE Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	158
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	164
16	Recross Examination by Mr. Gutlerrez	171 172
17	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	174
18	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	1/4
19		
20		
21		
2 2		
2 3	i	
24		
2.5	//	

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

-								
2	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 6, 1994						PAGE	
3	APPELLATE PAGE				•	•	1	
4	APPEARANCES		•	•	•		2	
5	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 6, 1994		•		•		3	
6	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. GUTIERREZ	•	•		•	•	7	
7	STATE'S WITNESSES:							
8	EDUARDO BELLAS Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez						12	
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu			•			44	
L 0	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	60	
11	ERIC BENGE Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez				•		61	
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu				•	•	128	
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	•	•	•	•	156	
13	LEE ROSE							
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez						158 164	
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	•	•	•	:	170	
15	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu						171	
16	Further Redirect by Mr. Gutierrez						172	
17	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	•	•	•	•		174	
18								
19								
20								
21				ii	Ĺ			
2 2						8.7		
2 3								
2 4								
2 5								

Ε	X	H	I	В	I	T	S

_							
2	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	IDENT- IFIED	OFFERED	REC'D	
3							
4	SX-1	Diagram	73	73	73	74	
	SX-2	Autopsy Report	32	32	33	34	
5	(Sealed)						
6	SX-10	Photograph	99	99 99	100 100	101 101	
7	SX-10A SX-10B	Photograph Photograph	99 99	99	100	101	
,	SX-10B	Photograph	99	99	100	101	
8	SX-10D	Photograph	99	99	100	101	
J							
9	SX-3-13	Photographs	32	33	33	34	
10	SX-14-34	Photographs	88	88	88	88	
11	SX-35	Photograph	98	98	105	105	
12	SX-36	Photograph	98	98	106	105	
13	sx-37	Photograph	98	98	106	106	
14	sx-38	Photograph	98	98	106	106	
15	sx-39	Photograph	98	98	106	106	
16	SX-40	Photograph	85	86	86	86	
17	SX-41	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
18	SX-42	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
	SX-42A	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
19	SX-43	Dhatamanh	98	98	99	104	
~ ~	SX-43 SX-43A	Photograph Photograph	98	98	99	104	
20	On 45h	Inocograpii	30	50	,,	101	
21	SX-44	Photograph	(Withd	rawn)			
22	SX-45	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
23	SX-46	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
24	SX-47 SX-48	Photograph	98	98	99	104 104	
	DA-48	Photograph	98	98/	99	104	
25	SX-49	Photograph	98	98	99	104	
	SX-50	Photograph	98	98	99	104	i

EXHIBITS

2						
_	EXHIBIT			IDENT-		22012
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	<u>IFIED</u>	OFFERED	REC'D
4	SX-51	Photograph	98	98	99	104
5	SX-52	Photograph	98	98	99	104
6	SX-53	Photograph		ndrawn)		
	SX-54	Photograph	(WITI	ndrawn)		
7	SX-55	Photograph	112	113	112	112
_	SX-56-58	Photographs	112	115	112	112
8	SA-56-56	Photographs	112	113	112	
9	SX-59	Photograph	112	116	112	112
,	SX-59A	Photograph	112	116	112	112
10	SX-60	Photograph	112	112	112	112
10	SX-61	Photograph	112	112	112	112
11	SX-62	Photograph	112	112	112	112
	SX-63	Photograph	112	112	112	112
12	SX-64	Photograph	112	112	112	112
12	SX-65	Photograph	112	112	112	112
13	SX-66	Photograph	112	112	112	112
13	SX-67	Photograph	112	112	112	112
14	SX-68	Photograph	112	112	112	112
14	SX-69	Photograph	112	112	112	112
15	SX-70	Photograph	112	112	112	112
13	SX-71	Photograph	112	112	112	112
16	SX-72	Photograph	112	112	112	112
10	SX-73	Photograph	112	112	112	112
17		22				106
	SX-76	Box	123	123	125	126
18	SX-77	Piece of carpet	123	124		206
	SX-78	Pants	123	124	125	126
19	SX-79	Underwear	123	124	125	126
2.2	SX-84	Plastic bag	126	126	126	127
20	SX-85	Plastic bag	40	126	126	127
	SX-86	Plastic bag	40	126	126	127
21	SX-87	Oral swab	40	41	126	127
	SX-88	Vaginal swab	40	41	126	127
22	SX-89	Rectal swab	40	41	126	127
23	SX-90	Bag	38	38	126	127
	SX-91	Pulled head hair	38	40	126	127
24	SX-91	Pulled pubic hair		39	126	127
	SX-92 SX-93	Loose hair/fiber	38	40	126	127
25	SX-94	Fingernails	38	40	126	127
		-			ii	

THE COURT: Mr. Cantu, did you want to put something on the record?

would like to request that the Court grant us a reset based on failure of co-counsel to appear with me today. He was supposed to be here and he has not been here and I have not heard from him. I have been told by the court coordinator that he spoke with her about his inability to attend, and he's with, I believe, with a doctor. I'm not sure what doctor it is, but he's with some doctor at this time, as far as I know. This is all hearsay that I have heard from the court coordinator.

MR. GUTIERREZ: I spoke to him yesterday,
Judge; I talked with co-counsel at his home. He
said he was in a lot of pain. He came in last
week and said he had a ruptured disc and that he
was in a lot of pain and had been given
medication. And I talked with him yesterday and
he told me, if memory serves me correctly, that he
was going to go by the doctor's office this
morning and have him check him out and then try to
make it to court. That's just my recollection,

1	but that's as much as I know about it myself.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?
3	MR. CANTU: Nothing further.
4	THE COURT: Are we ready for the jury?
5	MR. CANTU: Oh, excuse me. Was there a
6	ruling on that? I didn't hear it.
7	THE COURT: What is your request?
8	MR. CANTU: A request that it be reset until
9	co-counsel arrives.
10	THE COURT: That will be denied. \angle
11	MR. CANTU: And also there's something else.
12	MR. GUTIERREZ: I would just like the record
13	to reflect, Your Honor, that Mr. Cantu is lead
14	counsel in this case and there have been times
15	during the course of the trial, voir dire, where
16	he has conducted voir dire on his own due to the
17	fact that his co-counsel has not been available.
18	He's done a fine job.
19	MR. CANTU: Thank you. The second thing,
20	Your Honor, question, we would move to ask
21	additional questions, propound additional
22	questions, to the jury, the 13 members of the
23	jury, based on publicity and today's radio, this
24	morning's radio at 9:00 a.m., specifically an AM

(An we get a Copy of That? 4

station, radio 740. There was publicity as to the

1	trial, as to Mr. Raby's past criminal history in a
2	general detail of the accusation and the
3	Complainant in that story line, in that by-line,
4	on the radio, and would ask to ask additional
5	questions of the jury based on that.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Cantu, I have instructed the
7	jury not to listen to, view nor read any coverage
8	of this trial, and I will continue to admonish
9	them throughout the trial in the event there is
10	some coverage, but I will deny your motion.
11	MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Is everyone ready? Why don't we
13	swear the witnesses in.
14	All witnesses who expect to be called in The
15	State Vs. Charles Douglas Raby will stand, raise
16	your right hands and be sworn at this time.
17	(Whereupon the witnesses were duly sworn.)
18	THE COURT: Does either or both sides wish to
19	invoke the rule?
20	MR. CANTU: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, listen very
23	carefully. The rule has been invoked, which means
24	you cannot discuss your testimony amongst
25	yourselves nor allow any other witnesses who may

be called to discuss their testimony with you, and you must remain in the hallway until you are called individually. So everyone will be excused to the hallway at this time until you are called.

MR. CANTU: Your Honor, most of my witnesses, may they be excused?

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, wait just a moment. Some of you may not be called until the latter part of the week, so I'm going to put you on call. Can everyone hear me?

Ladies and gentlemen, some of you may be called at a later time during this week or the latter part of the week, so I'm going to put you on call for your convenience, so you don't have to wait here from day-to-day until you are called. So those, whoever is not expected to be called today, and I don't know who you are, but the State may tell you and the Defense may tell you when you're expected to be called, and you will be put on notice, but you are under court order to appear when you're called, and we will try to give you as much notice as possible. So those of you who won't be called today, you are excused until you are notified.

1		(Whereupon the witnesses left the courtroom.)
2		(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
3		box.)
4		
5		THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize
6		because we are running late. We have a visiting
7		judge who has been handling the regular docket for
8		us, so we are sharing the courtroom. So from time
9		to time, if we are running late, I apologize. We
10		just don't have enough courtrooms in the
11		courthouse.
12		Is the State and Defense ready to proceed?
13		MR. GUTIERREZ: State is ready, Your Honor.
14		THE COURT: Mr. Raby, if you will stand, the
15		State will present the indictment.
16		MR. GUTIERREZ: If it please the Court. "IN
17		THE NAME AND BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF
18		TEXAS: The duly organized Grand Jury of Harris
19		County, Texas, presents in the District Court of
20	X	Harris County, Texas, that in Harris County,
21		Texas, Charles Douglas Raby, hereafter styled the
22		Defendant, heretofore on or about October 15,
23		1992, did then and there unlawfully, while in the
24		course of committing and attempting to commit the

robbery of Edna Franklin, hereafter styled the

Complainant, intentionally cause the death of the Complainant by stabbing and cutting the Complainant with a deadly weapon, namely, a knife.

"It is further presented that in Harris
County, Texas, Charles Douglas Raby, hereafter
styled the Defendant, heretofore on or about
October 15, 1992, did then and there unlawfully,
while in the course of committing and attempting
to commit the aggravated sexual assault of Edna
Franklin, hereafter styled the Complainant,
intentionally cause the death of the Complainant
by stabbing and cutting the Complainant with a
deadly weapon, namely, a knife.

"It is further presented that in Harris
County, Texas, Charles Douglas Raby, hereafter
styled the Defendant, heretofore on or about
October 15, 1992, did then and there unlawfully,
while in the course of committing and attempting
to commit the burglary of a habitation owned by
Edna Franklin, hereafter styled the Complainant,
intentionally cause the death of the Complainant
by stabbing and cutting the Complainant with a
deadly weapon, namely, a knife. AGAINST THE PEACE
AND DIGNITY OF THE STATE." And that is signed by
the Foreman of the 337th Grand Jury, Lawrence

1		Newman.
2		THE COURT: And to the indictment, Mr. Raby,
3		how do you plead?
4		THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty. 3
5		THE COURT: You may be seated.
6		Does the State wish to make a brief opening
7		statement?
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor, if it please
9		the Court.
10		THE COURT: All right.
11		MR. GUTIERREZ: Members of the jury, we know
12		that all of you have already spent considerable
13		time dealing with the lawyers during the course of
14		the voir dire and jury selection, and we
15		appreciate the time that you're going to spend on
16		this case. The case perhaps will not be long.
17		That does not diminish the importance.
18		The purpose of an opening statement is for
19		the lawyers from their respective sides to tell
20		you what he or she anticipates the evidence will
21	Tay of	show.
22		I believe the evidence will show that back in
23		October of 1992, there lived in the 600 block of
24		Westford, in Houston, Harris County, Texas, a
25		little old lady, 71, by the name of Edna Mae

Franklin. I believe evidence will show that she had two grandsons who lived with her in her house in that 600 block of Westford. One of those grandsons was Lee Rose and the other grandson was Eric Benge.

I believe the evidence will show that both of these young men knew the Defendant, Charles Raby; had known him for years. I believe the evidence will show that from time to time when the Defendant needed a place to stay, the grandsons, without their grandmother's permission, would let the Defendant sneak in through a bedroom window and let him spend the night.

I believe the evidence will show that the years passed. I believe the evidence will show that a few weeks before her death, Edna Franklin told the Defendant to leave, that she did not want him around.

I believe the evidence will show that on the night of October 15th, 1992, the Defendant came back, that the Defendant had been drinking, that the Defendant went in through a window and that the Defendant, in a very brutal, cold-blooded act, stabbed her a multiple number of times and slit her throat, and in the process, he either raped,

sexually assaulted her, or tried to. In the process, he either robbed her or tried to. In the process, he committed a burglary of a habitation.

Keep in mind, as you listen to the evidence, the State is not required to prove premeditation, that the State is not required to prove a motive.

Lord knows why sometimes people do things. But also keep in mind, as you listen to the evidence, the State's primary piece of evidence is a confession from the Defendant, but even in his confession, the Defendant does not fess up completely to having the intent to kill, the having the intent to committing aggravated sexual assault, to having the intent to rob or to having the intent to commit a burglary of a habitation.

Those gaps, I submit to you, will be filled by the evidence.

Remember we talked to you during voir dire, at least I did, about whether or not you would look at the physical evidence and determine whether or not a person either committed an aggravated sexual assault or attempted to, or committed a robbery or attempted to. There is no DNA in this case.— There is no physical evidence that can conclusively prove that a sexual assault

	1		took place, but the evidence, the physical
	2		evidence, the position of the body is consistent
	3		with an attempt to commit aggravated sexual
	4		assault and it's possible that that attempt was
5	5		completed. It also shows a burglary of a
	6		habitation was completed. It also shows that
	7		either a robbery was attempted or completed.
	8		These are the things that I believe the evidence
	9		will show. Thank you.
	10		THE COURT: State will call your first
	11		witness.
	12		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor. The State
	13		would call Dr. Bellas, assistant medical examiner.
	14		
	15		EDUARDO BELLAS
	16	was	called as a witness by the State, and after having been
-	17	prev	iously duly sworn, testified under his oath as follows:
	18		
	19		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
	20		
	21	Q	Would you please state your name?
	22	A	First name is Eduardo and the last name Bellas,
;	23		B-e-l-l-a-s.
	24	Q	Sir, would you please tell the members of the jury what
	25		you do for a living?

- 1 A I'm a medical doctor, specializing in the field of
- 2 pathology, and am employed with the Harris County
- 3 Medical Examiner's Office, Houston.
- 4 Q And what qualifications do you have to let you do the
- 5 job you do?
- A I'm a doctor. I received my medical diploma in 1957,
- 7 February, with the University of Havana Medical School,
- 8 and I spent two years in the internship, and then three
- 9 years' training in pathology.
- 10 I was associate professor in pathology with the
- University of Havana Medical School and full-licensed
- 12 practice in pathology for about ten years.
- In 1972, I revalidated my medical diploma in the
- 14 United States. And then during 1973 and 1974, I
- trained pathology, again, this time in the United
- 16 States, with the University of Texas Medical School.
- 17 And I am certified with the American Board of
- 18 Pathology.
- 19 Q Now, how long have you been employed as assistant
- 20 medical examiner for Harris County?
- 21 A About 19 years.
- 22 Q And in that time have you performed few or many
- 23 autopsies?
- 24 A Many.
- 25 Q Would it be hundreds or thousands?

- 1 A Both.
- 2 O Doctor, in the course of your work -- and we talk about
- 3 autopsies. What is the purpose of an autopsy?
- 4 A The main purpose of autopsies is to establish the cause
- 5 of death.
- 6 Q And is it possible to conduct an interior and exterior
- 7 examination of a body and determine whether or not that
- 8 person --
- 9 A Yes, it is.
- 10 Q Excuse me. Not whether or not the person died but what
- 11 the person died of?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Would you tell the members of the jury under what
- 14 circumstances in Harris County does the Harris County
- 15 Medical Examiner's Office perform autopsies? In other
- 16 words, you all don't perform autopsies on everybody
- 17 that dies.
- 18 A That is correct. Each medical, legal case is subject
- 19 to analysis by our office, and we choose autopsies, no
- 20 autopsies. No autopsy means external examination only.
- 21 Autopsy means external examination followed by internal
- 22 examination.
- 23 Q Did you have an occasion back on October 16th, 1992, to
- 24 perform an autopsy on the body of Edna Franklin?
- 25 A Yes. This was performed under the medical, legal case

- 1 No. 92-6802.
- 2 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury the
- 3 significance of the number?
- A The first two digits represent the year 1992, and then
- 5 the other four digits is the serial case number
- 6 assigned to the individual, and the combination of both
- 7 is a unique number for this particular person.
- 8 Q In other words, the "92" represents the year and the
- 9 "6802" means this was the six thousand eight hundred
- 10 and second autopsy performed in Harris County?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q How many assistant medical examiners are there in
- 13 Harris County?
- 14 A Five.
- 15 Q Now, once you did your autopsy -- by the way, how long
- does it take to perform an autopsy or did this one, if
- 17 you recall?
- 18 A No. I have it in the record, the beginning time. I
- 19 don't remember how long it took. This is not an
- 20 autopsy that you can do very fast. I began at 8:45
- 21 a.m., October 16th, 1992.
- 22 Q Once you performed the autopsy, did you reach an
- 23 conclusion as to what caused the death of Edna Mae
- 24 Franklin?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 Q And what was that conclusion?
- 2 A The conclusion was that the cause of death was five
- 3 stab wounds of the chest and two cutting wounds of the
- 4 neck.
- 5 Q Now, were those cutting and stabbing wounds, is that
- 6 what they were?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Were they consistent with the types of cuts made by a
- 9 blade of a knife?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q Would it be possible that those cuts would have been
- 12 made by the blade of a pocketknife?
- 13 **A** It is.
- 14 Q Would you be able to tell the members of the jury and
- for the record whether or not a pocketknife, as used in
- 16 this case, could have been a deadly weapon?
- 17 A Yes, it is.
- 18 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury, when you
- do an autopsy, do you sign off on it?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And who else signs off on it as approving your
- 22 conclusion?
- 23 A The chief medical examiner, Dr. Jachimczyk.
- 24 Q Now, were those the only -- those seven stab wounds,
- 25 were those the only wounds suffered by Edna Mae

- 1 Franklin?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Were they the only wounds, Doctor, or were they the
- 4 only fatal wounds?
- 5 A The only fatal wounds.
- 6 Q So that is that five of the wounds to the chest could
- 7 have been fatal?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 And two to the neck could have been fatal; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A They are, yes.
- 12 Q Let's look at the first page in your autopsy report,
- Doctor, if you don't mind. You said you began your
- autopsy at 8:45 in the morning, October 16th, 1992.
- Would you explain to the members of the jury what your
- 16 external examination of Edna Franklin revealed? Can we
- 17 begin, for example, with her physic?
- 18 A The external examination revealed a woman that was
- 19 consistent with 72 years. The body length was 63
- inches, that is, 5 feet 3 inches in length. And the
- 21 weight was 72 pounds.
- The evidence of injury could be classified in
- 23 blunt force and sharp force. The sharp force is given
- by or indicated by stab wounds and cutting wounds. The
- 25 evidence of blunt force or contusions were contusions

- over the scalp, behind the ear, over the sternum.
- 2 Q What type of blows were those contusions on the head
- 3 consistent with?
- A The blunt force is made with a blunt instrument.
- 5 Q Could it have been the fist?
- 6 A Maybe the fist, maybe other things. There's usually a
- 7 very poor correlation between the injury and the
- 8 instrument in dealing with blunt force.
- 9 Q What do you mean by that?
- 10 A Because in some -- most of the time you don't know what
- 11 instrument was used.
- 12 Q For the blunt force?
- 13 A For the blunt force. The other evidence of bruises or
- 14 contusions that were made was in the right side of the
- head over here and a contusion in the upper tip of the
- 16 right ear.
- In reference to the sharp force, we had two cuts
- in the left ear. Then we have a small scratch in the
- 19 right cheek. Then we have two large cutting wounds in
- 20 the neck. Then in the front chest, we have four stab
- 21 wounds in the front; two of them were over the breast
- 22 area and the other two were close to the midline.
- 23 Also in addition to these four stab wounds, there
- 24 were three puncture wounds, that is, something that is
- 25 being done with the tip of a puncture instrument, maybe

1		a knife or not. It's impossible to say in some
2		occasions. So these punctures were superficial; they
3		involved the skin only. And two of the stab wounds,
4		the ones that I mentioned in the breast area, these two
5		were non-fatal; these two involved the skin and the
6		subcutaneous tissue and the breast tissue; either one
7		penetrated in the chest cavity.
8	Q	Let me stop you right there for a second. Based on the
9		weight of Ms. Franklin, was she well-nourished or
10		undernourished?
11	A	Undernourished.
12	Q	Now, would you consider at 72 pounds and her age, a
13		person might categorize as frail?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Would you expect that person to be able to put up much
16		of a struggle against or have much hope of succeeding
17		in defending herself against, say, for example, a male
18		that may be 150, 170 pounds?
19		
20		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I'm going to object
21		to that. That line of questioning goes beyond his
22		capabilities as a medical doctor.
23		THE COURT: Overruled.
24		
25	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Doctor, would you answer the

- 1 question?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Would that person --
- A Yes, this could be classified as a weak person.
- 5 Q A what?
- 6 A In general, a weak person.
- 7 Q Okay. I'm sorry, Doctor, please continue with your
- 8 external examination.
- So going with the rest of the examination, over the
- 10 left lateral chest, over this area, there was, in
- 11 addition, three stab wounds. These three stab wounds,
- all of them, came into the chest area, into the chest
- 13 cavity, inside. That's why they were considered fatal,
- 14 along with the other two in the front chest.
- In addition, there was a stab wound over here, in
- the left arm, that was a through and through. This was
- a superficial stab wound; was not considered a fatal
- 18 one.
- In addition, there were two cutting wounds in the
- 20 back of the decedent, over the middle portion, very
- 21 close to the midline. In the decedent, there were two
- 22 cutting wounds. These two were not considered fatal
- 23 whatsoever, because they were both superficial.
- 24 Q Were there any defensive wounds, Doctor?
- 25 A The stab wound that I mentioned over here was maybe

considered a defensive wound, but in the left arm there
were two superficial cutting wounds that also can be
considered defensive-type wounds.

over the elbow, I noticed several contusions or
bruises. Bruises and contusions is the same, is a
blunt force applied. Any bruise or contusion is a
blunt force applied to the body sufficient to produce
hemorrhage but not enough to produce tears, to produce
laceration.

- Well, that was essentially the evidence of injury.
- 11 Q On the outside?
- 12 A In the outside examination.
- So in a nutshell, would it be fair to say that there
 was evidence of a beating and stabbing and cutting of
 the body on the outside?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury now what

 18 you found when you did the internal examination?

 19 First, would you explain for the jury how you do the

 20 internal examination?
- The internal examination is performed by doing a Yshaped incision. As the letter "Y" indicates, the
 incision began in the shoulders, in the front, and come
 all the way down to the lower part of the chest, kind
 of "V". Then the point of that "V" comes all the way

down to the pubic area to complete a Y-shaped incision. Then the flaps are reflected to each side. The upper flap is reflected towards the head. And then you approach the abdominal and the thoracic cavities and the thoracic organs.

The head is a little bit more complicated because you have to incise the scalp ear to ear, reflected backward and front, and then involve the scalp with an electrical saw and approach the brain inside there.

The internal examination could mean that none of the blunt force applies to the head was responsible for death or cause any serious injury to the brain or to produce any skull fracture. The stab wounds of the chest, which were four in the front, and left side on the lateral chest, only two in the front chest, those in the midline, and three in the lateral chest came into the chest. I found about one liter of blood in the left pleural cavity. The right pleural cavity contained a very little amount of blood. I am talking about less than one ounce of blood in the right pleural cavity. The pericardial sac contained some amount of blood, about 200; that is about six ounces of blood, because the heart has been perforated in the course of the stab wounds.

In reference to the cutting wounds of the neck,

one was -- the one in the top was about more 1 superficial than the other, and there was cutting of 2 the external jugular veins. Those little veins that 3 you can see when people shout or sing or talking too loud, you can see the lateral veins. And also in the 5 external branches of the carotid arteries had been 6 severed. So these two cutting wounds were considered 7 fatal because the broken organs that they involved 8 there. 9

In addition to the air pipe, where remains the larynx, the trachea, the airways, has been cut about 50 percent side-to-side.

Doctor, when you talk about blood being in the pleural cavity, what sort of symptoms may the person who is being inflicted with these injuries feel with the blood going into the cavities like that?

The primary thing is the stab wound. That may produce
a little bit of pain, followed by bleeding, which is
the mechanism which produces shock, that is, drop of
the blood pressure.

21 Q What were your findings insofar as her ribs, in your
22 internal examination?

23 A The ribs and the soft tissues in between the ribs were 24 perforated and lacerated by the blade, and some of the 25 ribs -- many of the ribs were fractured in both sides.

1		Some of the fractures were associated with the
2		contusion, but I couldn't see any evidence of contusion
3		other than the fractures. Some of the fractures were
4		associated with the blade.
5	Q	So how many of the ribs were broken or fractured?
6	A	In the right side, ribs 2, 3, 4 and 5 were fractured.
7		
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, if it please the
9		Court, could we have a demonstration in front of
10		the jury?
11		THE COURT: You may step down, Doctor.
12		
13	A	(Complies.)
14	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Doctor, I want you to bring your
15		autopsy report with you and I want you now to talk
16		about the description of the individual injuries, first
17		using my may I take off my coat, Your Honor?
18		
19		THE COURT: You may.
20		
21	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) using my torso. Would you
22		explain to the members of the jury where those ribs
23		would be that you're talking about that were fractured?
24		Let's start with that.
25	A	The ribs are classified

Be sure you stand over here so the court reporter can 1 Q 2 hear you. One through 12 on each side. So there are two pairs, 1 3 and 1, 2 and 2, 3 and 3, and so on, to 12. As I said before, on the right side, the second, 5 3, 4 and 5 were fractured interiorly. And on the left, 6 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 were fractured. Three of these 7 fractures were associated with the stab wound, with the 8 blade entrance. Because the bones of a victim like 9 this, 72 years, undernourished, is very brittle, it's 10 very easy to break a bone. 7 11 Now, in terms of the stabbing wounds, if you would take 12 Q the order and your description of the injuries -- by 13 the way, any damage to the heart, that you can see? 14 Yes. The heart was perforated by the blade, and the 15 A pericardial sac that contains the heart was also 16 perforated. 10 17 18 Q Can you tell the jury or could you tell from your examination whether or not the stab wounds that were 19 20 done on the body were done after or before the cutting 21 wounds on the neck? To begin with, I would like to put it very clear in a 22 A black and white situation that all the injuries that I 23

mentioned, be it cutting, stabbing or contusions or

blunt force, they were all pre-mortem, that is, before

24

- death. I found no post-mortem or after-death injury.
- Because sometimes it happens, but the pathologist would
- 3 be able to distinguish between the two. I didn't find
- 4 any.
- Now, in reference to the cutting wounds in the
- 6 neck --
- 7 Q Doctor, before we go there, could we start from like
- 8 head-to-toe, so to speak? You mentioned some bruising
- along the head area. Would you point on my head,
- beginning from the top down, where you found the
- bruising on the body of Edna Franklin?
- 12 A The bruising on the left temporal area over here, it
- was about 2 inches in diameter.
- 14 Q Was that consistent with perhaps -- you said it was
- blunt trauma -- perhaps a blow with a fist?
- 16 A It is possible, yes. Over here in the upper tip of the
- 17 right ear, there was another contusion that was
- 18 separated from the other.
- 19 Q Consistent with what, either cutting or a blunt trauma?
- 20 A Blunt trauma. And the other one was behind the ear.
- Behind the ear over here, there was a contusion.
- 22 Q Was that also consistent with the possibility of using
- 23 a fist or some sort of blunt instrument?
- 24 A Correct. And few contusions, small contusions, over
- 25 the left elbow area. That is also blunt force.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A Now, if the two cutting wounds that I found in the
- 3 autopsy table would be the first event in the case,
- 4 there wouldn't be opportunity for this victim to bleed
- one liter of blood into the left pleural cavity,
- 6 because these cutting wounds are associated with a
- 7 rapid cut.
- 8 Q Are you talking about the cutting of the throat, the
- 9 severing of the windpipe?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was the windpipe severed once or twice?
- 12 A Two times. This statement that this wound could be
- first and then the fatal stab wounds in the chest is
- 14 based on reasonable medical probability. It's not a
- black-and-white situation, as I said before. That all
- 16 the injuries that I found were pre-mortem, before
- 17 death.
- 18 Q So are you telling this jury that it's within
- 19 reasonable medical probability that all of the injuries
- happened first, including the stab wounds to the chest,
- the breaking of the ribs, the contusions on the head,
- 22 before the windpipe was severed?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q So that is that the slash -- the cutting of the throat
- would have been the last thing?

- 1 A Probably was. If not the last one, the last.
- 2 Q How can you tell?
- 3 A Because the bleeding in the inside of the chest one
- 4 liter would not occur with two cutting wounds of this.
- You would die before, and after you die, there is no
- 6 circulation, and if there is no circulation, there's
- 7 not that amount of bleeding. There is post-mortem
- 8 bleeding, it does exist, but not of this kind.
- 9 Q How is that different, the post-mortem bleeding?
- 10 A What?
- 11 Q How is the post-mortem bleeding different than when
- 12 someone bleeds and they're alive?
- 13 A Well, the post-mortem bleeding requires gravity forces
- 14 to drain blood, for instance, from the scalp or any
- open wound. An open wound like the two cutting wounds,
- for instance, of course, bleed before death, but after
- 17 death, they can bleed a little bit. *U*
- 18 Q Doctor, starting now from the throat, would you explain
- 19 the number of injuries she had on her body?
- 20 A One and one. The one in the top was almost in the
- center and the one in the lower was a little bit larger
- 22 and deeper.
- 23 Q What else? What other cutting or stabbing wounds?
- 24 A Well, the four stabs in the chest. One here and one
- 25 there, very close to the midline. Two stab wounds in

- the upper part of the breast and three punctures,
- superficial puncture wounds, in that area. Three stab
- 3 wounds in the lateral chest here. And a through and
- 4 through stab wound over here in the arm, in the left
- 5 arm, and two cutting wounds in this area, plus two
- 6 cutting wounds in the middle back, superficial.
- 7 Q These injuries that you talked about in the chest,
- 8 which ones would have been fatal?
- 9 A Two were fatal in the midline, in the front. The other
- 10 two in the breast were superficial, and the other three
- in the lateral chest, all of them fatal.
- 12 Q Okay. Any other injuries?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Have a seat, please.
- 15 A (Complies.)
- 16 Q Doctor, would you explain to the members of the jury
- 17 how you go about reporting or recording or making notes
- while you're doing the autopsy?
- 19 A The procedure, we have a step-control machine that is
- subject to a rough copy submitted to us later on, and
- 21 then over that rough copy, along with the pictures, we
- 22 make corrections and put the case in context for a
- final form, which is this (indicating).
- 24 Q When you say "this," you're talking about what you call
- the protocol or the autopsy report; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Do you use a tape recorder when you do that?
- 3 A Tape recorder.
 - 4 Q How do you get the tape recorder to start and stop?
 - 5 A A step control.
 - 6 Q So there's a step that you step on underneath the table
 - 7 that you use to do the autopsy; is that correct?
 - 8 A Correct.
 - 9 Q Where is the microphone?
 - 10 A The microphone is above the operating table, so you can
 - 11 talk to the microphone very easy.
 - 12 Q And after you conduct the autopsy, does someone reduce
 - 13 those notes to writing, in the form of an autopsy
 - 14 report?
 - 15 A Yes.
 - 16 Q And as an assistant medical examiner for Harris County,
 - are you a custodian of the records for the Harris
 - 18 County Medical Examiner's Office?
 - 19 A Yes, I am.
 - 20 Q And are those records kept in the regular course of
 - 21 business?
 - 22 A Yes.
 - 23 Q Do you have care, custody and control of those records?
 - 24 A Yes.
 - 25 Q Are those records kept by someone who has personal

knowledge or are they made by someone who has personal 1 knowledge of the event in question? 2 Definitely. 3 A Namely, yourself, as far as autopsy reports go? 0 A Yes. 5 And are those notes made at or near the time of the 6 Q 7 event in question or the autopsy? A Yes, they are. 8 9 They're made at that time; is that correct? 0 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q In addition, do you take photographs while you're 12 conducting the autopsy, before you start and sometimes later? 13 14 A Yes. 15 16 (State Exhibit No. 2 was previously marked 17 for identification purposes.) 18 19 Q Doctor, I show you what has been marked as State's 20 Exhibit No. 2 and I'll ask you if you can tell me 21 whether or not, with the exception of the last page 22 having been removed, the last page containing inadmissible hearsay, can you tell us whether or not 23 24 State's Exhibit No. 2 is an exact duplicate or exact 25 xeroxed copy of your original?

```
Yes, it is.
1
     A
          Doctor, now, for the record, you have the original with
     0
2
          you now; is that correct?
3
          Yes.
     A
          But we are not allowed to submit the original autopsy
     0
5
          report in Court; is that correct?
6
           That is correct.
7
     A
          Would you explain to the members of the jury why?
     0
           It is important to keep the original records in our
     A
9
           office.
10
           Now, in addition to the photographs -- excuse me -- to
11
      0
           the autopsy report marked State's Exhibit No. 2, I will
12
           ask you whether or not these pictures I'm showing you
13
           fairly and accurately depict the results of your
14
           external examination on the body of Edna Franklin back
15
           in October of 1992 and whether they include some of the
16
           injuries that you mentioned here today?
17
           Yes, they do.
18
      A
           Who took these pictures?
19
      0
      A
           Myself.
20
21
                      (State Exhibit Nos. 3 through 13 were marked
22
                 for identification purposes.)
23
24
           Now, both the photographs I have shown you, State's
```

Q

1		Exhibits 3 through 13, and State's Exhibit No 2, die
2		part of your business records, are they not?
3	A	Yes, they are.
4	Q	And as such, they are also an official government
5		document in that they're made pursuant to a
6		governmental agency, namely, the Harris County Medical
7		Examiner's Office; is that correct?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And these photographs fit under the same predicate that
10		we talked about before; is that correct?
11	A	Yes.
12		
13		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like the
14		record to reflect that I have previously tendered
15		these exhibits to Defense counsel for his
16		inspection and I would like to ask, as I tender
17		them to him again, that State Exhibit 2, a xerox
18		copy of the autopsy report, and the photographs,
19		State's Exhibit 3 and I mistakenly said 3
20		through 13. The State had previously agreed not
21		to include State's Exhibit 10, so with the
22		exception of State's Exhibit No. 10, we offer 3
23		through 13, and the autopsy report marked State's
24		Exhibit No. 2.

MR. CANTU: We have no objections.

	1		THE COURT: State Exhibits 3 through 13, with
	2		the exclusion of 10, is admitted into evidence.
	3		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would ask that
	4		State Exhibits 3, 9 and 11 through 13 be published
	5		to the members of the jury.
	6		THE COURT: Mr. Bailiff, if you will publish
	7		those to the jury.
\geq	8		You may proceed. 13
	9	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, Doctor, in terms of the depth
	10		of the wounds, what can you tell us about the depth?
	11		And specifically, I'm talking about the stab wounds.
	12	A	The maximum-depth penetration in the body by the stab
	13		wounds was 4 inches.
	14	Q	Would you run through very quickly, with your
	15		description of the injuries in your autopsy report, the
	16		different depths that you found in terms of the
	17		injuries?
	18	A	Of the two in the front chest, those that were located
	19		not far from the midline, one of them penetrated 3
	20		inches, the other penetrated 3 and 1/2 inches. One of
	21		the lateral chest penetrated 4 inches, and another in
	22		the lateral chest, again, 4 inches. The other one in
5	23		the lateral chest penetrated 4 inches, again, and then
	24		the two on the breast that were superficial, they
	25		penetrated just in the skin and the subcutaneous tissue

- and the breast tissue, the penetration that was one
- 2 inch. Those were non-fatal.
- 3 Q What can you tell us about the blade that was used to
- 4 inflict those injuries in terms of possible length or
- 5 possible --
- 6 A I noticed that all these stab wounds had a sharp end
- 7 and a blunt end. The blunt end was real thin; was not
- 8 too thick, and that is the type of weapon that is a
- 9 knife, that is sharp on one side, the other not.
- 10 Q Would that be consistent with a pocketknife or a knife?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Now, Doctor, in terms of length, I have got a ruler
- here in front. Would you measure off, if you can see
- 14 the inside, in terms of what's the smallest size that a
- 15 blade would have had to have been in order to inflict
- 16 those injuries?
- 17 A Maybe 2 inches, maybe longer than that, of course. I
- 18 found --
- 19 Q Let's stop for just a second. Would you mark off the
- 20 2-inch mark? Is that accurate?
- 21 A (Complies.)
- 22 Q So you're saying that is the length of a 2-inch blade;
- is that correct?
- 24 A That would be 2 inches, correct.
- 25 Q Now, why do you say that a blade 2 inches long could

have caused these injuries?

No, I didn't say that. What happened is that when you 2 A have a blade and you put the blade inside a human body, 3 there is some kind of give, give of that particular tissue. For instance, the abdomen has a grade capacity 5 to be depressed by a blade. So a blade can go inside the body two more inches in addition to its length. In 7 other words, a 3-inch length can produce a 5-inch 8 penetration, a 2-inch blade can produce 4. Four here 9 was the maximum depth of penetration that I found, but 10 I didn't find any hilt mark. The hilt mark is a clue 11 in the autopsy table to tell us that that blade in that 12 particular wound came all the way down or all the way 13 in, penetrated in. I found no hilt mark here, so the 14 hilt mark may or may not be present, although the blade 15 penetrated all the way in. 15

17 Q At any rate, you're not saying the knife blade was 2

18 inches long, but you're saying a blade that small could

19 have caused those injuries; is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Any doubt in your mind that whatever blade was used on that night, that it was sharp?

23 A It was sharp on one side and not sharp on the other.

Q Okay. Now, let's talk a little bit about whether or not you took any swabs to see if Edna Franklin or Edna

- Mae Franklin had been sexually assaulted. Did you do
- 2 that?
- 3 A That is correct, I did that.
- 4 Q First, would you tell the members of the jury whether
- or not it is possible that a person may be sexually
- assaulted and there be no physical evidence of it by
- 7 way of semen?
- 8 A People can be molested sexually without any evidence of
- 9 injury, yes.
- 10 Q So you're saying, by "injury," you mean that you don't
- 11 necessarily find trauma to the tissues?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Did you find or did you look to see if there were any
- 14 indication of semen?
- 15 A I looked for that, and the swabs were taken for the
- police laboratory, and I performed spreads under the
- 17 microscope examination myself from the oral cavity, the
- vagina cavity and the rectal cavity.
- 19 Q And did you find any evidence of semen?
- 20 A Well, in the spreads that I prepared myself, the only
- thing you can see there is the sperm cells. I didn't
- see any, either in the oral, the vagina or the rectal
- 23 cavities.
- 24 Q That does not mean there was no sexual assault; is that
- 25 correct?

correct. 1 A And you talked about it's possible about a person may 2 Q be sexually assaulted and there be no trauma. 3 you mean no tearing of the tissues rectally? correct. A Vaginally? Q 16 Correct. A Now, did you also take any fingernails from the Q 8 Complainant at the request of the Police Department? 9 Fingernail scrapings and fingernails were taken from A 10 both hands, right and left. ?7 11 12 (State Exhibit Nos. 90, 91, 92, 93 and 94 13 were previously marked for identification 14 purposes.) 15 16 Doctor, I'll show you what has been marked, the bag, Q 17 State's Exhibit No. 90, from which we earlier, outside 18 the presence of the jury, took State Exhibit Nos. 91, 19 92, 93 and 94. 20 First of all, can you tell me what State Exhibit 21 No. 91 is? 22 91 represents head hair, pulled head hair. This is A 23 done by pulling the hair on the top, on the right, on 24 the left and the back of the head, and put there in 25

- these envelopes.
- 2 Q And whose pulled head hair is that?
- 3 A Myself.
 - 4 Q Is that your hair or Edna Franklin's hair?
 - 5 A The victim's hair.
 - 6 0 Is that the hair of Edna Franklin?
 - 7 A Yes.
 - 8 Q And how do you know that pertains to that case?
 - 9 A Well, I labeled that and this is my handwriting. This
 - 10 is the gray hair that I found on her.
 - 11 Q Does each one of these bags contain the autopsy report
 - 12 that we talked about?
 - 13 A Yes.
 - 14 Q The autopsy report number?
 - 15 A Yes, they do.
 - 16 Q Is that correct?
 - 17 A Yes.
 - 18 Q State's Exhibit 92 is a plastic bag containing what?
 - 19 A This is pubic hair, again, pulled from the pubic of the
 - 20 decedent.
 - 21 Q When we talk about the hair, Doctor, for the purpose of
 - 22 the record, the exhibits are on the plastic bags
 - 23 containing the hair; is that correct?
 - 24 A Yes.
 - 25 Q And that is on State Exhibits 91 and 92.

	1		Now, State Exhibit No. 93 is a plastic bag
	2		containing what type of hair?
	3	A	This is the specimen that you obtain first. Loose
	4		hair/fiber. Everything that looked like hair, looked
	5		like fiber, and it's loose from the decedent's surface,
	6		you pick it up, collect it, and this is it.
	7	Q	State Exhibit No. 94 is a plastic bag containing two
	8		plastic cups. What is in those cups?
	9	A	This State Exhibit No. 94, the two plastic containers,
	10		contains fingernail scrapings, one from the right hand,
5	11		the other from the left hand. 19
	12		
	13		(State Exhibit Nos. 85 and 86 were previously
	14		marked for identification purposes.)
	15		
	16	Q	Doctor, I will show you items that are in a bag marked
	17		State's Exhibit No. 85, and I will take these items out
	18		of State Exhibit 85 and I will show you a plastic bag
	19		marked State's Exhibit No. 86, containing things I'm
	20		now taking out, look to be like little toothbrush
	21		containers. They don't contain toothbrushes, do they?
	22	A	No.
	23		
	24		(State Exhibit Nos. 87, 88 and 89 were
	25		previously marked for identification purposes.)

- 1 Q These are marked State Exhibits 87, 88 and 89, the
- 2 boxes are. What is in the box marked State Exhibit No.
- 3 87?
- A This is, again, my handwriting. I did this myself.
- This is swabs from the oral cavity, from the mouth.
- 6 Q Of Edna Mae Franklin?
- 7 A From the decedent, yes.
- 8 O State Exhibit No. 88?
- 9 A This is vaginal cavity.
- 10 Q State Exhibit No. 88 is a vaginal swab from the vagina
- of Edna Franklin; is that correct?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q State Exhibit No. 89, what is that box?
- 14 A 89 is the rectal cavity.
- 15 Q That contains the swab from the rectal cavity; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Now, in terms of these items, once you get them, what's
- 19 done with them?
- 20 A The first thing is to keep them in our facilities for
- 21 safekeeping until the investigators in charge of the
- 22 case come to our office and pick them up.
- 23 Q Now, Doctor, did someone from the Houston Police
- Department, the investigating agency, pick these items
- up from you, and if so, when? If not from you, from

- 1 your office?
- Yes. There is a signature here, dated on -- I cannot
- 3 read --
- 4 Q Is it possible that's Fred Hale?
- 5 A It's possible, yes.
- 6 Q And getting back to the autopsy report, Doctor, did you
- 7 do any kind of testing on the body of Edna Mae Franklin
- 8 to determine whether or not she had any drugs or
- 9 alcohol in her system at the time of her death?
- 10 A Yes, we did.
- 11 Q What was the results of that Toxicology report?
- 12 A The results were negative, no drugs were found.
- 13 Q In terms of caffeine, any found?
- 14 A Traces of caffeine. No significance. That was in the
- 15 stomach.
- 16 Q So in terms of the total number of stab wounds, would
- 17 it be fair to say that Edna Mae Franklin was stabbed a
- 18 total of 12, 13, 14 times?
- 19 A In total?
- 20 Q Yes.
- 21 A Four in the front chest, three in the lateral chest --
- 22 seven -- and one stab wound in the left arm: eight
- 23 stabs.
- 24 Q Now, Doctor --
- 25 A Cutting wounds in the left ear, we have two. We are

- not counting scratches, just cuts. Two in the neck or
- 2 three; two in the left arm; five in between the back:
- 3 seven.
- 4 O Total?
- 5 A Seven in total. Seven plus eight is 15 injuries
- \bigcirc 6 associated with sharp force. 2°
 - 7 Q Now, Doctor, that knife I think I have already asked
 - 8 you that was used to inflict these injuries, it was
 - 9 capable of causing serious bodily injury or death, was
 - 10 it not?
 - 11 A It was.
 - 12 Q And her death came as a result of not only being cut
 - 13 but being stabbed as well?
 - 14 A Stabbing, yes.
 - 15 Q Well, was it cutting and stabbing both?
 - 16 A Stabbing and cutting both.
 - 17 Q In terms of the injuries caused, looking at the manner
 - in which the injuries were performed, is it your
 - opinion as to whether or not these injuries were
 - 20 conducted with the specific intent to cause death?
 - 21 A Intention?
 - 22 Q To cause death.
 - 23 A Yes.
 - 24 Q And why is that?
 - 25 A There were too many and there are injuries that came

1		into the very, very specific critical areas, such as
2		the heart area, the lungs and mainly the neck.
3		
4		MR. GUTIERREZ: May I have just a moment,
5		Your Honor?
6		THE COURT: Yes.
7		MR. GUTIERREZ: I will pass him at this time
8		Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Let's take a short recess and
10		then you can have cross-examination.
11		Ladies and gentlemen, let me just excuse you
12		briefly.
13		
14		(A recess.)
15		
16		(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
17		box.)
18		
19		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu, you may proceed.
20		MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
21		
22		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
23		
24	Q	Dr. Bellas, good afternoon to you.
25	Δ	Good afternoon

- 1 Q We're going to go back a little bit and discuss it
- again. We're going to discuss the consistencies. You
- 3 indicated some of the injuries were consistent with
- blunt instruments, and in particular, let's take it
- from the top of the head, as the prosecutor did.
- You indicated that it's consistent with a blunt
- 7 instrument, generally, specifically, it's consistent
- 8 with the use of force with a hand or fist? You
- 9 indicated that?
- 10 A Or other ways.
- 11 Q Or other ways. And I could ask you about any number of
- 12 blunt instruments. If it would be consistent with the
- size of a bat or a piece of wood or anything that's
- 14 blunt, what would your answer be to those kinds of
- 15 questions?
- 16 A The one behind the ear, I cannot know. However, the
- one in the right parietal area looked like something
- 18 that is rather flat.
- 19 Q Such as a table?
- 20 A Maybe table, maybe --
- 21 Q Anything of flat origin?
- 22 A Anything similar, yes.
- 23 Q You indicated -- I think you described it as cuts
- 24 around the left ear?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you indicated in the ear. And as I understand it,
- 2 did you mean on the ear?
- 3 A In the right ear, in the upper tip of the right ear,
- there was a contusion that was separated from the
- 5 contusion in the right temporal area, so both were in
- 6 the right. But this one was very small contusion.
- 7 Q The bruise on the right ear was much smaller than the
- 8 bruise on top of the head?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Or to the right side of the head?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q The cuts on the left side of the head, near the ear, or
- on the ear, you indicated were in the ear. Were you --
- 14 A Yes, the earlobe. One on the top and one on the lower
- part, two cuts, superficial cuts.
- 16 Q Let's go to the chest area next.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q You indicated that there's approximately 15 to 17
- 19 cutting wounds or stabbing wounds or wounds made by a
- 20 small instrument?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q That excludes the contusions, the bruises on the head
- and the ear, the right side of the ear, and bruises on
- 24 the elbows?
- 25 A Yes, they are different.

- 1 Q And when we talk about the cuts in the chest area, the
- 2 torso, the trunk of the body, you describe those
- 3 injuries as injuries going from right to left, I
- 4 believe is the way you described them. There were four
- 5 injuries in the midline or in the front of the chest.
- 6 A In the front chest, four stab wounds.
- 7 Q And those stab wounds went approximately 2 inches, I
- 8 believe is your position.
- 9 A Two -- there were a total of four in the front, and two
- of them were in the upper breast. Those in the upper
- breast, they penetrate one inch only.
- 12 Q Those were superficial?
- 13 A Superficial stab wounds. Not cutting but stab wounds.
- 14 Q And those in the midline were stab wounds, not cutting
- wounds, right?
- 16 A Stab wounds. Those two were fatal, either one.
- 17 Q Either one?
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- 19 Q And we turn the body around, as you described the
- 20 different cuts and different bruises, strikes me that
- 21 the body has moved to the back. There's midline, then
- they go to the -- let's see if I'm correct --
- 23 A Left.
- 24 Q -- to the left chest area?
- 25 A Left chest area.

```
And then you describe it as a lateral area?
1
     0
     A
           Yes.
2
           But for us and the jurors, that means the area behind
3
      Q
           the left arm? Where the arm would normally lay down
4
           would be to the left, behind that left arm?
5
 6
                     THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I can step down.
                     MR. CANTU: May he step down?
8
9
                     THE COURT: Yes, you may step down.
10
           (Complies.)
11
      A
12
                     MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I'm going to take my
13
                coat off for demonstration purposes.
14
15
                     THE COURT: All right.
16
           (By Mr. Cantu) As I recall, the injuries flow from the
17
      Q
           midline and now we're at the back. And I was asking
18
19
           you, does that wound that you discussed, the lateral
20
           wound, is it behind the left arm, as an arm normally
21
           would lay flat down?
      A
           It's not behind the -- this line over here is the
22
           armpit as you all know, so-called axilla.
23
24
      Q
           Excuse me, Doctor. Would you speak up just a little
25
           louder. I hate to interrupt you, but she can't hear
```

- 1 you.
- 2 A Yes. Axilla or armpit is the same. So this line over
- here on the chest is anterior axillary line and this
- 4 line here is the posterior axillary line. Everything
- that I see in the autopsy room, anterior to this line
- is the chest. So the stab wounds that I saw were here.
- 7 They were not behind the arm or in front -- a little
- bit, one of them was a little bit in front of the arm,
- but actually a cut behind the arm, on the lateral
- 10 chest.
- 11 Q For laymen's purposes, they were pretty much under the
- left arm, where the arm would normally lay, pretty
- 13 much?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q One you indicated might be slightly forward, the other
- one slightly back. But for our terms, for our need,
- 17 it's under the arm. Is that a correct statement?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q Would you have a seat, please.
- 20 A (Complies.)
- 21 Q As you were talking, I was trying to establish how the
- 22 wounds were flowing. Were there any wounds to the
- 23 anterior or back of the body?
- 24 A There are two superficial cuts in the back.
- 25 Q Let me ask you about those. Those superficial cuts

- were what part of the posterior or back?
- 2 A Middle back, very close to the midline.
- 3 Q The spinal column?
- 4 A The spinal column.
- 5 Q And they're flowing from the left again -- the wounds
- are flowing from the left arm. We have got wounds
- 7 under the left arm. Now we have got wounds, the
- midline, the spinal column. Is it lower back? And I'm
- 9 just generally pointing to my lower -- is it this part?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q The lower back and the midline?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q Any other wounds after that point?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q And those wounds in the back, were they cutting or were
- 16 they stabbing?
- 17 A Cut wounds. They were not included in the cause of
- 18 death.
- 19 Q But they were inclusive in the assault, right? They
- were all part of the assault; is that correct? Is that
- 21 a correct statement?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And could you tell when you looked at the cutting, did
- 24 you make an analysis or a determination of whether
- 25 those cuts came from head to toe or were they coming

from toe to head, the cuts in the lower back? 1 The were oblique. They were oblique. They were not A 2 superficial, not horizontal. In between. 3 Okay. They were oblique and they were at an angle, in 4 Q other words? 5 Correct. 6 A At an angle from -- let's use some geometry here or 7 0 algebra here, whatever it is. But let's use the spinal 8 column as the line, the line that we know as straight. 9 It's about a 30-degree angle. 10 A Knowing that 90 degrees would be over here, so this 30 11 Q degrees would be somewhere in between that? 12 Yes. Correct. 13 A And the closest point would be at the top or at the 14 bottom of that cut? 15 16 The closest point to the midline? A Yes, sir, closest point to the midline. 17 Sometimes in the cutting wounds you may have an idea if 18 A either it first came from this side to the other or 19 vice versa, but in these two stabs, it suggests that 20 21 the one -- I cannot say for sure. 22 23 MR. CANTU: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

24

25

- You're looking at some photos, and let me see what
- 2 you're looking at.
- 3 A There is another picture here that is probably more
- 4 relevant, and this is a closeup of those two.
- 5 Q These are photos that you took pursuant to your job
- 6 performance?
- 7 A Yes, I took myself.

8

9 MR. CANTU: One minute, Your Honor.

10

- 11 Q (By Mr. Cantu) I'm sorry, I was getting a little
- 12 confused about photos. We have so many photos. You
- 13 were looking at those.
- 14 Those correspond with the photos, State Exhibit
- No. 8, State Exhibit No. 12. Is that a correct
- 16 statement?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q The State Exhibit No. 8, of course, looks like about a
- 3-by-5 photo, color photo of the Complainant laying on
- her chest, and what we're discussing are the wounds on
- 21 the lower back; is that correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q Are those the wounds that you discussed?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And State Exhibit No. 12, are those photos or is the

- photo of the Complainant -- you describe these as
- 2 stabbing or cutting wounds?
- 3 A Stabbing.
- 4 Q Stabbing wounds of the lateral position or the side
- 5 directly under the arm?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q That would be State Exhibit No. 12?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And you indicated also that there's a through-and-
- 10 through wound in that upper left arm?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Was that wound from the back entering from the back,
- the back of the arm to the front of the arm, or could
- 14 you tell?
- 15 A Enter from the front. The exhibit was in the back. It
- was in the back of the arm, but it came like this, from
- 17 the front.
- 18 Q Do we have a photo of that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is this, again, State Exhibit No. 12, is this the stab
- 21 wound that you're discussing?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q The one on this? And this is the left arm of State
- Exhibit No. 12, and the stab wound, that wound that's
- in the triceps area?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Is that the exit or the entry?
- 3 A The exit is in the back.
- 4 Q It was in the triceps area, the back of the arm?
- 5 A Yes. The weapon came like this, from this, from the
- 6 front, front to back.
- 7 Q Did that wound enter superficially through the front
- 8 and enter deeper, going into the back of the arm?
- 9 A And exited, yes. Superficial.
- 10 Q Superficially entering and doing more damage as it went
- 11 through the back?
- 12 A Well, equally. It's one inch apart. The entrance and
- exit were one inch apart. The damage, no significance,
- 14 the skin and subcutaneous tissue.
- 15 Q Thank you. We're going to discuss now the wounds
- around the neck area, the frontal part of the neck.
- You indicated there were two?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you indicated the sequence of injuries and you
- compared them, the injuries to the neck, the frontal
- 21 part, and then to the chest, the ones you described as
- 22 also fatal-type wounds?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q From your experience, your medical experience, can you
- 25 determine the length of time that occurred between the

- wounds, the fatal wounds of the neck and the fatal
- 2 wounds in the chest?
- 3 A No. You don't have that type of --
- 4 0 It's not that clear?
- 5 A No. You determine if it were before death, after
- death. These things usually happen in a rapid
- 7 sequence.
- 8 Q And what did happen here, what we have here is, you
- were able to determine that there was blood that flowed
- 10 into the chest cavity?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Blood flowed into the chest cavity because of wounds,
- 13 two fatal wounds in the chest area?
- 14 A Yes. Three at least.
- 15 Q Two to three in the chest area that would cause blood
- 16 to flow into the chest cavity?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And you indicated that the wounds in the neck or you
- indicated earlier that the wounds in the neck possibly
- 20 occurred after the wounds in the chest?
- 21 A At least one in the lower portion, that one in the
- 22 lower portion.
- 23 Q The lower portion of the chest, lower portion of the
- 24 neck?
- 25 A Yes, because that is clearly where it went, yes.

- 1 Q Lower portion of the neck?
- 2 A Of the neck, uh-huh.
- 3 Q That did not occur -- that occurred after the chest
- 4 wounds?
- 5 A It has to occur after the chest.
- 6 Q And your position is, all this occurred within minutes
- 7 or seconds?
- 8 A Very rapidly, yes.
- 9 Q And from your medical experience, you couldn't tell us
- 10 exactly and you can't tell us that it couldn't happen
- not simultaneously, obviously, unless it was cuttings
- 12 at the very same moment?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q But it occurred at some sequence, and you're not sure
- at this time to tell the jury what that sequence is?
- 16 A Absolutely.
- 17 Q Other than there was some blood in the chest cavity,
- there was some flow of blood there. And in performing
- your job here in the autopsy, the medical examination,
- you indicated that you looked and you observed some
- 21 swabs, cotton swabs?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And you used those swabs to take specimens from Mrs.
- 24 Flemming -- Franklin, rather, orally, vaginally and
- 25 rectally?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that was for the purpose of determining sexual
- 3 assault, correct?
- A Yes. It's part of the collection of the evidence.
- 5 Q Based on the fact that it was a woman?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And there are other tests to take, or are there other
- 8 tests you could have taken beyond that point to
- 9 determine sexual assault if those tests or if you
- 10 determined that they were required based on some
- inconsistent or inconclusive evidence in the swabs?
- 12 A No. The test examination is chemical, either one, that
- is submitted to the swabs, is a chemical test, and the
- 14 spreads over the glass light is a microscopic test.
- 15 Other than those, there is nothing. No more.
- 16 Q And you took those tests?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q You made the chemical analysis and you made the visual
- 19 analysis?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And your conclusion was that there was -- you also
- 22 observed the vaginal wall, did you not?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q You observed the rectal cavity and you observed the
- 25 mouth, the oral area?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 There were no contusions of those areas?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q There was no injury whatsoever of those areas?
- 5 A There is no injury associated with the genitalia or the
- 6 anus or the rectal or parietal area.
- 7 Q And you concluded your tests as to a State's theory of
- 8 sexual assault based on that, based on those
- 9 observations and those analyses?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Then you also took samples, you took hair samples, you
- took samples of the pubic area, you took samples of the
- 13 head, the hair from the head, and then you took samples
- 14 also of scrapings from under the fingernails of Mrs.
- 15 Franklin?
- 16 A Yes. 21
- 17 Q And do you recall what your conclusions were to the
- head, from the hair? Did you make some analysis or
- 19 some observation from that?
- 20 A No. We collect the evidence. We never study those
- 21 specimens.
- 22 Q Okay. So the hair from the head, the pubic hair and
- 23 the fingernail scrapings were given to another agency
- or someone else other than yourself to make an
- 25 observation, make determinations?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q You were just the conveyor of those samples to someone
- 3 else then?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Is it from your medical experience that Mrs. Franklin
- 6 was not assaulted sexually, from those tests that you
- 7 ran?
- 8 A I cannot say. I cannot -- may or may not.
- 9 Q Is it consistent with the findings that you have of
- 10 non-assault on a person?
- 11 A They are consistent with no assault.
- 12 Q Are those other tests that you could have taken that
- you didn't take to make a determination, a medical
- 14 determination, as to sexual assault?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q That's all that medical science provides you at this
- point in time?
- 18 A Physical examination, chemical test of the swabs and
- 19 microscopic examination of the spreads.
- 20
- MR. CANTU: Your Honor, we'll pass the
- 22 witness at this time.
- 23 THE COURT: Any further questions?
- MR. GUTIERREZ: Just a few questions, Your
- 25 Honor.

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
2	8	
3	Q	Doctor, the Defense asked you whether or not your
4		examination revealed a consistency with no sexual
5		assault. Is it not also consistent with a sexual
6		assault having been completed but where no trauma
7		occurred?
8	A	It is possible, yes.
9	Q	Is it consistent with that?
10	A	It may happen, yes.
11	Q	That's not my question. Is it also consistent with
12		that having happened and there being no trauma? Is it
13		consistent with that sort of attack?
14	A	With no trauma and no ejaculation?
15	Q	Yes, sir. Would it also be consistent with that?
16	A	Yes.
17		
18		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your
19		Honor.
20		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Dr. Bellas, you may step down,
22		sir.
23		Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to excuse you
24		for lunch. The bailiff will accompany you to
25		lunch and you will be recogned to the Turns

1		Deliberation Room at this time.
2		
3		(Whereupon a lunch recess was had.)
4		(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
5		box.)
6		
7		THE COURT: You may be seated. The State
8		will call your next witness.
9		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor. The State
10		would call Eric Benge to the stand. That's
11		spelled B-e-n-g-e.
12		m W
13		ERIC BENGE
14	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been
15	prev	viously duly sworn, testified under his oath as follows:
16		
17		THE COURT: You may proceed.
18		MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
19		
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
21		
22	Q	State your name, please.
23	A	Eric Benge.
24	Q	Did I spell your name correctly for the benefit of the
25		court reporter, B-e-n-q-e?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Would you tell the members of the jury how old a man
- 3 you are?
- 4 A I'm 25 years old.
- 5 Q And would you tell us whether or not you knew in life a
- 6 person by the name of Edna Franklin?
- 7 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 8 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what her
- 9 relationship to you was?
- 10 A She was my grandmother.
- 11 Q And where did you and she live?
- 12 A At 617 Westford.
- 13 Q Is that in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q In terms of the 619 Loop, where did you all live?
- 16 A Just on the north side of it.
- 17 Q And would that be close to the intersection of
- 18 Irvington and Westford?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q And that particular intersection, Irvington and
- Westford being on the north side, how far is it from
- 22 the 619 Loop?
- 23 A It's approximately about a mile, mile-and-a-quarter.
- 24 Q How long had you lived in that home?
- 25 A My entire life.

```
And who raised you?
1
     Q
           My grandmother did raise me.
     A
           Edna Franklin?
      0
3
      A
          Yes, sir.
           And do you have a cousin by the name of Lee Rose?
 5
      Q
           Yes, sir.
 6
      A
           And is he outside the courtroom at this time?
7
      Q
      A
           Yes, he is.
8
9
                     MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, if it please the
10
                Court, I would like to have Mr. Rose brought in
11
                for purposes of identification only.
12
                     THE COURT: Mr. Bailiff.
13
14
                     (Whereupon an individual entered the
15
16
                courtroom.)
17
                     (Whereupon an individual left the courtroom.)
18
19
           (By Mr. Gutierrez) Who is your mother?
20
      A
           Sandra Griffith.
           Is she present in the courtroom right now?
21
      Q
22
      A
           Yes, she is.
23
      Q
           Would you please point her out for the benefit of the
24
           jury?
```

She's wearing the pink dress.

25

A

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: Ms. Griffith, if you would
2		please stand. Thank you.
3		
4	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Who are the other folks with her?
5	A	Two of them two of them all three of them are my
6		friends.
7	Q	Did they know Ms. Franklin also?
8	A	Yes, sir, they did.
9	Q	How about Lee, how many years had he been staying off
10		and on or on a permanent basis with your grandmother?
11	A	He's been staying on and off with us pretty much his
12		entire life as well.
13	Q	In terms of the Defendant, Charles Raby, did you know
14		him?
15	A	Yes, sir, I did.
16	Q	Do you know him?
17	A	Yes, sir, I do.
18	Q	Would you please point him out, and for the record
19		describe what he is wearing today?
20	A	He's the man sitting over there in the white shirt,
21		white striped shirt, with the red and blue tie.
22		
23	*	MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like the
24		record to reflect that the witness has identified
25		the Defendant Mr. Charles Rahy.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 1 2 Charles Douglas Raby, does he have a nickname? Q 3 4 A Buster. And how old were you when you met the Defendant or Q 5 Buster? 6 How old was I? 7 A 8 Q Yes. Roughly about 18. A And your grandmother died on October 15th, 1992. 10 Q old were you then? 11 I was 23. 12 A So from the time you were 18 to 23, off and on did you 13 have contact with the Defendant Charles Raby, also 14 15 known as Buster? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And did you all have a friendship? Yes, sir. 18 A Would you tell us whether or not Charles Raby ever had 19 Q occasion to be in your grandma's home? 20 21 A Yes, sir, he sure did.

Was there ever a time when you or your cousin Lee would

sneak him into the house through a window and let him

On few or many occasions?

Quite a few occasions.

22

23

24

25

Q

A

- sleep the night?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Did you do that on few or many occasions?
- 4 A Quite a few occasions.
- 5 Q And in terms of the point of entry that was used on the
- day of your grandmother being killed, was that bedroom
- 7 a different one or the same one?
- 8 A It was pretty much the same one.
- 9 Q Now, why do you say pretty much the same one?
- 10 A Because he came in both bedrooms before, different

 11 windows. 23
 - 12 Q That's fine. Now, did your grandmother particularly
 - 13 like Buster?
 - 14 A At first she thought he was a nice guy and then later
 - on she didn't like him.
 - 16 Q Did you all have at the time of the death of your
 - 17 grandmother a dog in the house?
 - 18 A Yes, we sure did.
 - 19 Q And what kind of dog was it?
 - 20 A Basically we had three dogs. We had a chow, a little
 - 21 poodle and a labrador. The labrador usually stayed
 - outside all the time, and then the poodle stayed inside
 - all the time, and the chow, he basically came back and
 - 24 forth.
 - 25 Q In terms of the Defendant -- let me withdraw that

- 1 question.
- On the day in question, October 15th, 1992, were
- you working at that time?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Where were you working?
- 6 A Ace Canine.
- 7 Q And what were you doing for Ace Canine.
- 8 A Usually you took dogs out and put them on job sites or
- 9 picked them up and brought them back to the kennels.
- 10 Q How many job sites did you have to go to where you had
- 11 to deliver dogs?
- 12 A It ranged. Sometimes it might have been 10, sometimes
- 13 it might have been 30.
- 14 Q By the way, I forgot to ask you. Your mother, the lady
- whom you pointed out in the courtroom today, where does
- she live today?
- 17 A She lives in Austin, Texas.
- 18 Q And when you were growing up with your grandmother,
- specifically on October 15th, 1992, was she living in
- 20 Houston?
- 21 A No, sir, she was not.
- 22 Q Now, let's get back to the time that you left, the day
- that your grandmother died. Do you recall what time
- 24 you left?
- 25 A I left shortly before 4 o'clock.

- 1 Q And why does that time particularly stand out in your
- 2 mind?
- 3 A Because I had to be at work at 4 o'clock.
- 4 Q Now, within the last couple of weeks had you personally
- 5 seen Charles Raby at your grandmother's house?
- 6 A No, sir. 24
 - 7 Q Had you heard that he had been either in or around your
 - grandmother's house?
 - 9 A Yes, sir.
- / 10 Q And you had not seen him yourself?
- 11 A No, sir. 25
 - 12 Q You said you left at about 4 o'clock in the afternoon;
 - is that correct?
 - 14 A Yes, sir.
 - 15 Q And where did you go?
 - 16 A I went to work.
 - 17 Q And then where did you go?
 - 18 A Well, I did my route and everything, and after that, I
 - went over to a friend of mine's house and took a shower
 - and got cleaned up and went to my girlfriend's house.
 - 21 Q What was your girlfriend's name at the time?
 - 22 A Donna Lynn Paris.
 - 23 Q And how long did you stay with your girlfriend?
 - 24 A Till roughly about 9:45 that night.
 - 25 Q Had you seen Lee Rose earlier that day?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q When was the last time you saw Lee, your cousin, that
- 3 day?
- A I dropped him off at the store on my way to work.
- 5 Q Do you remember what store that was?
- 6 A Sigmor, right at the corner of Crosstimbers and
- 7 Helmers.
- 8 Q Is that close to where your grandmother lived?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q Now, you're still living at 617 Westford; is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q So you went to your girlfriend's house. How long were
- 14 you with her?
- 15 A I got to her house roughly about, I'd say, about 7
- o'clock, and stayed there, like I said, until about
- 9:45, a little before, before I left.
- 18 Q What time did you get back home?
- 19 A Like right before 10 o'clock. Right at 10 o'clock.
- 20 Somewhere right in there.
- 21 Q Could you tell us what your habit and your
- 22 grandmother's habit was in terms of locking doors?
- 23 /A Well, a lot of times I would be in a big hurry and I
- 24 would forget. But it was routine, she always, no
- 25 matter what, she'd get up and come make sure the doors

- were locked, because she was there by herself.
 - 2 Now, when you got back home, did you notice anything
 - 3 unusual the minute you walked up to the house?
 - 4 A Yes, sir. My dogs were all running around the front
 - yard, my front door was wide open, all the lights in
- \bigcirc 6 the house were off. 2^{7}
 - 7 Q Let's talk about the dogs now. You mentioned the
 - 8 poodle, and what other types of dogs did you have?
 - 9 A I had a chow and a labrador.
 - 10 Q Is your home fenced in the back or in the front?
 - 11 A It's in the back.
 - 12 Q And the labrador, how big a dog was it?
 - 13 A She was approximately about knee-high.
 - 14 Q Did you say you had another type of dog running around
 - 15 the back?
 - 16 A No. Those were the three dogs I had total. All three
 - of them were out in the front yard.
 - 18 Q You said the labrador. What was the other dogs?
- 19 A A chow and a poodle. 28
 - 20 Q Were those mean, good --
 - 21 A No, sir. They were real friendly dogs.
 - 22 Q I'm going to ask you to wait until I'm through asking
 - 23 the question. Okay?
 - Were they good guard dogs in the sense that they
 - 25 were mean, they would bark at people and bite?

- 1 A No, sir.
- 2 Q What kind of temperament did those dogs have?
- 3 A They were more out to get attention and to be played
- with than to bite people and growl and bark.
- 5 Q So you said you walked up to the front, and how many
- 6 dogs do you see running in the front yard?
- 7 A All three of them.
- 8 Q Now, there's no fence in your front yard; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q What happened next?
- 12 A I kind of hollered at the dogs and everything and told
- them to get back in the house. They ran through the
- 14 front door and immediately ran straight to the back. I
- 15 like followed them through, because I was, you know,
- 16 going to put them outside.
- 17 Q Just stop just for a second now. When you walked in,
- it's 10 o'clock in the evening, more or less; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Is it light or dark inside the house?
- 22 A It's very dark.
- 23 Q And what happened next?
- 24 A Well, I went walking into the house, I walked in the
- 25 front door. I walked through the living room, towards

- the kitchen. I like stumbled. I didn't really think
- 2 anything of it at the moment.
- 3 Q When you stumbled on something, what went through your
- 4 mind, in terms of what you thought it was?
- 5 A I remember pretty much saying something in the word of,
- 6 like, "Damn Lee, he's always putting them on the floor
- 7 right there."
- 8 Q Now, was it so dark that you couldn't see what was at
- 9 your feet?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q So you stumbled, but you didn't fall?
- 12 A No, sir.
- 13 Q And you went into the next room; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Now, in between the living room and the kitchen at the
- 16 time, what kind of divider did you have? Did you have
- a door or something else?
- 18 A It was a sheet hanging up.
- 19 O From where?
- 20 A From the ceiling down to the floor.
- 21 Q And you went into the kitchen area?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And what happened next?
- 24 A It was still dark as I walked through the kitchen. The
- only light that was on was in her bedroom, which is

1		directly at the end of the kitchen.
2	Q	Let me just pause for a second here.
3		
4		(State Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
5		identification purposes.)
6		
7	Q	Let me show you what has been marked as State Exhibit
8		No. 1, and I'll ask you whether or not you can tell me
9		whether or not this diagram fairly and accurately
10		depicts the scene at your home at 617 Westford back on
11		October 15th, 1992?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	Is it drawn to scale, or do you know?
14	A ·	Yes, sir, it's pretty much exactly like it.
15	Q	Now, you didn't draw this; is that correct?
16	A	No, sir, I did not.
17	Q	But that's still a fair and accurate representation of
18		the scene?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20		
21		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
22		reflect that I am tendering State's Exhibit No. 1
23		to Defense for their inspection. I would like
24		that it be admitted into evidence.
25		MR. CANTU: No objection, Your Honor.

1		THE COURT: State Exhibit No. 1 is admitted
2		into evidence.
3		
4	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Let's take a look at State Exhibit
5		No. 1, and since there are things in it that are kind
6		of small, I don't think the jury can see at all.
7		
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: Would the Court have any
9		objections if we did this at counsel table?
10		THE COURT: Okay, you may step down. Do you
11		want the witness to step down?
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, sir.
13		
14	A	(Complies.)
15	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, Mr. Benge, what I want you to
16		do is point things out, but I want to warn you, you
17		have to talk loud enough so the court reporter can hear
18		you. In fact, let's you and I switch places.
19		Now, first of all, back up just a little bit so
20		these jurors can see. State Exhibit No. 1, is it a
21		fair and accurate representation of the house; is that
22		correct?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Now, let me walk you through and you tell me what those
25		rooms are. Tell the members of the jury, what is this

- 1 right here?
- 2 A Living room.
- 3 Q Where is the front yard?
- 4 A The front yard is all out here.
- 5 Q So the front entrance would be --
- 6 A Right there.
- 7 Q And then this room here is what?
- 8 A The living room, and that's the kitchen. That's the
- 9 den. That's the back bedroom.
- 10 Q Whose bedroom is this?
- 11 A That's my grandmother's bedroom.
- 12 Q What is this?
- 13 A That's our garage.
- 14 Q What is this?
- 15 A Front bedroom.
- 16 Q What's that?
- 17 A That's the bathroom. That's the back bedroom.
- 18 Q For purposes of what we're going to discuss, let me
- 19 point for you, what is that?
- 20 A I guess that's my grandmother.
- 21 Q That's where your grandmother was laying?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And in terms of what you believed to be the point of
- 24 entry, where would that have been?
- 25 A That bedroom window right there.

- 1 Q The one I'm pointing to now; is that correct?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q And these are the areas we're going to be discussing;
- 4 is that correct?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q Now, you said you walked through and you stumbled on
- 7 something; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Did you later learn what that was?
- 10 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 11 Q What did you learn that it was?
- 12 A It was my grandmother's body.
- 13 Q At first you thought it was what?
- 14 A Clothes.
- 15 Q Now, you said you stumbled over something and you went
- into the kitchen; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q Is this right here where I'm pointing where it says
- "curtain," was that where the curtain was?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Now, once you got in the kitchen, what happened next?
- What did you do next?
- 23 A I walked into the back bedroom. I ran my dogs out the
- 24 back door.
- 25 Q And where is the back door?

- 1 A The back door is right here, under the deck.
- 2 Q Let's stop right there. You said you ran the dogs out
- 3 the back door. Was the back door open or was it
- 4 closed?
- 5 A It was open.
- 6 Q Now, you need to wait until after I finish the
- 7 question, because she cannot take us both down at the
- 8 same time.
- 9 Was the back door open or was it closed?
- 10 A It was open.
- 11 Q Was that normal or abnormal?
- 12 A That was abnormal.
- 13 **Q** Why?
- 14 A Because she always locked the doors. She kept them all
- 15 locked. 29
 - 16 Q So you said you ran the dogs out the back door. What
 - 17 was your intent insofar as the dogs were concerned?
 - 18 A My intent was just to get them out of the house maybe
 - 19 before she noticed.
 - 20 Q So by letting them out this back door, you were letting
 - 21 them onto something marked the porch; is that correct?
 - 22 A That's our back deck or back porch.
 - 23 Q Is there a wall all along the sides covering it, with
 - 24 the exception of the door?
 - 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q So that way they could have access to the back fenced
- 2 yard; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q You need to wait until I finish asking the question.
- 5 That way they could have access to the back yard,
- 6 which is fenced; is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Now, once you did that, what, if anything, happened
- 9 next?
- 10 A Well, I turned around and I noticed that all of her
- stuff had been strewn everywhere.
- 12 Q You said all her stuff had been strewn everywhere?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q When you talk about "her," are you talking about Edna
- 15 Franklin's things?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Would you be more specific? What do you mean?
- 18 A Well, Edna Franklin's -- her purse had been knocked
- over. All of her paperwork -- she keeps a lot of
- 20 paperwork on her bed, and all of it had been knocked
- 21 off. She had credit cards all over the place.
- 22 Q Show the members of the jury, using this pen, if you'd
- like, where her belongings were. Start with the credit
- 24 cards and her purse. Where was her purse?
- 25 A First of all, I would like to say there's a table, a

- little table right here. Her purse was located on the
 floor right here. All of her paperwork was pretty much
 scattered all over this. There was like a dresser, I
 guess this is it right here. A bunch of paperwork was
 on the back part of the bed, back in here. Stuff had
- been knocked off the dresser here; dresser drawers were
 open.
- 8 Q Her dresser drawers were open; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q Was that normal or abnormal?
- 11 A That was abnormal.
- 12 Q How were they normally kept?
- 13 A Usually when she wanted to change clothes or something,
- I would pretty much, you know, help her look for it
- 15 all. So I would be the one that would get them out of
- there for her, and I would be the one also that closed
- - 18 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury why it is
 - 19 that you would have to help her do that?
 - 20 A She was getting up in years. She was getting kind of
 - fragile. She couldn't walk too good. For instance,
 - she had to have help to get to the bathroom and back
- 23 from the bathroom. 31
 - 24 Q So she just couldn't get up out of bed and jump into
 - 25 another room like that?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 When she got up, would she walk quickly or would she
- 3 have difficulty walking?
- 4 A She had a lot of difficulty walking.
- 5 Q Did she suffer from anything medically, that you know?
- 6 A She had arthritis real bad in both knees and her elbows
- 7 as well. She had bronchitis, so she lost her breath a
- 8 lot. She pretty much -- she was just real frail, real
- 9 skinny. She just -- she couldn't get around too well.
- 10 Q Now, let's talk about the things you said were strewn
- next to the table and the bed. What kind of things
- were strewn around the table as you look at the bed,
- 13 between the bed and the dresser?
- 14 A She had pretty much a lot of credit cards were on the
- floor, a lot of her bills. Like I said, she kept a lot
- of her bills right on the edge of her bed. They just
- 17 pretty much were all over the floor back in here. She
- 18 had paperwork, a bunch of paperwork everywhere: bills,
- 19 credit cards, her checkbook.
- 20 Q Where were those things normally kept? I mean, the
- 21 credit cards, the checkbook, the bills?
- 22 A They were normally kept in her purse.
- 23 Q Have a seat.
- 24 A (Complies.)
- 25 Q So when you saw these things, what did you do?

- 1 A My first reaction was to pick them up off the ground
- 2 and put them back on her bed.
- 3 Q At that point had you found your grandmother dead?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q So you picked these things up and put them on the bed,
- 6 and then what happened?
- 7 A Well, I pretty much thought she might be in her
- 8 bathroom, because when I walked by, I didn't bother to
- look to see if the bathroom door was shut or the light
- was on or anything. Like I said, I picked all her
- 11 stuff up and stuck it back on the bed as neatly as I
- 12 could and I started, you know -- I kind of felt like
- something was wrong, so I went walking back through the
- house. As I was walking back through the house, I was
- 15 turning the lights on. I got back in the kitchen,
- moved the sheet back and at this time I had the kitchen
- 17 lights on but there's still no lights on in the front
- 18 room.
- 19 Q Let's stop for a second. When you said you came up and
- 20 all the lights were off except for the lights to your
- 21 grandmother's bedroom; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And that would have been the lights back here?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Was that usual or unusual?

- 1 A It was pretty much unusual, because she always kept one
- of the lights on in the kitchen at least.
- 3 Q So you said as you were coming back, you're turning
- 4 lights on?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And then what happened once you got to the kitchen?
- 7 A I turned the kitchen lights on, I walked through, I
- 8 moved the sheet back and that's when I saw her.
- 9 Q And what position was your grandmother in when you saw
- 10 Edna Franklin?
- \sim 11 A She was laying on her side. 3^2
 - 12 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what you did
 - next? First of all, what did you think had happened?
 - 14 A Well, I seen her laying there and I saw a bunch of
 - 15 blood laying there. She was laying in a big puddle of
 - 16 blood. I thought she had been shot first. I rolled
 - 17 her over to see if there was anything I could do,
 - 18 because I know CPR, but it was -- you could tell it was
 - 19 too late, because when I rolled her over, that's when I
- 20 noticed what exactly had been done to her. 35
 - 21 Q Tell the members of the jury what kind of wound you saw
 - on her.
 - 23 A Her throat had been cut.
 - 24 Q What did you do next, as far as you can remember?
 - 25 A I pretty much -- I remember -- it's kind of hard to

talk about this, but I remember, like I said, I rolled 1 her over, and she was laying in all that blood, and 2 before I even noticed her throat had really been cut, I 3 was looking for a bullet wound, and that's when I looked up at her. I looked up at her face, and that's 5 when I seen her throat had been cut. About this time I jumped up and I was getting ready to run off into the 7 front bedroom, because there was a phone in there. It 8 was my bedroom at the time. I had the phone in there. 9 I was going to call the police and paramedics. About 10 that time my cousin showed up at the door. 36 11 12 What cousin is that? Q 13 Lee, Lee Rose. Was he alone or with someone? 14 A He was with another friend of ours. 15 What's his name? 16 0 17 A John Phillips. And what happened next? 18 Q He came in and I started hollering at him to go call A 19 somebody, and I knelt back down beside her. He went 20 kind of like, I guess, freaked out a little bit, too, 21 but we managed to get to the phone and we, you know, 22 23 dialed 911 for some help. And pretty much all I can remember is being -- my arms and hands all being 24

covered in blood from trying to find out what was wrong

25

- with her.
 - 2 O Do you remember, when you saw her, what her state was,
 - 3 in terms of her dress or undress?
 - 4 A She was undressed from the waist down.
 - 5 0 Was that normal for her or abnormal?
 - 6 A That was abnormal.
 - 7 Q Did she normally walk around without her clothes?
 - 8 A No, sir.
 - 9 Q Did she walk around clothed?
 - 10 A Yes, sir.
 - 11 Q Did she, in terms of money, did she normally keep any
 - 12 cash around?
 - 13 A She did keep money in her pocket, but it would be small
 - 14 change.
 - 15 Q When you say "pocket," what pocket?
 - 16 A Her pants pocket.
 - 17 Q Is there any way of knowing whether or not she had
 - money on her that day?
 - 19 A I couldn't tell you that, sir.
 - 20 Q Now, you said you went to the phone. Where, in terms
 - of the diagram, would the phone have been?
 - 22 A It was sitting on my dresser right there in the front
 - 23 bedroom.
 - 24 Q Would you point it out for the members of the jury?
 - 25 A This right here was the front bedroom -- no, excuse me.

```
This right here. Right here is the dresser. The phone
1
          was sitting on top of the dresser.
2
          Okay. And do you recall how long it was before the
3
     0
          police arrived, or do you recall?
          I would have to say approximately five minutes.
     A
5
          And do you remember who the first officer was that
6
     Q
           arrived?
7
           I can't remember her name, no, sir.
     A
8
           A female officer?
9
      Q
           Yes, sir.
      A
10
           And what did she do?
      0
11
           She pretty much made everybody get away from the house.
12
      A
           And did she secure the scene, so to speak, keep anybody
      Q
13
           from coming in?
14
           Yes, sir.
15
           At a later time did you come in with a consent, an
16
      Q .
           approval, of one of the officers?
17
           Yes, sir.
      A
18
19
                      MR. GUTIERREZ: May I have just one moment,
20
                 Your Honor?
21
                      THE COURT: All right.
22
23
                      (State Exhibit No. 40 was previously marked
 24
                 for identification purposes.)
 25
```

I'll show you State Exhibit No. 40. I will ask you 1 Q whether or not you recognize a picture of that person. 2 Yes. 3 A And who is that? Q That's a picture of my grandmother. 5 A 6 Q Is that Edna Franklin as she appeared in life? 7 A Yes, sir. In fact, is that picture taken on the same bed that was 8 Q there and in the same room that you had been discussing 9 in the bedroom that she was in? 10 11 A Yes, sir. 12 MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like to ask the 13 record to reflect that I am tendering State 14 Exhibit No. 40 to the Defense for their 15 inspection. I would like to ask that it be 16 17 admitted into evidence. MR. CANTU: No objection. 18 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 40 is admitted 19 into evidence. 20 21 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, in this particular picture you 22 Q see her doing what? 23 She's laying on the bed, reading letters. 24 A

At the time that she died, was she married?

25

Q

- 1 A She was widowed.
- 2 Q And your grandfather, what was his name?
- 3 A John L. Franklin.
- 4 Q And when did he pass away?
- 5 A I do believe it was back in '89.
 - 6 Q Do you recall whether or not he knew Charles Raby at
 - 7 the time?
 - 8 A Yes, sir, he did.
 - 9 Q Do you know whether or not Charles Raby attended the
 - 10 funeral of your grandfather as a friend of the family?
 - 11 A Yes, he did.
 - 12 Q I've shown you these photographs outside the presence
 - of the jury, and I explained to you you're going to
 - have to identify them; is that correct?
 - 15 A Yes, sir.
 - 16 O I'll show you State Exhibit Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,
 - 17 11, 12 and 13, and I'll ask you whether or not this is
 - the same Edna Franklin in these photographs as in State
 - 19 Exhibit No. 40, on the pictures that I'm about to show
 - you where she was found at your house. Are all these
 - 21 the same person named in the indictment as Edna
 - 22 Franklin?
 - 23 A Yes, sir.
 - 24 Q Now, did I have an occasion to go out to your home on
 - 25 Westford Street back in late March of this year?

-		100, 511.
2	Q	Did I have occasion to take some photographs during the
3		daytime?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5		
6		(State Exhibit Nos. 14 through 34 were
7		previously marked for identification purposes.)
8		
9	Q	I'll show you State Exhibits 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,
10		20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33
11		and 34. Do these pictures fairly and accurately depict
12		what they purport to depict, namely, the front of your
13		house, the interior of the house, the back yard and the
14		back yard of your neighbor H.L. Truitt and the front of
15		his house?
16	A	Yes, they do.
17		
18		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like the
19		record to reflect I am tendering these exhibits to
20		the Defense, which I have previously done. I
21		would ask that State Exhibits 14 through 34 be
22		admitted into evidence.
23		MR. CANTU: We have no objection, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: State Exhibits 14 through 34 will
25		he admitted into anidones

MR. GUTIERREZ: With permission of the Court, 1 may we run through these pictures quickly in front 2 of the jury, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: You may step down. 5 A (Complies.) 6 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Please, Mr. Benge. State's Exhibit 0 7 No. 14 shows us what? The front of the house. 9 A What I want to do here very briefly -- I'll remind you Q 10 to speak up loud enough and to wait until I'm through 11 asking questions -- I'll mention the number of the 12 exhibit and I want you to tell the jury what it shows. 13 So State Exhibit 14 is the front of the house. 14 15 Where's the entrance? The entrance is right through the front, right by the 16 A 17 front porch. Now, in terms of the point of entry, the window where 18 Q you believe the person that killed your grandmother 19 entered through, would you point to that with a pen? 20 Okay, sir. That would be located on the side of the 21 A house right here. 22 Would that have been the window closest to the street? 23 Q Yes, sir. 24 A Where's the other bedroom window? 25

Q

- 1 A It's right here at the back, very back.
- 2 Now, you previously talked about the fact that Charles
- Raby oftentimes went in through both of these windows;
- 4 is that correct?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q State's Exhibit 15, what does that show?
- 7 A It shows another angle of the front of the house. Also
- 8 a side view as well.
- 9 Q Would you please point to the bedroom windows that
- 10 we're talking about?
- 11 A This is the one right here. Yeah, this is the one
- 12 right here where I believe he came in. The other one
- is in the back, that I talked about as well.
- 14 Q State Exhibit 16?
- 15 A Another view of the side of the house as well; same two
- 16 windows.
- 17 Q Now, you said this is where you believe he came in, the
- 18 person that killed your grandmother. Why is it at the
- 19 time of discovery of the body that you believe that
- 20 someone had come in through that window?
- 21 A Well, because earlier that same day I had just put that
- 22 window screen on there.
- 23 Q And?
- 24 A I nailed the screen on there as well. So it had been
 - torn off, and you can see by looking at the bottom of

- the window itself, it's been torn off where the nails
- 2 were. 39
 - Now, these particular pictures that we're showing,
 - daytime pictures, were taken this past March; is that
 - 5 correct?
 - 6 A Yes, sir.
 - 7 Q What are the differences in terms of how the window
 - appears now compared to the way it appeared back on
 - 9 October 15th, 1992?
- - 11 the screen had been nailed on, and it wasn't nailed on
 - 12 any more.
- 13 Q Let me ask you this: Was the screen torn before you
- /14 left for work --
- / 15 A No, sir.
 - 16 Q Let me finish now. Before you left for work on October
 - 17 15th, 1992?
 - 18 A No, sir, it was not.
- 19 Q Was it torn after 10 o'clock when you came back?
 - 20 A Yes, sir, it was.
- 21 Q Was it nailed shut before 4 o'clock, October 15th,
- 22 1992, when you left the house?
- 23 A Yes, sir. 40
- 24 Q Was it nailed shut when you came back and after you
- 25 discovered the body of your grandmother?

- 1 A No, sir.
- 2 Q Now, what about State Exhibit No. 17? I notice that
- 3 the screen itself is torn. Is it any more torn now
- 4 than it was after you came back and discovered your
- 5 grandmother's body?
- 6 A No, sir.
- 7 0 Is it about the same?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q State Exhibit No. 18, what does that show?
- 10 A That shows where the screen had been nailed shut.
- 11 Q When we talk about the screen, you're talking about the
- screen and not the window itself; is that correct?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Would you please point to the areas you're talking
- about where it had been shut?
- 16 A Right here.
- 17 Q And now you're pointing at State Exhibit No. 19; is
- 18 that correct?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q State Exhibit No. 20, what does that show?
- 21 A That's the screen door on the front porch.
- 22 Q Now, would you tell the members of the jury how many
- doors do you see in this photograph and how many doors
- 24 were there back on October 15th, 1992?
- 25 A I see one screen door and one front door as well.

- That's the same two that were there at the time.
- 2 Q In terms of the screen door and the wooden door, are
- 3 they open or closed in this photograph?
- 4 A They're open.
- 5 Q Comparing them to the way that you found them when you
- 6 came back at 10 o'clock, explain, are they any more
- 7 closed, open, than they were when you came back at 10
- 8 o'clock on October 15th, 1992?
- 9 A They're pretty much exactly like that.
- 10 Q When you left at 4 o'clock, were they open or were they
- 11 closed?
- 12 A They were closed.
- 13 Q State Exhibit No. 21, what does that show?
- 14 A That is the living room, a view through the kitchen,
- 15 front door.
- 16 Q Now, when I went to your home and took these
- 17 photographs back in March of this year, did you still
- have that curtain dividing the two rooms from the
- 19 kitchen and the living room?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q Would you tell us whether or not this particular shot
- includes the area where your grandmother was found
- 23 lying?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Would you please point it out to the members of the

- jury?
- 2 A Right there.
- Now, there's been some rearranging done?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q There was a sofa in this room that's not there now; is
- 6 that correct?
- 7 A There were two of them.
- 8 Q That's State's Exhibit No. 21. State Exhibit No. 22,
- 9 what does that show?
- 10 A It's a picture of the back deck, back door.
- 11 Q Would you please get the diagram and show the members
- of the jury?
- 13 A The picture of the back deck right here, looking at the
- 14 back door from the outside.
- 15 Q What relevance is it to this case?
- 16 A I believe that's where he came out at.
- 17 Q Now, you talked earlier about a door being left open.
- Do we see that door open now in this picture?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q This door that we're seeing in State Exhibit 22, where
- is that door on State Exhibit No. 21?
- 22 A Right here. Right here on the back porch.
- 23 Q Is that the same door that you say you let the dogs out
- 24 through?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Is that the same door that you said was normally
- 2 closed --
- 3 A And locked.
- 4 Q -- and locked but open when you came back at 10
- 5 o'clock?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Was that door closed when you left at 4:00?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Was it locked when you left at 4:00?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q State Exhibit No. 23, what does that show?
- 12 A That's also a picture of the back deck, back porch.
- 13 That's the door leading to the outside.
- 14 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what perspective
- 15 it was taken?
- 16 A It was taken from up against the wall here out.
- 17 Q And what does it show outside the door?
- 18 A Pretty much the back. It shows the back yard, the
- 19 layout of the back yard behind my house.
- 20 Q Is there a house in the picture off in a distance in
- 21 the center of this particular picture?
- 22 A It's not actually a house. It's a garage.
- 23 Q And whom does that garage belong to?
- 24 A It belongs to my neighbor right behind me.
- 25 Q What's his name?

- 1 A I pretty much call him Leo. I'm not sure of his last
- 2 name.
- 3 Q Would that be H.L. Leo Truitt?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q I'll show you State Exhibit No. 24.
- 6 A That's a picture of the back yard looking towards my
- 7 house, back part of my house.
- 8 Q State Exhibit No. 25?
- 9 A Same, from the back, out in the back yard towards the
- 10 house.
- 11 Q State Exhibit No. 26?
- 12 A That's a picture of the back yard. It's on the side of
- the house, kind of towards the east side of the house,
- 14 looking out towards the back yard.
- 15 O Now, assuming someone were to exit your grandmother's
- house through that door and walk down through the
- 17 porch, through the back yard, towards Mr. Truitt's
- house, that's what these photographs show; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q State Exhibit No. 21?
- 22 A It's a picture taken from inside my neighbor's yard, on
- 23 the east side as well, towards the back of the house as
- 24 well.
- 25 Q I notice there's a dog in that picture.

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Is that dog as friendly as the dogs you had?
- 3 A No, sir.
- Was he there when I took the pictures?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q Did you remove him at my request?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 O State Exhibit No. 28?
- 9 A That's a picture of my back yard, looking, once again,
- 10 towards my neighbor's house behind me.
- 11 Q And State Exhibit No. 29?
- 12 A That's a picture out of my back yard, once again, up
- next to the fence, looking towards my neighbor's house
- 14 in the back.
- 15 O State Exhibit No. 30?
- 16 A That's a picture on the next street over, looking
- 17 towards the front of my neighbor's house.
- 18 O And where would your grandmother's house be in these
- 19 photographs?
- 20 A My grandmother's house would be directly behind him.
- 21 Q So this is the lot directly behind your grandmother's
- 22 house, and that house right there being your
- 23 grandmother's house; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 O State Exhibit No. 31?

```
Once again, it's the same picture from the street,
      A
1
           looking just a little bit further back of my neighbor's
2
3
           house.
           Mr. Truitt's house?
      Q
      A
           Yes, sir.
 5
           And 32 and 33?
 6
      Q
           Pictures of the fence right there at the very corner.
 7
      A
           And 34?
 8
      0
           That's a picture going down the street.
 9
      A
10
      Q
           Towards Irvington?
11
      A
           Towards Irvington.
           I have previously shown you all these photographs; is
12
      Q
           that correct?
13
           Yes, sir.
14
15
                      (State Exhibit Nos. 35 through 39 and State
16
                Exhibit Nos. 41, 42, 42A, 43, 43A and 44 through
17
                54 were previously marked for identification
18
19
                purposes.)
20
21
      Q
           I'll show you State Exhibit Nos. 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 --
           40 is a picture of your grandmother that's already in
22
           evidence -- 41, 42, 42A, 43, 43A, 44,45, 46, 47, 48,
23
24
           49, 50, 51, 52, 53 and 54. I'll ask you whether or not
```

these photographs fairly and accurately depict the way

25

	1		you found the scene the night at 617 Westford when you
	2		came home and found your grandmother dead?
5	3	A	Yes, they do. 4/
	4		
	5		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I previously have
	6		shown these photographs to the Defense for their
	7		inspection. I would ask that they be admitted
	8		into evidence at this time.
	9		MR. CANTU: We have some objections outside
	10		the presence of the jury.
	11		THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let me
	12		excuse you briefly.
	13		
	14		(Whereupon the jury was excused and the
	15		following discussion ensued.)
	16		
	17		MR. GUTIERREZ: After he's through objecting,
	18		I just want to insert some of these photographs
	19		into evidence as indication of good faith of what
	20		we're not going to use.
	21		THE COURT: Okay. You have 35 through 54.
	22		Which ones are you not going to offer?
	23		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'm not offering these.
	24		These are other pictures. I don't know which ones
	25		he's going to be objecting to. The ones he's

_	going to be objecting to are right needs
2	to include those in the record, the ones I've
3	handed the Court, to be sealed for appellate
4	purposes, to show that the good faith of the Stat
5	in not using all this gory, offensive pictures.
6	Those pictures, I find one in particular real
7	offensive, and we're not going to use those.
8	
9	THE COURT: So you are offering these to be
10	sealed?
11	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Well, you have no objection to
13	sealing these in the record?
14	MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: What are those numbers?
16	MR. GUTIERREZ: State Exhibit No. 10. I've
17	got three autopsy photographs which I did not use
18	marked State Exhibit Nos. 10, 10A, 10B, and we
19	have two 8-by-10's, one marked 10D, which is a
20	shot of the Complainant with her throat ripped
21	out, taken from her feet, showing her nude and
22	THE COURT: Well, they basically speak for
23	themselves.
24	You have no objections?
25	MR. CANTU: No.

1	THE COURT: State Exhibits 10A and 10B are
2	admitted into evidence.
3	
4	MR. GUTIERREZ: Judge, I'm not offering these
5	into evidence.
6	
7	THE COURT: You want to seal them in the
8	record?
9	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, that's correct.
10	THE COURT: All right, they'll be sealed in
11	the record.
12	MR. GUTIERREZ: Nos. 10A, 10B, 10C and 10D.
13	
14	THE COURT: Okay. Those are admitted into
15	evidence, to be sealed and not disclosed before
16	the jury.
17	What objections do you have to the remaining
18	pictures?
19	
20	MR. CANTU: We're objecting to Nos. 26
21	THE COURT: I have 35 through 54.
22	MR. CANTU: 35, 38.
23	THE COURT: You're objecting to the house?
24	MR. CANTU: 38, Your Honor. I can't read my
25	writing Some of them have been renumbered.

1 May I make my objection as I come to them?
2
3 THE COURT: Yes.
4 MR. CANTU: I object to State Exhibit 43, 44,

MR. CANTU: I object to State Exhibit 43, 44, 49, 50. And so the record is clear, Your Honor, also to 52, which is repetitive of 53 and Exhibit 54, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the State want to respond?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Could I take a look at the photographs, Your Honor?

Your Honor, for purposes of the record, the manner in which the body is found and the physical evidence is very important in this case, because it goes to the aggravating circumstance, namely, either the aggravated sexual assault or the aggravated robbery portion. These pictures are being displayed, I know, are generally from different angles. State Exhibit 43 is a wider shot of State Exhibit 44. Forty-four more closely shows the fact that she is without clothing from the waist down and is a closer shot of the pants, which are in the background. The wounds themselves, in fact, are not in focus but the bottom part of her body is. But if the Court would like for us to eliminate one, I suppose I

could eliminate State's 44. I'd agree to 1 withdraw that. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. GUTIERREZ: Out of an abundance of caution, if Defense has objections, I would also 5 agree to withdraw State Exhibit 54, which is a 6 close shot of the bottom of her body, and leaving only for a proffer from the State of 52, 53, 43, 49, 50. 9 Now that I've done that, I would like to 10 ask the Defense attorney if they object. 11 MR. CANTU: We still object based on the 12 same grounds, in violation of Rules 401, 403, 13 Rules of Evidence, and that they're repetitive, 14 and they far out weigh any probative value that 15 the photographs might have. 16 THE COURT: They appear to be scenes of the 17 victim? 18 19 MR. GUTIERREZ: We have to prove an 20 intentional killing. 21 THE COURT: Right. MR. GUTIERREZ: So the nature of the 22 wounds, the struggle, the state of undress, the 23 position of her legs, the lack of clothing, all 24

those, I submit, are important.

25

1	THE COURT: I am going to allow 43, 49,
2	50, 52 into evidence. 44
3	MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, the State would
4	simply ask the Court to make a finding at this
5	time that the probative value of those pictures
6	outweighs any prejudicial value.
7	THE COURT: Well, it probably speaks for
8	itself by ruling. I believe they're admissible.
9	Let's take a short five-minute recess.
10	MR. GUTIERREZ: Could I have the ones we
11	withdrew also included in the record?
12	THE COURT: Okay, they'll be sealed.
13	MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll agree to withdraw 53,
15	too, in that there's another one that's kind of
16	repetitive, and I would like to also ask that it
17	be sealed.
18	THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in.
19	
20	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
21	box.)
22	
23	THE COURT: Mr. Gutierrez, you may proceed.
24	MR. GUTIERREZ: Are the exhibits now in
25	evidence, Your Honor, the ones we mentioned?

1		The Cook!. les, sil.
2		MR. GUTIERREZ: If it please the Court, I
3		would like to ask the witness to step down from
4		the witness stand.
5		THE COURT: Mr. Benge, you may step down
6		from the witness stand, sir.
7		
8	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) I want to walk us through in a
9		similar fashion as we did on these other exhibits.
10		Now, these exhibits that we're about to show
11		you I think I asked you already do they fairly
12		and accurately depict the scene on the night that you
13		found it when your grandmother passed away on October
14		15th, 1992?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	We've established that this home, 617 Westford, is in
17		Houston, Harris County, Texas; is that correct?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	State's Exhibit No. 35, what does it show?
20	A	It's the front of my house as seen at night.
21	Q	State Exhibit No. 36?
22	A	That's the window, the screen, that was on the side
23		of the house.
24	Q	And is that the one that you testified that you had
25		hammered shut earlier that day?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Why in particular did you hammer it shut?
- 3 A That's my bedroom and I pretty much put the screen
- 4 up and finished painting.
- 5 Q State Exhibit 37?
- 6 A That's the window.
- 7 Q Now, you testified that screen was not ajar that way;
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A No, sir, it was not.
- 10 Q When you left at 4 o'clock? I'll show you State
- 11 Exhibit No. 38. I'll ask you, do these nighttime
- 12 photos show the tearing of the screen itself as
- 13 clearly as the daytime pictures?
- 14 A No, sir, it does not.
- 15 Q You were there, I was not. Was that screen torn?
- 16 A That screen was torn.
- 17 Q After you --
- 18 A After I came back.
- 19 Q But not before you left?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q That's State's Exhibit No. 38. State Exhibit No. 39?
- 22 A That's a picture of the front door. You can see the
- 23 address of the house.
- 24 Q 617. State Exhibit No. 41?
- 25 A That's a picture of the living room.

- 1 Q Now, by the way, was this home owned by your
- 2 grandmother, Edna Franklin?
- 3 A Yes, it was.
- 4 Q The door in State Exhibit No. 41, what does it show?
- 5 What door is that?
- 6 A That's the front door of our house.
- 7 Q I notice that the inside was brown and the outside
- 8 was white; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q State Exhibit No. 42?
- 11 A That's a picture of the living room.
- 12 Q Can you begin to see in that photograph the position
- 13 that your grandmother was in?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And would you point her out, please?
- 16 A She is laying directly down there at the bottom
- 17 right, in front of the coffee table.
- 18 Q State Exhibit No. 42A, what does it show?
- 19 A That's a picture of the couch. That's also
- 20 directly -- the couch is directly right here. She's
- laying down here, directly beside the couch.
- 22 Q State Exhibit No. 43?
- 23 A That's another angle right here, walking into the
- 24 kitchen. This is the same couch. The other couch
- 25 is sitting up against the other wall.

- 1 Q Now, does this particular picture show that curtain
- 2 that you were talking about, having gone through in
- 3 the kitchen?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And what color is it?
- 6 A Blue.
- 7 Q Would you point it out to the members of the jury?
- 8 A (Indicating.)
- 9 Q Now, but for the position that you're seeing her in
- 10 these photographs, I think you said you found her
- 11 laying face down; is that correct?
- 12 A She was laying, well, more towards like on her side,
- but she was facing towards the ground.
- 14 Q Would you show the members of the jury very quickly,
- if you could show us, more or less, regardless of
- what side it was that she was on, show us the
- position that you found her in so they'll be able
- 18 to --
- 19 A Would you like me to lay down?
- 20 Q Yes, sir. If you could do that right over here.
- 21 A Basically when I came back out to the kitchen, she
- 22 was laying more towards pretty much -- I can't tell
- you pretty much where her hands are. I think they
- 24 were under her. She was laying more like this when
- 25 they found her.

- 1 Q You're indicating her legs were on the side?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Her leg on the side, against the floor, and her
- 4 torso --
- 5 A She was like this pretty much.
- 6 Q So her legs were open some and her torso twisted and
- on the floor? Okay. And that's State Exhibit 43.
- 8 Aside from that, this shows where you already
- 9 turned her over; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir. 49
- 11 Q State Exhibit 43A, how does 43A complement 43?
- 12 A This is the kitchen itself, and when you walk into
- 13 the kitchen, this is the first thing you would see.
- 14 Q That is to say, if we were to use that bag of potato
- chips in State Exhibit 43 -- I'm sorry, not potato
- chips, Johnny Cat box.
- 17 A That's the cat box.
- 18 Q Cat litter. Using that as a marker, you can see
- 19 State Exhibit 43A is the next room you walk into; is
- 20 that correct?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And if you walk in and turn to the left, what do you
- 23 see?
- 24 A You have the same icebox and pretty much the back
- 25 room into her room.

- 1 Q State Exhibit 45, what does that show?
- 2 A Those are her pants.
- 3 Q Did you see her wearing those pants earlier that day?
- 4 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 5 Q Now, are the pants different in State Exhibit 45 than
- 6 what you saw that day?
- 7 A Well, they've been turned inside out. You see where
- 8 somebody has pulled them off of her.
- 9 Q State Exhibit No. 46?
- 10 A Those are her panties.
- 11 Q Now, do you recognize her clothing, and if so, how
- 12 is that?
- 13 A I recognize them, because I usually did most of the
- 14 washing for her as well.
- 15 Q Those are on State Exhibit 46; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q State Exhibit 47, is that your grandmother's --
- 18 A That's her hand.
- 19 Q State Exhibit No. 48, I notice that she has something
- on her left leg. Would you tell the members of the
- 21 jury what it is?
- 22 A It's like a knee brace. She had arthritis in her
- 23 knees real bad. It was pretty much to help her
- 24 walk.
- 25 Q For purposes of the record, where is that knee brace

in terms of her body in State Exhibit 48? 1 It's located around her ankle. 2 A State Exhibit No. 49? 3 Q That's the way I found her. A State Exhibit 50? 5 Q Same thing. That's the way I found her. 6 A No. 51, I notice that there's some skin missing from 7 Q her wrist area and her arm. Was it that way that 8 morning? 9 No, it was not. 10 A State Exhibit No. 52, does that also fairly and 11 Q accurately represent the way you found her? 12 Yes, sir. 13 A 14 Q Thank you. Have a seat. (Complies.) 15 A 16 MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, for purposes of 17 the record, it's my understanding that State 18 Exhibits 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, which is a 19 20 picture of Ms. Franklin, 41, 42, 42A, 43, 43A, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51 and 52 are all in 21 evidence; is that correct? 22 THE COURT: I believe that is correct. 23 24

(By Mr. Gutierrez) Mr. Benge, I'm going to show you

25

Q

1		some other photographs.
2		
3		(State Exhibit Nos. 55 through 59 and 59A,
4	86	60 through 73 were marked for
5		identification purposes.)
6		
7	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Mr. Benge, I'm going to show you
8		some photographs. I'll show you what has been marked
9		as State Exhibit Nos. 55, 56, 57, 59, 59A, 60,
10		61, 62, 63, 64,65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73,
11		and then I'll ask you whether or not these
12		photographs fairly and accurately depict the way the
13		scene was the night you arrived at your house and
14		Edna Franklin's home.
15	A	Yes, sir.
16		
17		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
18		reflect that I have previously tendered these
19		pictures to Defense counsel for their inspection
20		and I would tender them again and I would ask
21		that these photographs be admitted into
22		evidence.
23		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I have no
24		objections.
25		THE COURT: State Exhibits 55 through 73

_		are admitted into evidence.
2		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would ask leave of the
3		Court, once again, to go through these pictures
4		very quickly in front of the jury.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Benge, you may step
6		down.
7		
8	A	(Complies.)
9	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) I would ask you, if you don't
10		mind, Mr. Benge, to please hold up State Exhibit No.
11		1, and as I show you these photographs, explain to
12		the members of the jury the perspective or the point
13		of view that they're taken from and what room and
14		what relevance they have in the case.
15		State Exhibit No. 55?
16	A	That was my bedroom, front bedroom right here, this
17		window right here that you see in the picture.
18	Q	Now, is there anything particular about the bed?
19		
20		MR. FOSHER: Could you stand more to the
21		side?
22		MR. GUŢIERREZ: Yes.
23		
24	A	Yes. You can see where he climbed through the
25		window. The bed was directly in front of the

- window. It looks like there are two footprints on
- 2 the bed where he climbed in.
- 3 Q (By Mr. Gutierrez) Would you please tell us --
- 4 there are no markings on this photograph at this
- 5 time, State Exhibit 55; is that correct?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q Would you please mark the indentations you're
- 8 referring to with a big circle, if that pen will
- 9 mark.
- 10 A (Complies.)
- 11 Q And I need you to put your initials and today's
- 12 date, which is June 6, 1994.
- 13 A Initials?
- 14 Q In the white part.
- 15 A (Complies.) You said June 6th?
- 16 Q June 6, 1994, yes, sir.
- Now, would you point these indentations out to
- the members of the jury? Specifically, what is it
- 19 you're talking about when you talk about what you
- 20 circled?
- 21 A It looks like a footprint, somebody stepped in on the
- 22 bed.
- 23 Q Now, that window was not nailed shut; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Were you aware indirectly that your grandmother had
- 2 told the Defendant she didn't want him around?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Did that have anything to do with your nailing the
- 5 window?
- 6 A It really did not.
- 7 Q When had they stopped painting the house?
- 8 A A couple days before the incident.
- 9 Q I'll show you what has been marked as -- by the way,
- 10 those indentations, the movements or the crumpling of
- the sheet, if you will, which is really what it is,
- is it not?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Were they that way before you left at 4 o'clock?
- 15 A No, sir.
- 16 Q Now, you're not telling the members of the jury that
- 17 you're an excellent housekeeper?
- 18 A No, sir, by far.
- 19 Q It was not that way?
- 20 A No, it was not.
- 21 Q State Exhibit No. 56?
- 22 A That is a picture of the same bedroom.
- 23 Q And 57?
- 24 A Once again, it's the same picture of the same
- 25 bedroom. It's the same window.

- 1 Q Are those what you would call venetian blinds, or
- what kind of blinds are those?
- 3 A I'm really not sure what they're called.
- 4 Q Were they that way, lopsided, before you left that
- 5 day?
- 6 A No, sir.
- 7 Q State Exhibit No. 58, that's just another --
- 8 A Another view of the room.
- 9 Q State Exhibit No. 59?
- 10 A That's another view of the same bedroom.
- 11 Q As you look at it here, using the telephone as a
- marker or telephone book as a marker, the window
- would be to the left of that marker, of that
- 14 telephone book; is that correct?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Now, State Exhibit No. 59A, is this the same room or
- 17 separate room?
- 18 A That's a separate room.
- 19 Q Now, would you explain to the members of the jury
- 20 what items are in the rest room that belong to your
- 21 grandmother or that were aid to your grandmother?
- 22 A She had a special kind of seat that you put on the
- 23 toilet itself. You can see the bars there that she
- used to pick herself up to get up. This also made
- 25 the toilet itself a little bit taller so it was

- easier for her to get off. She had also had a
- 2 certain bench in there, right here, where she would
- 3 sit inside the bathtub itself so she could sit on it
- 4 as well.
- 5 Q The bench that you're talking about, would you draw
- 6 an arrow to it?
- 7 A (Complies.)
- 8 Q And your grandmother's inability to get around, her
- 9 frailty, was that a big secret?
- 10 A No, it was not.
- 11 Q Did Charles Raby, did he know? Was he aware of her
- 12 frailty and her inability to get around?
- 13 A Yes, he was. 46
 - 14 Q State Exhibit No. 60, would you use Exhibit No. 1
 - and show the members of the jury what we see here?
 - 16 A This is the kitchen of the house itself. It's
 - 17 located right here.
 - 18 Q What perspective is this taken from?
 - 19 A This is taken from the point of view as your coming
 - through here and you're looking down this way,
 - 21 towards the bedroom, the far bedroom of the back den.
 - 22 Q So as you look at the refrigerator, you've just
 - passed the point where your grandmother's body was
 - 24 found and to the left --
 - 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q -- is her bedroom?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q State Exhibit No. 61?
- A That is a picture of the same kitchen. You can see
- 5 her bedroom door a little bit better in this picture.
- 6 Q Now, you testified earlier that that door was open
- 7 when you walked in; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q It is closed now; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Do you know who closed it before the police arrived?
- 12 A I'm not sure. I'm not sure, but I think they might
- 13 have been the ones that closed it themselves so they
- 14 could take a picture of it.
- 15 Q The door that is just closed, is that in State
- 16 Exhibit No. 62?
- 17 A The door that was just closed? This is the doorway
- 18 itself right here.
- 19 Q On the left side of State's Exhibit No. 62; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And what we are about to see in terms of
- 23 photographs, are they what constitute a panoramic
- shot, so to speak, of your grandmother's bedroom?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q So we see on the left side -- do we see the place
- where she had her clothes, where you see the drawers
- 3 had been opened?
- A Yes, sir. It's off in the back right here, behind
- 5 the bed.
- 6 Q Now, those drawers are open or closed right now?
- 7 A They are closed. You can see -- if you look real
- 8 close, they're still open just a little bit. I
- 9 closed them myself.
- 10 Q That's before you found your grandmother?
- 11 A That's before I found her, correct.
- 12 Q State Exhibit No. 63?
- 13 A That is her bed, the back part of her bed, and the
- 14 same dresser.
- 15 Q State Exhibit No. 64?
- 16 A That is her bed.
- 17 Q State Exhibit No. 65?
- 18 A That is another picture of her room. It just
- 19 describes a little bit more of what's in there.
- 20 Q No. 66?
- 21 A I can't see 66. That is another picture of her room
- from one end of it to the other end.
- 23 Q And No. 67?
- 24 A That's a picture of the same room. Mantel of the
- 25 fireplace.

- 1 Q 68?
- 2 A That is a picture of her bed as well, and the table
- 3 is beside it.
- 4 Q Now, do you see in here her slippers?
- 5 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 6 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what her
- 7 custom was in terms of walking around with or without
- 8 shoes?
- 9 A She walked with her shoes. She could not walk
- 10 without them.
- 11 Q State Exhibit No. 62, what is in there?
- 12 A Her belongings, her purse. You can also see one of
- 13 her shoes right here as well.
- 14 Q Now, in terms of items, credit cards, purse that you
- 15 testified to, where credit cards were normally kept
- 16 along with bills and the checkbook, is that the purse
- 17 you're talking about?
- 18 A No, sir, that is not -- that is one of her purses
- 19 that she kept some stuff in. The one I found laying
- 20 upside down is a different purse entirely.
- 21 Q Okay. State Exhibit No. 70?
- 22 A That's her credit cards laying on the floor.
- 23 Q 71?
- 24 A That's a picture of her bed. You can see here how
- she has some of her stuff that she had laying up

- here. This is pretty much the stuff that I threw
- 2 back on top of the bed.
- 3 Q Where did you find these items?
- 4 A All over the floor.
- 5 Q On which side of the bed?
- 6 A These papers were pretty much -- these papers right
- 7 here, what you see right here and the credit cards,
- 8 I found pretty much behind the bed right here.
- 9 Q 72?
- 10 A No. 72, that's pretty much -- it's pretty much the
- same thing: her bed, her cards, stamps and
- 12 everything.
- 13 Q And 73?
- 14 A That's her bed as well. Just more of her stuff upon
- 15 it.
- 16 Q Okay. You can have a seat.
- 17 A (Complies.)
- 18 Q Could you tell the members of the jury whether or
- not it appeared from what you saw whether or not
- someone had gone through it and ransacked?
- 21 A Yes, sir, it did.
- 22 Q Did you yourself at any point conduct any kind of
- inventory of your own items to see if anything was
- 24 missing?
- 25 A Yes, I did. I went back into my room to see if any

- of my stuff had been taken, trying to figure out if
- I might be able to figure out who did it.
- 3 Q Did you discover any one particular item that was
- 4 missing that belonged to you?
- 5 A Yes. It was my ID.
- 6 Q What kind of ID was that?
- 7 A Texas Driver's License.
- 8 Q Now, I have shown you an item that's in a box that
- 9 we have not introduced into evidence. Was that the
- 10 ID we're talking about or a different one?
- 11 A That was a different one.
- 12 Q At some point the police asked you if you thought it
- might have been someone that knew you; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q You decided to try something to find out whether or
- not the person -- who the person might be, and would
- you tell the members of the jury specifically what it
- 19 was you were looking for when you went back into the
- 20 house?
- 21 A Well, basically I smoke marijuana and everybody that,
- you know, knows me, knows that. All my friends that
- are around me know that and they also knew where I
- 24 kept it.
- 25 Q And how much marijuana are we talking about that you

```
had?
  1
            It might have been a $20 bag.
  2
       A
            How much is that in terms of --
       Q
  3
            Maybe 7 ounces -- 7 grams.
       A
            Did you know which of your friends did not know
       Q
  5
            where that marijuana was?
  6
            Yeah, I had a good idea. It was just one at the
  7
       A
  8
            time.
            And who was that?
  9
       Q
            Mr. Raby. 47
       A
            That did not know where that marijuana was?
 11
       Q
            He did not know.
       A
 12
            Did you find that marijuana in your home?
 13
       Q
            Yes, I did.
 14
            And did the police see it?
 15
       A
            Yes, they did.
 16
            And you were not arrested for that; is that correct?
 17
       Q
            No, sir. 48 24 123
18
       A
 19
                      (State Exhibit Nos. 76, 77 78, and 79
 20
 21
                 were previously marked for identification
 22
                 purposes.)
 23
            I previously have shown you some clothing that I have
 24
```

taken out of a box marked State Exhibit No. 76, out

25

- of a bag marked State Exhibit No. 77 that is in 76,
- and I'll show you this item marked State Exhibit No.
- 78 and I'll ask you to tell the members of the jury
- 4 if you recognize this particular item.
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- 6 Q And what is it?
- 7 A It's my grandmother's pants.
- 8 Q And how do you know it is?
- 9 A Like I said, I did the laundry there quite often and
- 10 I know.
- 11 Q I'll show you a bag that's come out of the same box
- 12 that I retrieved the pants from and I'll show you a
- bag that's marked State Exhibit No. 79 and I'll ask
- 14 you whether or not you recognize the contents of
- 15 State Exhibit 79.
- 16 A Yes, I do.
- 17 Q And what are they?
- 18 A My grandmother's panties.
- 19 Q And how do you know that?
- 20 A Pretty much the same way.
- 21 Q And what is that?
- 22 A I do the laundry around the house, so I've washed
- 23 them many times.
- 24 Q So is State Exhibit No. 78 the same pair of pants
- 25 that we have seen in the crime scene photograph the

1		jury has seen and the pictures that are already in
2		evidence?
3	A	Yes, they are.
4	Q	State Exhibit No. 79, or at least the contents of
5		79, the panties, are they the same panties seen in
6		the photographs where your grandmother is lying?
7	A	Yes, they are.
8	Q	And in the crime scene photos we've been talking
9		about; is that correct?
10	A	Yes, they are.
11		
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
13		reflect I have previously tendered some of these
14		to the Defense counsel for their inspection and
15		I would respectfully ask that State Exhibits 78
16		and 79 be admitted into evidence, 79 being the
17		bag and its contents. I would also like to
18		offer the swabs and all the items fingernail
19		scrapings, the swabs previously testified to by
20		the medical examiner. I would like to offer
21		them at this time.
22		MR. CANTU: No objection, Your Honor, to
23		the swabs. We haven't seen the pants nor have
24		we seen these undergarments. If we could just

look at them for just a second.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Any objection to the fingernail scrapings?

MR. CANTU: No objections.

1

2

3

5

6

7

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are these numbers 78 and 79?

The State would like to MR. GUTIERREZ: offer -- unless the Defense has any objection to hearsay on any of these bags, I would be happy to withdraw the exhibits upon the Defense's objection, but at this time I would like to offer State Exhibit No. 84, which is a plastic bag with the hair from the Complainant's -excuse me, State Exhibit No. 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93 and 94, those items being 85, being a HPD plastic bag with swabs; 86 being an another plastic bag with swabs; 87 being the oral swab; 88 being the vaginal swab; 89 being the rectal swab; No. 90 being the bag containing the fingernail scrapings and hair; No. 91 being head hair; No. 92 being pubic hair; No. 93 being loose hair and fibers; and 94 being the bag with the containers of the fingernails, all of these items being of the Complainant, Edna Franklin, along with the pants just offered and the bag containing the panties.

THE COURT: Any objections?

1		MR. CANTO: We have no objections other
2		than the hearsay bags, Your Honor, these bags
3		here. We have no objections to the garments
4		themselves or these bags.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Those exhibits named are
6		admitted into evidence, subject to erasing or
7		removing any hearsay that may be on them.
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: For purposes of the record,
9		if there is any objection to the hearsay,
10		perhaps we can, without erasing the hearsay,
11		perhaps remove the items or offer the contents
12		only, but we can work that out, I'm sure.
13		THE COURT: Okay.
14		MR. GUTIERREZ: May we have a moment, Your
15		Honor?
16		THE COURT: Okay.
17		
18	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) When you picked up the phone
19		after you had moved your grandmother over, Mr. Benge,
20		did your hands have any blood on them?
21	A	Yes, sir, they did.
22	Q	And when you got on the phone, do you know whether
23		or not you got any blood on the phone?
24	A	I'm pretty sure I did.
25	0	Now the window itself was the window open or was

it closed after you came back at 10 o'clock? 1 The window was closed. 2 3 MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your 4 5 Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Cantu. 6 MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor 7 8 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU 9 10 You have known Mr. Raby since, I think you said, 11 1989. You were both youngsters, attending school in 12 13 the neighborhood? 14 No, sir, I never went to school with Mr. Raby. A 15 You all just met in the neighborhood? 16 Yes, sir. A bunch of you all hung out together, you and Mr. 17 Q 18 Raby and your cousin Lee Rose? Yes, sir. 19 A Along with a fellow by the name of Crawdad? 20 Yes, sir. 21 A 22 Q Anybody else in that group? A There was several others. 23 24 Q Do you recall their names? 25 A You had James Jordan, you had John Phillips, and

- there was probably one or two more that aren't coming
- 2 to mind at the moment.
- 3 Q And all those guys from '89 on would come to your
- 4 home? Your home is one of those homes that you all
- 5 attended or went to, gathered?
- A We did gather at my home quite a few times, yes,
- 7 sir.
- 8 Q And you were smoking marijuana during that period of
- 9 time, '89?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q So it would be fair to say you all used to hang out
- in your bedroom or in your home, your grandmother's
- home, rather, and smoke marijuana with your friends?
- 14 A Well, we have smoked it inside the house before, yes,
- 15 sir, but it was not permitted to be done there.
- 16 Q I'm not implying that your grandmother was permitting
- it, but I'm asking whether you were doing it along
- 18 with your friends.
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q And did Lee Rose, your cousin, did he attend school
- 21 with Charles Raby or some of the other fellows?
- 22 A He did not attend with Charles Raby, no, sir.
- 23 Q And you had a job on that day? You were supposed
- to, as I recall, go to work around 4:00 p.m. You
- did a lot of other things around your home, a lot of

- errands. Do you recall leaving your home around 4:00
- p.m., going to work; is that a correct statement?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Do you recall whether your grandmother was awake or
- 5 not on that particular afternoon?
- 6 A She was awake.
- 7 Q Do you recall whether she was in her bedroom or
- 8 outside of her bedroom?
- 9 A She was in her bedroom.
- 10 Q Do you recall whether you went to her bedroom to let
- 11 her know that you were leaving the home on that day?
- 12 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 13 Q And do you recall what you said or did to let her
- 14 know this?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q What did you say to her?
- 17 A I asked her if she could get up and lock the door,
- 18 because we were leaving. I told her I was going to
- work and I was dropping Lee off at the store.
- 20 Q And do you recall her getting up at all at that
- 21 point in time?
- 22 A Yes, sir. She was getting up when I left.
- 23 Q Was she ahead of you or behind you as you left?
- 24 A She was behind me.
- 25 Q Did you leave the door before she got to the front

door? 1 I'd walked outside before she reached the front door, 2 yes, sir. 3 Do you recall the manner of dress that she had or 0 4 was in at that point in time? 5 A Yes, I do. 6 Do you recall what it was? 7 She had on a blouse with like purplish flowers on it 8 A and she had the same blue pants on. 9 Do you recall looking at some of those photos of 10 Q your grandmother and you noticed there was a blue-11 type garment, looked like -- would you describe that 12 as being sort of a nightgown or a day-dress? 13 Which garment are you talking about? 14 A Well, those photos that we have looked at on numerous 15 16 occasions. 17 MR. CANTU: May I approach the witness, 18 Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 21 (By Mr. Cantu) But in particular, this item. Would 22 you describe that as a day-dress or a nightgown? 23 It was neither one, sir. It was just a regular old 24 like T-shirt that she would put on, a blouse. 25

- 1 Q But we're looking at State Exhibit 52. You describe
- 2 that as a blouse or an outer garment?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q You had indicated earlier that your grandmother had
- 5 taken a disliking to Charles sometime prior to this
- afternoon, but it's true, in fact, Charles kept
- 7 coming to your home even after that?
- 8 A She had made it known that she didn't want him
- there, and he didn't come back until this incident, I
- 10 don't think.
- 11 Q Had your cousin Lee, had Lee ever invited him over
- while you weren't there, or did you find that out
- 13 later?
- 14 A You mean the incident?
- 15 Q Prior to the incident, had Lee, Lee Rose, your
- 16 cousin, had he ever brought Charles Raby over to your
- 17 home?
- - 19 Q Without your knowledge?
 - 20 A Yes, sir.
 - 21 Q Let me take you back to this day. You left at 4:00.
 - You left out the front door. Did you get in your
 - 23 car? Did you leave in a car?
 - 24 A Yes, I did.
 - 25 Q Do you recall anything -- do you recall whether you

- went to the back door of this house, your house?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- 3 Q Do you recall whether you went to the side of the
- 4 house?
- 5 A I went to the side of the house right before I came
- 6 home from work that morning. I went around to the
- 7 side of the house and put the screen on the side of
- 8 the house.
- 9 Q What time of day was this?
- 10 A This was approximately about 11:30.
- 11 Q Do you recall why the screen had been taken off the
- 12 side of the house?
- 13 A Yes, sir. I just had my house painted.
- 14 Q And who was it that had painted the house?
- 15 A A man. I can't remember his name. For the life of
- 16 me I can't remember his name.
- 17 Q Was it, in fact, one of your buddies, one of your
- friends, that painted it along with you?
- 19 A I had not painted it myself, no, sir, but it was one
- of my friends.
- 21 Q And he had painted the windows?
- 22 A Oh, I do know his name. His name was Edward Banks.
- Q And he had painted the windows?
- 24 A He had painted the entire house.
- 25 Q And do you recall whether you had nailed shut the

- 1 actual pane windows?
- 2 A I did not nail the pane windows shut, no.
- 3 Q Had they ever been nailed shut?
- A They had been nailed shut before, yes.
- 5 Q Were they nailed shut on this particular day?
- A Not that particular window, no, sir.
- 7 0 Were the other windows nailed shut?
- 8 A There are a few of them that are still nailed shut,
- yes, sir.
- 10 Q Do you recall the last time that you or your friends
- 11 had entered your home through your bedroom window?
- 12 A I do recall the last time I did it, yes, sir.
- 13 Q When was the last time you did it?
- 14 A About three days ago.
- 15 Q But prior to this incident -- let's just talk about
- 16 this incident -- prior to this incident, when was the
- 17 last time that you or your friends had entered your
- home through your window?
- 19 A I can't remember that.
- 20 Q It was a common practice for you or your friends to
- go through -- get into your home through your bedroom
- 22 window, was it not?
- 23 A No, sir.
- 24 Q Was it common practice for Lee Rose to enter your
- 25 home or his room through the bedroom window?

1 real late or something and didn't have his key. 2 You were talking about some things you saw in your 3 0 bedroom, photos that were presented earlier, and 4 there was mention about a footprint, and I forget 5 which exhibit. 6 7 May I approach the witness, 8 MR. CANTU: Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 11 They were all in reference to your (By Mr. Cantu) 12 Q bedroom, of course. Here it is, State Exhibit 55. 13 State Exhibit 55, you're able to decipher, I think 14 your testimony is, two footprints on the sheets? 15 16 A Yes, sir. Did you bring this to the attention of the police 17 Q 18 officers? Yes, sir. 19 A And were they able or did they do any investigation 20 Q on the sheets or take any evidence from the sheets? 21 I thought they did, yes, sir. A 22 And you found the window shade cover, covering this Q 23 bedroom window, State Exhibit 55, the same as it is 24

He has done it on several occasions if he came in

A

25

here presently?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q And you also found the window itself closed. Did
- you test the window to see whether the window could
- 4 be opened on this day?
- 5 A No, sir, I did not.
- 6 Q Do you recall whether the police officers attempted
- 7 to take fingerprints from the window or from your
- 8 bed?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q And did they?
- 11 A They did attempt to take fingerprints, yes, sir.
- 12 Q Do you recall -- you testified that you had lost
- 13 your Texas Driver's License, right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q But the real question is, do you know or do you
- 16 recall whether anything of your grandmother's was
- 17 missing?
- 18 A She had -- her stuff was strewn so much, I couldn't
- 19 tell if there was anything missing or not.
- 20 Q Well, you have had an opportunity to speak with the
- credit card companies in reference to the credit
- cards that you mentioned to this jury and you have
- 23 had ample time -- it's been two years at least -- to
- 24 determine whether credit cards or there had been
- charges, unauthorized charges, on any credit cards

- that might have belonged to your grandmother.
- 2 A As far as we knew, nothing had been taken.
- 3 Q Did you have any idea or did you know how much money
- 4 your grandmother had on this particular day?
- 5 A No, I did not.
- 6 Q If she had any?
- 7 A I knew she had some money, yes, sir.
- 8 Q I'm looking at State Exhibits 62, 63, 64. They all
- 9 seem to be glossy pictures, 8-by-10, of the bed that
- 10 you described that belonged to your grandmother, and
- 11 behind it and to the side, over a fireplace, in
- 12 State Exhibit 62, there's a number of items laid on
- 13 top of each other.
- 14 Is this the normal course or the normal manner
- in which your grandmother kept her items?
- 16 A Pretty much, yes, sir. She didn't believe in
- 17 throwing anything away.
- 18 Q And she looks like she kept most of her things on
- 19 her dresser and on the mantle place of the fireplace.
- 20 A She kept quite a bit of it. All the important stuff
- 21 she would keep on her bed.
- 22 Q And also you testified to a chest of drawers. Is
- this the one you're talking about in State Exhibit
- 24 63?
- 25 A Yeah. There were two dresser drawers that were left

- open.
- 2 Q They seem to be tilted a little bit back on State
- 3 Exhibit 63. Do you see that? The bottom part seems
- 4 to be.
- 5 A That's just the way they're made.
- 6 Q You're saying to the jury that the top drawers kind
- of lean back a little bit, the bottom of them
- 8 leaning back, causing the front to stick out a little
- 9 bit?
- 10 A The bottom stuck out just a little bit. They're in
- 11 like an incline, like a tilt. They're pushed in
- 12 like this (indicating.)
- 13 Q But the other drawers were not? That's just a
- 14 design --
- 15 A Those are not pushed in all the way, no, sir.
- 16 Q Well, isn't it in fact that they're pushed in all
- 17 the way from the top?
- 18 A No, sir. The go in a lot further. I just push them
- in and lift. When they're completely in, you cannot
- 20 see any of it.
- 21 Q Well, you walked in the house that day, about 10:00
- 22 p.m. You walked to the front door. The front door
- 23 had been closed, had it not?
- 24 A No, sir, the front door was open.
- 25 Q You mean it was unlocked or that it was in fact open?

- 1 A The front door was unlocked and open.
- 2 Q Let's see if I can find a picture of that front
- door. That front door had some locking mechanism,
- 4 did it not?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q Double lock?
- 7 A It was a dead bolt and then there's a lock on the
- 8 door handle as well.
- 9 Q Okay. State Exhibit 39, the front of your home,
- 10 closeup of the door and the screen door is pulled
- 11 back to show the door. The double lock or double-
- bolt lock, is that one that's painted white?
- 13 A Yes, the dead bolt, and there's another lock on the
- 14 handle itself.
- 15 Q When they painted, they painted over the lock itself,
- 16 right?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q The inside of the door on State Exhibit 41, the dead
- bolt could be locked with a twist of a wrist, could
- 20 it not?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And do you recall how to lock the knob itself?
- 23 A The knob itself did not lock. You could lock it,
- 24 but it wouldn't work for some reason. The lock
- 25 itself would not work on the handle.

- 1 Q Okay. It just wasn't working, but it does have a
- 2 locking mechanism?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q But on this day the only thing that locked was a
- 5 dead-bolt lock that locked the door?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q And when you walked in on this date, you walked
- 8 through this door and this door was unlocked?
- 9 A You mean that evening when I got home?
- 10 Q Yes. You said it was unlocked and open.
- 11 A The door was open, yes, sir.
- 12 Q And unlocked?
- 13 A Yes, sir. It had been unlocked.
- 14 Q As you enter the house, in finding your grandmother
- in that darkened house on this night, what seems to
- be the key as to how you found her, you testified
- 17 that you found her partially on her stomach, on her
- side. The doctor, medical examiner, indicated
- earlier that would be the lateral or the side of her
- 20 body. You indicated that she was laying on her
- 21 right side.
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q By you demonstrating it, that's what I understood,
- 24 that she was laying on her right side with her face
- 25 down to the floor?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q With one arm, her left arm, I believe you indicated,
- 3 was kind of swung over and to her front rather than
- 4 pulled back. It was pulled forward, was it not?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And the palm of her hand was, if you recall, was
- 7 that laying on the floor?
- 8 A I don't recall, sir.
- 9 Q But you do recall that her feet, her legs were in a
- 10 spread eagle position?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q And her feet, as you indicated by your demonstration,
- were pointed down, in other words, her toes were
- 14 touching the floor?
- 15 A I couldn't tell you that for sure.
- 16 Q Well, you don't need to tell us, because you
- 17 demonstrated.
- 18
- MR. CANTU: May I demonstrate, Your Honor,
- 20 please?
- 21 THE COURT: Yes.
- 22
- 23 Q I'm going to get on the floor. I think what you
- indicated was her feet were spread eagle, were they
- 25 not?

- 1 A Yes, just like that.
- 2 Q You indicated you don't recall the right arm, the
- 3 position of the right arm?
- 4 A I don't recall that.
- 5 Q It could be anywhere. But that's not the important
- issue. The issue is that her left arm was in the
- 7 forward position, right?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And her body was laying down, face down?
- 10 A Just exactly like that. Pretty much like that.
- 11 O So her feet must have been in this position if
- 12 they're spread eagle, right?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q They wouldn't have been heel first, in other words,
- with her heels on the floor but her toes on the
- 16 floor?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q Or to be more correctly, her toes or side of her
- 19 feet but not her heels, right?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Because you said that you turned her over?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q You recall that. And you called the police, along
- 24 with your cousin Lee, did you not?
- 25 A No, sir.

- 1 Q Did Lee call the police?
- 2 A We pretty much both did. We were both in there at
- 3 the same time.
- 4 O And you demonstrated that your emotional state at
- that time, was, to say the least, very anxious, and
- 6 to be more correct, probably in a feeling of shock?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And a feeling of disbelief. You indicated that you
- 9 thought it was just a rag on the floor. You said
- 10 that you thought Lee had thrown or left his laundry
- on the floor?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q One incident, and it might have been before or after,
- 14 and I'm not sure, and you can correct me on this,
- but you went to the back of the house and you
- noticed that the back door was also unlocked?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And open?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q You indicated that you had in this house at least
- 21 three dogs: a chow, a poodle, and I forget -- a
- 22 labrador?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q You indicated when you got there, the -- let me
- 25 strike that. One of the dogs was an inside dog, a

- 1 dog that always stayed in the house?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q One was a dog that stayed in the house some of the
- 4 times and some of the times he stayed out?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And then there was another dog that stayed outside
- 7 all the time?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Now, the dog that stayed out all the time, I would
- venture to say, stayed in the back yard? You
- indicated that was the only fenced portion.
- 12 A She stayed in the back yard all the time, yes, sir.
- 13 Q And you indicated that when you got there, all the
- 14 animals were in the front yard?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Do you recall anything about the cats that lived in
- 17 the house?
- 18 A I had one cat, sir.
- 19 Q And do you recall where that cat was?
- 20 A No, I cannot.
- 21 Q Do you recall the position of the door, the back
- 22 door, when you got there?
- 23 A Do I recall how far open it was?
- 24 Q If open, yes, sir.
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- Q How open was it? 1
- I'd say it was open approximately about a quarter of A 2 the way. " unlocked.
- 3
- Quarter of the way? Q
- Quarter of the way being open from being closed. 5 A
- Well, let's just take it -- well, for more graphic 6 Q
- sense, could a dog have entered or exited through 7
- that front door the way it was open? 8
- Yes, sir. 9 A
- And was that a free-swinging door, in other words, 10 Q
- did it swing fairly easily? 11
- No, sir, it did not. 12 A
- If that door was open, then the door would have 13 Q
- stayed open at that position? 14
- Yes, it would. 15 A
- And could a dog have opened it further? 16 Q
- Could a dog open it further than what it was? 17
- Yes, sir. 18 Q
- 19 A Well, I guess they could have, yes, sir.
- 20 Q Okay.
- But they would have had to push that door pretty 21 A
- hard, because that door was that square. 22
- 23 not open. You had to pull on the door to get it
- 24 open.
- But when you left that dog, you had two dogs outside 25 Q

- 1 and one inside?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q The obvious is when you arrived, you had all three
- 4 dogs in the front?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q When you got to your grandmother's bedroom, you
- found, as you described it, you found many of the
- 8 objects, many of her personal objects, on the floor?
- 9 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 10 Q Those objects that you found on the floor, you put
- on her bed?
- 12 A Most of them I did, yes, sir.
- 13 Q Those objects that you put on her bed, are those
- 14 objects that can be found in the photographs, State
- 15 Exhibit 71, 72 and 73?
- 16 A Can I see them, please?
- 17 Q Sure you can.
- 18 A Yes, this is pretty much all the stuff that I picked
- up that's there: her checkbook, all of her paperwork,
- 20 her inhaler.
- 21 Q Did she spend most of her time, your grandmother,
- that is, in this bed?
- 23 A Yes, she did.
- 24 Q Did she spend most of her time in her bedroom?
- 25 A Yes, she did.

- 1 Q And I have got an exhibit here, State Exhibit 70, a
- 2 photograph of a Montgomery Ward credit card. Do you
- 3 recall that credit card being on the floor?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q This credit card belonged to your grandmother?
- 6 A Yes, it did.
- 7 Q It's got her name on it. State Exhibit No. 69 is a
- 8 photograph of a purse, and that purse would be your
- 9 grandmother's?
- 10 A Yes, it was.
- 11 Q A photograph of some slippers. Do those slippers
- belong to your grandmother?
- 13 A Yes, they do.
- 14 Q Did your grandmother have any other ailments other
- than what we've spoken about? And I think you said
- 16 it was arthritis.
- 17 A She had arthritis real bad. She had an arch to her
- 18 foot, too. That's why she wore the shoes, the kind
- of shoes she wore. It hurt her real bad to walk
- 20 without them. In my opinion, I never seen her walk
- 21 without her shoes.
- 22 Q Okay. But in your opinion, these are not prescribed
- 23 medically, medically prescribed shoes, are they?
- 24 A No, they are not.
- 25 Q These pretty much look like normal everyday slippers?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Nothing unique about the shoes?
- 3 A No, sir.
- 4 Q And State Exhibit 68, one shoe seems to be under the
- 5 bed and the other shoe seems to be out, outside of
- 6 the bed. Would you agree with that?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q The entrance that you speculated that someone entered
- 9 into a window, your window, you told this jury that
- 10 window, when you left, it was closed and when you
- 11 arrived, it was closed on this day, was it not?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And you do recall that that window and that house
- 14 had been recently painted?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q And that frame, the frame of the window itself, was
- 17 recently painted, was it not?
- 18 A Yes, sir, but the window had been up since the house
- 19 had been painted.
- 20 Q But let's talk about that day, though. Okay? But
- on this day that window was down?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q State Exhibit 55 doesn't indicate that that window is
- open or has been opened. You have no evidence of
- 25 that from that photograph, do you?

- 1 A No, sir.
- 2 Q What struck me was like in State Exhibit 18, which
- is a closeup of a screen, I believe, and 19. Again,
- 4 so it's clear, this is the window that you have been
- 5 referring to?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 O This is your bedroom window?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q This is a screen that had been nailed shut?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q This is a screen that you had personally nailed?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And when do you recall these photographs being taken?
- 14 A Latter part of March.
- 15 Q What year?
- 16 A Of this year, sir.
- 17 Q '94?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And there's a photograph of a screwdriver inside. Do
- you recall that photograph?
- 21 A I recall the photograph, yes, sir.
- 22 Q It's an 8-by-10 glossy. I believe it's been admitted
- into evidence. The photo depicts a screwdriver on
- 24 the other side of the screen, on the ledge of the
- 25 window. That photograph was also taken this year, in

```
March: is that a correct statement?
1
          I do not believe it has been.
2
     A
3
          Let me find it for a second.
4
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, may I approach
5
               the bench?
6
                                Ladies and gentlemen, we're
                    THE COURT:
7
                going to take a five-minute recess.
8
9
                              (A recess.)
10
11
12
                     (Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
               box.)
13
                                Mr. Cantu, you may proceed.
                     THE COURT:
14
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                    MR. CANTU:
16
           Mr. Benge, you were talking about the window, and you
17
      Q
           indicated earlier the house had just been painted by
18
           a friend of yours, painted white, obviously, from the
19
           photographs we have here, and the windows were also
20
21
           painted?
22
           Yes, sir.
      A
           Including the screens?
23
      Q
           No, sir, the screens had not been painted.
24
           The frames?
25
      Q
```

```
Yes, the frames in the windows had, yes, sir.
1
2
                                 If I may approach the witness,
                    MR. CANTU:
3
               Your Honor?
4
                    THE COURT:
                                 Okay.
5
6
          Now, you indicated that you had nailed the screens
7
     Q
8
          down yourself?
9
     A
          Yes, sir.
          Some time after your friend had painted the house for
10
     Q
          you?
11
          Yes, sir.
12
     A
          Would you indicate on this exhibit, State Exhibit 37,
13
     Q
           whether you nailed the screen approximately where the
14
           nails were placed?
15
           The nails are in the end of the screens.
16
     A
           The bottom?
17
     Q
          Yes, sir.
18
     A
19
     Q
          As in State Exhibit No. 36?
20
     A
           They're in the bottoms.
           From this picture, could the jury see those nails?
21
     Q
22
     A
           It doesn't look like they could, no, sir.
           State Exhibit No. 37 is a photo, again, of the
23
     Q
                    It shows the railing of the window slopes
24
           screen.
```

down to the outside of the exterior of the house

- that's freshly painted, is it not?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Painted white, is it not?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And it shows, there seems to be, a screwdriver? 5/
 - 6 A Yes, sir.
 - 7 Q Did that screwdriver belong to you?
 - 8 A Yes, sir.
 - 9 Q When did you put that screwdriver there?
 - 10 A The screwdriver was on the inside.
 - 11 Q I understand that. We can see that it's on the
 - 12 inside. But the question is, when did you place
 - 13 that screwdriver there?
 - 14 A I don't exactly understand what you're saying.
 - 15 Q When was that screwdriver placed there?
 - 16 A Where it is located right now?
 - 17 Q Yes.
- 18 A I did not place it there, no, sir.
- 19 Q This screwdriver belongs to you?
 - 20 A Yes, sir.
 - 21 Q But it was not placed there by you?
 - 22 A No, sir. It was on the inside of the window.
 - 23 Q It wasn't placed there by you?
 - 24 A No, sir.
 - 25 Q Did your friend who painted the house, did he have

- 1 access to your tools?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q State Exhibit 58, which is a photo of the interior,
- 4 the inside of your home, the inside of your bedroom?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And the majority of the photo is a picture of your
- 7 bed?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q It's a waterbed, is it not?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q Is it a box spring?
- 12 A It's a waterbed frame with just a regular mattress in
- 13 it.
- 14 Q What size mattress is it?
- 15 A It's a super single.
- 16 Q What's the width of a super single?
- 17 A I couldn't tell you.
- 18 Q This box spring, this mattress, it is not larger than
- 19 a standard mattress, is it?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 Q It's larger than a standard mattress? Is it larger
- 22 than a queen-sized mattress?
- 23 A No, it's not.
- 24 Q So it's larger than a standard mattress but smaller
- 25 than a queen-sized mattress?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And your position is that there are footprints, at
- 3 least in the center of that mattress, maybe a little
- 4 bit more beyond that?
- 5 A Yeah. It's a little bit more towards the top of the
- 6 bed there.
- 7 Q There was a photo where you indicated those prints
- 8 might be or were. Let me ask you this question and
- 9 see if we can get an answer as to the size of the
- 10 mattress. It's important if we're talking about the
- 11 distance from the window. You have this window, and
- it looks like to me this window -- or, excuse me. I
- don't mean to say window but bed -- that this bed is
- 14 up against the wall?
- 15 A Yes, it is.
- 16 Q And the only thing separating the mattress from the
- 17 wall is the frame of the bed; is that correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And the size of this mattress is a -- what did you
- 20 call it again?
- 21 A It's called a super single.
- 22 Q -- super single, which is larger than a standard bed,
- 23 standard mattress, but smaller than a queen?
- 24 A It's a special-made mattress.
- 25 Q Tell us, why is it specially made?

- 1 A We had to order that mattress because -- well, it
- was a waterbed originally, but it hurt my back to
- 3 sleep on it, so we ordered a mattress to fit that
- frame. They had to specially make it.
- 5 Q If I laid on that mattress, could I reach both ends
- 6 laying long ways? Could I reach both sides of that
- 7 mattress with my arms?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Let me just lay on the floor for this demonstration.
- 10 If I was laying on your mattress and it was up
- 11 against the wall and if I laid both arms like this,
- this would be the center of that mattress, then the
- 13 footprints would be over to my right leg?
- 14 A Basically the way you're laying, the footprints would
- end up pretty much right in the middle of you.
- 16 Q In the middle? So we're talking about the distance
- from the midline of my body to the tip of my arms?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O My hand? What would you consider that to be the
- 20 distance?
- 21 A From one end of your hand to the other?
- 22 Q No, to the midline.
- 23 A From the midline to the end of your hand, about 2
- 24 foot.
- 25 Q Two feet would be approximately about like this,

_		right:
2	A	Approximately, yes, sir.
3	Q	So your position is, someone stepped out from the
4		window onto the mattress, stepped 2 feet from the
5		window, this window, stepped forward 2 feet onto the
6		mattress?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8		
9		MR. CANTU: We'll pass the witness at this
10		time, Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: Any further questions?
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: A few questions, Your
13		Honor.
14		
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
16		
17	Q	The gentleman that painted the house, what's his
18		name?
19	A	Edward Banks.
20	Q	And compared to the Defendant, is he bigger or
21		smaller?
22	A	He's bigger.
23	Q	About how big is Mr. Banks?
24	A	I'd say he's about from Mr. Raby himself, he's
25		probably about 4 inches taller.

- 1 Q How big is Mr. Banks?
- 2 A He's a big person. He's ever bit as big as I am.
- 3 Q And how tall are you?
- 4 A I'm 6-1.
- 5 Q Now, for the record, how tall is Mr. Raby, if you
- 6 know?
- 7 A I don't know. I'd say approximately maybe 5-11.

8

- 9 MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, can we have
- both men stand facing each other?
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Gentlemen, why don't you
- 12 stand up.

- 14 Q (By Mr. Gutierrez) How much taller than he would
- you say you are, Mr. Benge?
- 16 A I'd say probably about 3 inches, 4 inches taller than
- 17 he is.
- 18 Q Okay. You can have a seat.
- 19 A (Complies.)
- 20 Q And how much do you weigh?
- 21 A I weight 255.
- 22 Q For the record, would you agree with me that Mr.
- 23 Raby is considerably lighter than you?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Assuming that the police found a condom wrapper in

1		your home, in Edna Franklin's home, when they did the
2		investigation, who would the condom wrapper have been
3		used by?
4	A	It was used by me.
5	Q	About how many days before your grandmother's death?
6	A	Approximately about three.
7		
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness at
9		this time.
10		THE COURT: Any further questions?
11		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: Mr. Benge, you may step down.
13		MR. GUTIERREZ: State would call Lee Rose.
14		
15		LEE ROSE
16	was	called as a witness by the State, and after having
17	been	previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
18	foll	ows:
19		
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
21		
22	Q	State your name for the record, please.
23	A	Lee Rose.
24	Q	Mr. Rose, how old a man are you?
25		T/m 00

And what's your relationship to Eric Benge, who just 1 Q walked out of the courtroom? 2 We're cousins. 3 A And, Mr. Rose, what was your relationship with Edna 4 Q Franklin? 5 6 A She was my grandmother. Where did you used to live back in October of 1992? 7 I lived in my grandmother's house. 8 A How long had you lived there? 9 Q 10 A On and off for about 22 years. Did you know a person or do you know a person by the 11 Q name of Charles Raby? 12 Yes, I do. 13 Do you see that person in the courtroom today? 14 15 A Yes, I do. 16 Would you please point him out for the record and 17 tell us what he's wearing today? He's that white man over there. He's wearing a 18 white-striped shirt and brown khakis. 19 20 I would like the record to 21 MR. GUTIERREZ: reflect the witness has identified the 22

Defendant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

23

24

- 1 Q How long did you know Charles Raby? How old were
- you when you met him?
- 3 A I was about 15.
- 4 Q And how old were you the last time you saw him?
- 5 A I was 20.
- 6 Q And in that time, were you friends with Charles Raby
- 7 up until this incident?
- 8 A Yes, I was.
- 9 Q Would you tell the members of the jury whether or
- not in the days before your grandmother's death,
- several weeks, two, three weeks or maybe a couple of
- months, had Charles Raby, after an absence of some
- 13 time, had he come back to visit? 52
 - 14 A Yes, he did.
 - 15 Q Did he have an occasion to be in your grandmother's
 - 16 home at 617 Westford?
 - 17 A Yes, he did.
 - 18 Q And how many times would you say he was in that
 - house before your grandmother's death, immediately
 - 20 before her death?
- 21 A Twice. This, s whong! IT was only UNCE. 53
 - 22 Q And was he there at your invitation?
- 23 A No, he wasn't. 94
 - 24 Q How was it that he came over?
 - 25 A He just came over.

- 1 Q Did you let him in the house?
- 2 A Yes, sir. 55
 - 3 Q At some point after those two visits, were you
 - 4 present when your grandmother, Edna Franklin, told
 - 5 the Defendant, in no uncertain terms, that she didn't
 - 6 want him there any more?
 - 7 A Yes, I was.
 - 8 Q How many days before Edna Franklin's death was that,
 - 9 approximately?
 - 10 A I'd say it was a week, sir.
 - 11 Q Would you tell the members of the jury where that
 - conversation between your grandmother, Edna Franklin,
 - and the Defendant, Charles Raby, took place?
 - 14 A It took place in the front yard.
 - 15 Q And what were the circumstances? What time of day

 - 17 A It was about, I'd say, 8:30.
 - 18 Q In the evening or in the morning?
 - 19 A In the evening.
 - 20 Q Do you know if your grandmother -- was she outside
 - 21 at first or inside?
 - 22 A She was inside.
 - 23 Q Did she become aware at some point that Charles Raby
 - 24 was there?
 - 25 A Yes, she did.

- 1 Q Was Charles Raby inside or was he outside?
- 2 A He was outside.
- 3 Q Did the Defendant, Charles Raby, have anything in his
- 4 hand?
- 5 A Yes, he did.
- 6 Q What was that?
- 7 A It was a quart bottle of beer.
- 8 Q And did your grandmother tell the Defendant to leave?
- 9 A Yes, she did.
- 10 Q Was she real definite about that?
- 11 A Yes, she was.
- 12 Q In terms of him leaving, did she tell him to leave?
- 13 A Yes, she did.
- 14 Q How did the Defendant react when your grandmother
- 15 told him to leave?
- 16 A He got real mad and he threw the bottle of beer on
- 17 the ground.
- 18 Q And what happened to the bottle?
- 19 A It broke.
- 20 Q Was that in the presence of your grandmother?
- 21 A Yes, it was.
- 22 Q Did your grandmother tell him that he was not
- 23 welcomed at her house?
- 24 A Yes, she did.
- 25 Q During the time you were friends with Charles Raby,

```
did you have an occasion to let him in your
1
          grandmother's house through a particular bedroom
2
3
          window?
          Yes, I did.
4
     A
          Did he have an occasion to go in through -- I'll
5
          show you what has been marked as State Exhibit No.
6
                Did he have occasion at times to go in the
7
          bedroom window closest to the street?
8
          Yes, he did. 56
9
     A
          On few or many occasions?
10
     Q
11
     A
          Many occasions.
          What about the back bedroom, did he ever enter
12
     Q
          through there?
13
          Yes, sir.
14
          On few or many occasions?
15
16
     A
          Many.
          At the time of her death, at the time that your
17
          grandmother told the Defendant he was not welcomed,
18
           did she make it clear she did not like him?
19
           Yes, she did.
20
     A
21
                                     I'll pass the witness
22
                    MR. GUTIERREZ:
23
                    THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
```

MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.

24

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU

2

- 3 Q Mr. Rose, it's true that Charles Raby, along with a
- 4 number of your friends and your mates in the area,
- 5 entered, or you allowed to enter, in your home
- through windows of your grandmother's house?
- 7 A No, it's not. 57
 - 8 Q Well, isn't it true that a fellow by the name of
 - 9 Crawdad, another one of your friends, entered your
 - 10 house through a window?
- 211 A No, it's not. 58
 - 12 Q Isn't it true that James Jordan entered your home
 - 13 through the window?
- 14 A No, it's not. 59
 - 15 Q Isn't it, in fact, true that you have entered your
 - 16 home through your windows?
 - 17 A Yes, sir.
 - 18 Q Isn't it, in fact, true that your cousin entered your
 - grandmother's house through those same windows?
 - 20 A Yes, sir.
 - 21 Q The window that you're referring to or that we're
 - 22 talking about, I believe it happens to be your
 - cousin's window, the front bedroom window?
 - 24 A Yes, sir.
 - 25 Q The other window that you were implying that entry

- had been made, that was your bedroom window; is that
- correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Have you allowed or have you let other people enter
- 5 the house through other windows of this house?
- 6 A No, sir.
- 7 Q You indicated you're 22. You and Charles have known
- 8 each other for the last seven years, eight years?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q And during that period of time, Charles has been to
- 11 your home on many occasions, has he not?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q During that period of time, you've allowed Charles to
- 14 enter not only through your door, your front door,
- your back door, but through the windows, have you
- 16 not?
- 217 A Yes, sir. 60
 - 18 Q And when you enter through the windows or you allowed
 - 19 people to enter through the windows, people like
 - 20 Charles, that was for the sole purpose of smoking
 - 21 marijuana with your friends and your cousin?
 - 22 A No, sir.
 - 23 Q It's, in fact, true that you and your cousin had
 - control of marijuana and other drugs in the house?
- 25 A No, sir. 6/

```
MR. GUTIERREZ: May we approach the bench,
1
               Your Honor?
2
3
                    (Whereupon counsel approached the bench.)
4
5
          (By Mr. Cantu) Let's talk about the argument that
6
     Q
          your grandmother, Mrs. Franklin, had with Charles, in
7
          which you described her as demanding that he leave
8
          her home and he threw a beer bottle down. Would you
9
          tell us -- because maybe it slipped my mind, because
10
          so many things have been said -- when did this
11
          occur, if you can remember?
12
          It occurred about, I'd say, October the 7th.
13
          Well, did you say that was about two weeks, wasn't
14
15
          it?
16
          A week.
          A week prior to the incident? And during that
17
18
          period of time, of course you recall that your house
          was being painted, was it not?
19
20
          Yes, it was.
     A
          And who do you recall painting this home?
21
          A fellow by the name of Edward.
22
     A
23
     Q
          Do you recall the windows and the screens also being
24
          painted?
          Yes, I do.
25
     A
```

- 1 Q Do you recall whether, in fact, the windows had been
- 2 nailed shut?
- 3 A No, they wasn't.
- 4 Q Do you recall that you entered the house on that
- 5 evening a little after 10:00 p.m.?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Do you recall anything other than the immediate
- 8 connection or contact with your cousin, then seeing
- your grandmother on the ground, on the floor, rather,
- 10 and then calling the police?
- 11 A I walked in the house and I seen her laying there,
- 12 and that was it.
- 13 Q That's all that you recall?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q I'm going to show you State's Exhibit -- it's a
- 16 photograph, and there's photographs of your
- 17 grandmother's bedroom. Your grandmother's bedroom
- 18 was, as I understand, was a bedroom where she
- 19 generally occupied over the rest of the house. She
- stayed in that one bedroom most of the time?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And State Exhibit No. 66, I guess, pretty much
- 23 depicts the manner of cleanliness that your
- 24 grandmother kept in that room?
- 25 A That's right.

- 1 Q It was in fairly much disarray, was it not? Things
- were fairly much thrown around?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q How many dogs did she keep in that one bedroom?
- 5 A Just one, sir.
- 6 Q Was that the poodle?
- 7 A Yes, it was.
- 8 Q And State Exhibit 63, there's a number of items,
- including her bed, vacuum cleaner, a number of items,
- including, I guess, the poodle that we're talking
- 11 about. But this chest, did that chest at one time
- belong to you? Was that in your room at any time
- prior to being --
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Has that always been in her room?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What do you recall, after seeing your grandmother,
- 18 that you did? Do you recall entering any of the
- 19 other rooms?
- No, I didn't. Just the room where the telephone
- 21 was. 62
 - 22 Q And you stayed there and you waited for the police?
 - 23 A I called 911 and they told me to go and see if she
 - 24 was breathing and all this. She wasn't.
 - 25 Q Tell me about yourself now. You're 22. Do you have

1		any prior criminal meson, as
2		been handled by the police in any manner?
3		
4		MR. GUTIERREZ: I object to the question as
5		phrased. It's improper.
6		THE COURT: Sustained.
7		MR. GUTIERREZ: We stipulate he is on
8		felony deferred adjudication. I don't mind him
9		asking about that. I object to the way he
10		phrases that question.
11		
12	Q	(By Mr. Cantu) Where did you get this felony
13		deferred adjudication? Would you describe to the
14		jury what you got?
15	A	Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle.
16	Q	And what county did you get it?
17	A	Harris County.
18	Q	And when did you get this?
19	A	I got it in, I'd say, September of '92.
20	Q	And at this time are there any other outstanding
21		warrants based on this conviction?
22	A	No, sir.
23		
24		MR. CANTU: May I have a moment with the
25		prosecutor, Your Honor?

1		THE COURT: All right.
2		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness at this
3		time, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Any further questions?
5		MR. GUTIERREZ: If it please the Court,
6		just a few questions.
7		
8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
9		
10	Q	Mr. Rose, the Defense attorney asked you whether or
11		not I'm not sure what the phrasing of the question
12		was, but you don't mean to suggest to this jury that
13		you never used drugs before?
14	A	No, sir.
15	Q	And you don't mean to suggest to this jury that you
16		and your friends didn't use dope, drugs and alcohol?
17		Because you did.
18	A	That's right, sir.
19	Q	And at some of those occasions, did you use some of
20		those drugs or marijuana in your grandmother's house
21		without her knowledge?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	And do you know how many years you were on for
24		deferred adjudication?
25	A	I had five years.

1	Q	In fact, your drug use is one of the things that you
2		blame as a reason why you ended up getting on that
3		felony deferred adjudication for driving an
4		unauthorized use of a motor vehicle; is that correct?
5	A	That's correct.
<u> </u>	Q	Driving a stolen car, in other words? 63
7		
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your
9		Honor.
10		THE COURT: Any further questions?
11		MR. CANTU: One further question.
12		
13		RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
14		
15	Q	What type of drug use do you attribute to your
16		criminal problems?
17	A	I was doing crack cocaine.
18	Q	As opposed to marijuana? Were you doing marijuana
19		also?
20	A	Occasionally.
21	Q	But your choice of drugs is crack cocaine?
22	A	Was crack cocaine.
23		
24		MR. CANTU: I have nothing further, Your
25		Honor.

1		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
2		
3	Q	Do you consider yourself to be an alcoholic?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	And how long have you been straight?
6	A	Since January 27th of '93.
7	Q	As part of your probation, do they make any
8		requirements of you during drug testing or anything
9		like that?
10	A	Yes, sir. Urinalysis.
11	Q	How often is that?
12	A	Maybe once every two months.
13		
14		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your
15		Honor.
16		THE COURT: Any further questions?
17		MR. CANTU: No.
18		THE COURT: Mr. Rose, you may step down,
19		sir.
20		Do you have a short witness?
21		MR. GUTIERREZ: No, Judge. I sent all the
22		short witnesses home.
23		THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going
24		to recess you until 10:00 a.m. And let me,
25		again, briefly admonish you, don't discuss the

case nor make any independent investigations as to any matters or facts related to the case.

And I don't anticipate there's going to be any news coverage, but in the event there is, don't read it, view it or listen to it. At this time you are excused until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow.

THE STATE OF TEXAS *

COUNTY OF HARRIS *

Reporter for the 248th District Court of Harris County, Texas, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages of typewritten material contain a true and correct transcript of all evidence adduced and admitted at the STATEMENT OF FACTS in the case shown in the caption hereof; that I was present in open court and reported said testimony in shorthand, and that later I transcribed same into typewriting.

official signature on this the 22nd day of

Certified Court Reporter 248th District Court Harris County, Texas

Certification Number: 221
Certification Expires: 12-31-96
Business Address: 248th District Court
301 San Jacinto
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone Number: (713) 755-7094

7/938

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appellee

VS.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Honorable Woody R. Densen, Judge Presiding

STATEMENT OF FACTS

VOLUME XXV OF X VOLUMES

MAY 18, 1994

ORIGINAL

GINA BENCH FILLD IN

Certified Court Reporter COURT OF CEMMENT APPEALS

Harris County, Texas FEB 15 1995

Thomas Lowe, Clerk

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

2		
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION: MAY 18, 1994	PAGE
4	APPELLATE PAGE	1
5	APPEARANCES	2
6	PROCEEDINGS OF MAY 18, 1994	3
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION:	
8	BILL STEPHENS Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	4
9	WAYMON ALLEN	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	19 51
11	C.P. ABBONDONDOLO	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	57 6
13	CHARLES D. RABY	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Cantu	65 7 4
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cantu	83
16	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	85
17		
18		
19	y o	
20		
21		
22		
23		i
2 4		
25		

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

-		
2	MOTION TO SUPPRESS MAY 18, 1994	PAGE
3	APPELLATE PAGE	1
4	APPEARANCES	2
5	PROCEEDINGS OF MAY 18, 1994	3
6	C.P. ABBONDONDOLO	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	57 63
8	WAYMON ALLEN	10
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	19 51
10	CHARLES D. RABY	65
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Cantu	74 83
12	BILL STEPHENS	00
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	4
14	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	85
16		
17	9	
18		
19		
20	41	19
21	,	ii
22		
23		
24		
25		

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	IDENT- FIED	OFFERED	REC'D	SHOWN
1	Search & arrest Warrant	17	17	18	18	18
2	Voluntary Consent To Search & Seizure	26 e	26	27 35	35	26
3	Statement of Person In Custody	n 31	32	35	35	31
4	Consent to Search	37	37	38	38	37
5	Statement	42	43	50 62	62	43
6	Consent to Search	48	49	50	50	48

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

2						
3	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 7, 1994					PAGE
4	APPELLATE PAGE	•	•	•	•	175
5	APPEARANCES		•	•	•	176
6	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 7, 1994	•	•	٠	•	177
7	STATE'S WITNESSES:					
8 9	FRED HALE Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez . Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	:	:	:	:	178 182
10	WAYMON ALLEN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez. Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez			•		183 232 262
12	TED THOMAS Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					267
14 15	C.P. ABBONDONDOLO Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez . Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	269 279
16 17	LINDA MCCLAIN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez. Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez				•	280 284 286
18 19	SHIRLEY GUNN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez. Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez					287 295 296
2 0	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu					297
21	MARY ALICE SCOTT Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.				7/22	299
22	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	:	:	:	:	305 309
23	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu					310
24 25	MARTIN DOYLE Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .	•	•			312

i

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX STATEMENT OF FACTS: (Cont'd) June 7, 1994 MARY ALICE GOMEZ Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez 322 WAYMON ALLEN, JR. (Recalled) Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez 329

REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE.

PAGE

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

1	CONTRACTOR OF FACES. THE 7 1994	PAGE
2	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 7, 1994	
3	APPELLATE PAGE	175
4	APPEARANCES	176
5	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 7, 1994	177
6	STATE'S WITNESSES:	
7	C.P. ABBONDONDOLO Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	269
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	279
9	WAYMON ALLEN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	183
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	232
LO	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	262
	(Recalled) Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	329
11	(1.0042204)222000 2334344244 14	
L 2	MARTIN DOYLE Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	312
13	MARY ALICE GOMEZ	322
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	322
15	SHIRLEY GUNN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	207
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	295
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	296
17	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu	297
	FRED HALE	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	178
19	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	182
	LINDA MCCLAIN	
2 0	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	280
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	284
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	286
22	MARY ALICE SCOTT	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	299
23	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	305
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	309
24	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	310
25	TED THOMAS	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	267 iii

1		ALPHAE	BETICAL	INDEX				
2	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE							
3	REPORTER D DIGITATION							
4								
5		EX	HIBI	TS				
6	EXHIBIT NUMBER_	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	IDENT- IFIED	OFFERED	REC'I		
7	SX-74 SX-75	Box Purse & contents	196 196	197 196	231	231	XXVIII	
8	SX-75	Pc. of carpet	190	150	231	231	XXVIII	
9	SX-84	Loose hair		3	193	193	XXVIII	
10	SX-95	Consent for Search & seizure	205 205	205 205	206 206	206 206	XXVIII	
11	SX-96	Statement of Person in custody	209 form	209	210	210	XXVIII	
12	SX-97	Voluntary consent	214	214	216	216	XXVIII	
13	SX-98	Statement	221	221	274	274	XXVIII	
13	SX-99	Consent to	329	329	330	331	IIIVXX	
14	N=	Search						
	SX-100	Paper bag	217	217			XXVIII	
15	SX-101	Pulled pubic hair		219			XXVIII	
	SX-102	Pulled head hair	217	219			XXVIII	
16	SX-103 SX-104	Loose head hair Loose pubic hair	217 217	219 219			XXVIII	
17	SX-104	Property bag	217	217			XXVIII	
17	SX-106	Hair in hand	217	219	268	268	IIIVXX	
18	SX-107	Loose head hair	217	220			IIIVXX	
10	SX-108	Pulled head hair	217	220			IIIVXX	
19	SX-109	TV Guide	282	282	283	283	IIIVXX	
	SX-110	Jacket	309	309			XXVIII	
20	SX-111	Photos	330	330	30	331	XXVIII	
21	DEFENSE	EXHIBITS						
22	DX-1	Photograph	249	249	249	249	XXVIII	
23	DX-2	Tray	250	250	251	251	IIIVXX	
2 4	Commence (V) SY-94	-						
25								
						iv		

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 248th DISTRICT COURT

vs.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY * HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S

APPEARANCES

FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:

Mr. Roberto Gutierrez District Attorney's Office 201 Fannin Houston, Texas

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Mr. Felix Cantu
Attorney at Law
618 East 28
Houston, Texas 77008
And
Mr. Michael P. Fosher
Attorney at Law
440 Louisiana
Houston, Texas 77002

BE IT REMEMBERED, that upon this 7th day of June, 1994, the above entitled and numbered cause came for STATEMENT OF FACTS before Woody R. Densen, Judge of the 248th District Court of Harris County, Texas; and the State appearing by counsel and the Defendant appearing in person and by counsel announced ready to proceed; and all preliminaries having been disposed of, the following proceedings were had, viz:

JUNE 7, 1994 1 2 THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice that 3 Mr. Fosher did appear yesterday. He was a little late, but he did appear at trial. 5 MR. GUTIERREZ: I know the record was silent 6 on it, Judge, and I appreciate the opportunity to put that on the record. My recollection is that 8 Mr. Fosher walked in in the first part of Dr. 9 Bellas' testimony, when I was in front of the jury 10 with him, explaining some of the injuries, and Mr. 11 Fosher remained in Court yesterday for most of the 12 day up until about 4:30 in the afternoon. And 13 he's back now today. 14 THE COURT: And I have given Mr. Fosher leave 15 to leave early because he's in a little bit of 16 17 pain. MR. FOSHER: Thank you, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Let's bring the jury out. 19 20 (Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury 21 22 box.)

THE COURT: You may be seated.

The State will call your next witness.

23

24

25

177

	1	MR. GUTIERREZ: State calls Fred Hale, Your
	2	Honor.
	3	THE COURT: You have not been sworn?
	4	THE WITNESS: No.
	5	THE COURT: Are there any other witnesses
	6	here who have not been sworn?
9	7	MR. CANTU: I don't have any. 64
	8	
	9	FRED HALE
	10	was called as a witness by the State, and after having been
	11	first duly sworn, testified under his oath as follows:
	12	
	13	THE COURT: The rule has been invoked. You
	14	understand you are not to discuss your testimony
	15	with the other witnesses nor allow them to discuss
	16	their testimony with you. You may be seated.
	17	MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
	18	
	19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
	20	
	21	Q State your name for the members of the jury, please.
	22	A I'm Officer Fred Hale.
	23	Q How long have you been a peace officer?
	24	A little over 18 years.
	25	Q And whom do you work for?

- 1 A City of Houston Police Department.
- 2 Q Have you worked for the City of Houston Police
- 3 Department all of those 18 years?
- 4 A Yes, I have.
- 5 Q To what divisions have you been assigned?
- A Patrol for five years, and in August of '82, I went to
- 7 the Crime Scene Section, which is now a section of the
- 8 Homicide Division.
- 9 Q Would you explain to the members of this jury what a
- 10 Crime Scene Section officer is supposed to do?
- 11 A The primary function is to photograph the scene and
- 12 recover physical evidence, preserve the evidence. The
- evidence is then tagged in various divisions to be
- 14 processed later.
- 15 Q One of those duties of a Crime Scene Unit, does that
- include making what we refer to as morgue runs?
- 17 A Yes. Each officer is assigned once a month to make a
- run to the morgue to recover personal property, which
- 19 also includes evidence which was recovered by the
- 20 doctors performing the autopsies. This evidence is
- subsequently tagged in our Property Room and later
- 22 analyzed in our Crime Lab.
- 23 Q You go, you don't pick up evidence pertaining to one
- case; you pick up evidence pertaining to a bunch of
- 25 cases?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you happen to make a morgue run in this case, in
- 3 the case of The State Vs. Charles Raby, picking up some
- items at the morgue back in October of 1992, more
- 5 specifically, October 30th, 1992?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 Q Did you take the items sitting in front of you and
- enclose in a bag marked State's Exhibit No. 85 from the
- 9 morgue somewhere?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And where did you take the items in there?
- 12 A This was taken and tagged in our Property Room, Police
- 13 Property Room freezer.
- 14 Q Now, would you explain to the members of the jury, who
- 15 has access to the Police Property Room?
- 16 A Just the officers tagging the property, and the
- Property Room is sealed off, which is just the
- employees who work in the Property Room itself are
- 19 allowed access to that area.
- 20 Q When you say they're sealed off, what kind of barriers
- 21 are there?
- 22 A The inside section of the Property Room has a mesh,
- 23 steel cage with a small area that you can pass the
- 24 property in, the evidence through, and then they have a
- 25 caged door that they enter.

- 1 Q Now, you know that's the envelope that's marked State
- 2 Exhibit No. 85, because it has whose writing on it?
- 3 A It's my handwriting on it.
- 4 Q Would you tell the members of the jury specifically
- what it was that you took from the morgue to the
- 6 Houston Police Department Property Room?
- 7 A Listed described on the property is fingernails, three
- 8 swabs, three plastic bags containing hairs.
- 9 Q And I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit
- 90, a plastic bag containing several items in there,
- and I'll ask you whether or not those items that you
- 12 took include the exhibits in there that I am now
- 13 setting out before you.
- 14 A Yes, sir, they are.
- 15 Q Are they two containers containing fingernails and
- 16 then, of course, a plastic bag marked State Exhibit No.
- 17 94?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And the plastic bag marked State Exhibit No. 92,
- containing hair, pubic hair, and 93, loose fiber and
- 21 hair, and 91, pulled head hair, and State Exhibit 89,
- 22 87 and 88, all of them being boxes of swabs; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Is that all you did in this case?

	1	A	Yes, it is.
	2	Q	Did you up until recently have a co-worker by the name
	3		of Jim Norris, also jokingly referred to as Chuck
	4		Norris?
	5	A	Yes.
	6	Q	How long had he worked in the Crime Scene Unit
	7		Division?
	8	A	He was probably over there seven years.
	9	Q	Did he recently quit to leave the state to raise emus?
	10	A	Within the last month
	11	Q	Was it an expected termination on his part? I mean,
	12		did he leave voluntarily?
	13	A	Yes, he left voluntarily.
	14	Q	But was his announcement very sudden?
	15	A	Very sudden, yes.
	16	Q	Do you know what state he went to?
_	17	A	No, I'm not really sure.
2	18	Q	Thank you. 65
	19		
	20		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
	21		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
	22		
	23		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
	24		
	25	Q	Officer Hale, did you do anything with these exhibits

1		other than convey them or bring them from the Medical
2		Examiner's Office to the HPD station?
3	A	They were just basically transported from the ME's
4		office to the Property Room.
5	Q	And any analysis was done by someone else other than
6		yourself?
7	A	Correct, yes, sir.
8		
9		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness.
10		THE COURT: Any further questions?
11		MR. GUTIERREZ: Not at this time, Your Honor.
12		THE COURT: Mr. Hale, you may step down.
13		State will call your next witness.
14		MR. GUTIERREZ: State would call Waymon
15		Allen.
16		
17		WAYMON ALLEN
18	was	called as a witness, and after having been previously
19	duly	sworn, testified under his oath as follows:
20		
21		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
22		
23	Q	Would you state your name, please.
24	A	Waymon Allen, Junior.
25	Q	Would you please tell the members of the jury what you

- 1 do for a living?
- 2 A I'm a sergeant with the City of Houston Police
- 3 Department, Homicide Division.
- 4 Q And are you a police officer?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q How long have you been a police officer?
- 7 A Nineteen-and-a-half years.
- 8 Q And during those 19-and-a-half years, what divisions
- 9 have you been assigned to?
- 10 A I worked patrol and uniform for eight years, and
- promoted in 1982 to the rank of detective. Worked
- burglary and theft, robbery, and transferred to
- 13 Internal Affairs Division 14 months, and came to the
- 14 Homicide Division in 1986.
- 15 Q That means as of today, you have been there how many
- years exactly?
- 17 A About seven years.
- 18 Q What shift are you working right now?
- 19 A Working the evening shift.
- 20 Q And what hours are those?
- 21 A From 4:00 p.m. to midnight.
- 22 Q Did you work last night?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q What hours were you working back in October of 1992?
- 25 A I was working the day shift at that time. It's from

- 1 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.
- 2 Q Can you explain to the members, first of all, did you
- 3 get a call -- were you assigned to work a case
- 4 involving a killing of a lady by the name of Edna
- Franklin, in the 600 block of Westford, in Houston,
- 6 Harris County, Texas?
- 7 A Yes, sir, I was assigned to that case.
- 8 Q About what time did you receive the call?
- 9 A About 10:15 p.m.
- 10 Q Would you please explain to the members of the jury, if
- your shift was from 7:00 in the morning until 3:00 in
- the morning -- excuse me, until 3:00 in the afternoon,
- how could it be possible that you get a call at ten
- o'clock in the evening to go work a case?
- 15 A Yes, sir. The Homicide Division has squads that are on
- 16 call, teams that respond to homicides in the evening
- 17 time and especially in the later morning hours to
- 18 assist. At that time the night shift division had very
- 19 few personnel.
- 20 Q And about how many teams were on duty at the time, do
- you recall? How many teams were available?
- 22 A On the night shift?
- 23 Q On any shift, right.
- 24 A I have no idea what the manpower strength was on that
- particular day, on October 15th of 1992. I received a

- call from the night shift Lieutenant, who was
- 2 Lieutenant Gaford at that time, and he assigned myself
- and my partner, Sergeant Wendel, to make the scene.
- 4 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury what it is
- 5 that each detective does on a crime scene, a homicide
- 6 scene, when there are two detectives? How do you
- 7 divide your duties?
- 8 A Primarily we divide the crime scene investigations into
- 9 two areas: one, the Sergeant will assume the
- 10 responsibility for the crime scene investigation, the
- other Sergeant interviews witnesses and canvasses the
- neighborhood for photo witnesses and things like that.
- 13 He may do a hospital investigation, if one is
- warranted, if the person is injured and transported to
- 15 the hospital, and that Sergeant would be responsible
- for getting that information. Mainly he is developing
- 17 the details as to what happened while the other
- investigator is focusing his attention on the crime
- 19 scene itself.
- 20 Q In this case, what did Wendel do and what did you do?
- 21 A I conducted the crime scene investigation, which was
- 22 located on Westford. My partner interviewed witnesses
- 23 and talked with neighbors.
- 24 Q Who was the crime scene unit officer?
- 25 A It was Officer Norris.

- 1 Q And Jim Norris no longer works for the Houston Police
- 2 Department; is that correct?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q He stopped working a couple weeks ago?
- 5 A That's right.
- 6 Q Now, would you tell the members of the jury what the
- 7 function of a Crime Scene Unit officer is and how it
- 8 overlaps, if it does, with what you do?
- 9 A The Crime Scene Unit assists the homicide sergeants
- 10 with processing the scene. The Crime Scene Unit
- officer's function is to photograph the scene. It's
- done normally with a 35 millimeter camera. He can also
- video record the crime scene. He takes measurements so
- 14 that a crime scene diagram can be prepared, and he
- 15 collects any evidence that I would direct him to
- 16 recover.
- 17 Q And would you be there when the recovery takes place,
- 18 or at least was that the case in this case?
- 19 A Yes, sir. Well, in all crime scenes we don't have
- 20 anything moved until I have an opportunity to examine
- 21 and document the crime scene.
- 22 Q And, of course, one way of making sure nothing is moved
- is to have the first officer on the scene to secure the
- scene, move everyone away from the scene and not let
- anybody go on there; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- in evidence. You and I have gone over them outside the
- 4 presence of the jury. And at least the nighttime
- 5 photographs that are in these pictures were taken by
- 6 whom?
- 7 A The nighttime photos of the crime scene were taken by
- 8 Officer Norris.
- 9 Q And I don't know if you have had an opportunity to look
- 10 at the blowup of the diagram, but is this the type of
- 11 diagram that a crime scene officer would draw?
- 12 A Yes, sir, it is. That's an enlargement of Officer
- Norris' diagram.
- 14 Q Now, when you arrived at the scene, would you tell us
- what evidence, if any, you found that was consistent
- with an aggravated sexual assault or an attempt to
- 17 commit an aggravated sexual assault?
- 18 A Well, in examining the scene itself, of course, the
- victim in this case, Ms. Franklin, was lying in the
- living room floor. She was nude from the waist down.
- 21 Her blue jean pants had been turned inside out and
- 22 pulled off of the body and discarded a couple feet from
- 23 the body. Her panties had been ripped off and
- 24 discarded. Obviously in these type of scenes, when
- someone has been disrobed in that manner, the pants

turned inside out, that would be indicative of an 1 attempted sexual assault or possible sexual assault. 2 What evidence, if any, did you find that was consistent 3 Q with a robbery that was committed or attempted? Well, there was what appeared to be forced entry in the 5 A southeast bedroom of this residence. A window screen 6 had been dislodged from the window that was located in 7 the southeast corner of the room. There was a 8 screwdriver lying in this window, on the ledge. 9 closer examination, there was a fresh wood chip lying 10 in there. It looked like it had just been pried loose 11 recently. In the Complainant's bedroom, Ms. Franklin's 12 bedroom, the contents of her purse had been dumped out 13 14 onto the bed and articles scattered onto the floor. When you talk about a robbery, this would be under 15 the elements, under the law, it would be a burglary 16 based on the type of entry that was there; however, 17 with an individual injured or in this case a victim 18 19 murdered, a burglary would then constitute a robbery. Now, the details of the findings of the physical 20 Q evidence, I mean, you were aware that Eric had entered 21 the house and removed some of the items, gathered some 22 23 of the items together, before he found his grandmother dead. But when you arrived, there was still some 24 25 disarray in terms of the items having been moved or

taken out of the purse; is that correct?

1.8

Q

A

As I testified, the contents of the purse was dumped out on the bed and there were some credit cards on the floor, underneath the bed. I believe that Mr. Benge told us that he had gone into the room because there was some running water in the bathroom and that he had washed his hands, because he had attempted to revive the victim, Ms. Franklin.

Let me ask you this. In terms of physical evidence, what was it that was recovered at the scene by Jim Norris, in your presence, that would have been significant? First of all, did you observe anything at the scene that was consistent with someone maybe having cleaned their hands?

Yes, sir. Adjacent to the victim, Ms. Franklin, there was a towel on the floor that had some blood smears on it. It was my opinion that the suspect had wiped his hands or cleaned his hands, because there was no blood found on the items that were scattered on the bed. The purse itself had no blood on it. There was no blood on any of the papers, credit cards or anything within the bedroom area. Additionally, the exit at this crime scene, the exit, meaning that the door we felt that the suspect had left the residence, was the rear door of Ms. Franklin's bedroom. There was no blood located at

- this exit point.
- 2 Q In terms of the point of exit, what was your belief, in
- 3 terms of where the person had exited the house?
- A Again, that would have been the rear door that was from
- 5 Ms. Franklin's bedroom and into the back yard.
- 6 Q I'm going to show you these exhibits that I believe I
- 7 have gotten in some sort of order. Would you look
- 8 through these exhibits, which are already in evidence,
- and point out to us the item that you believe the
- 10 assailant used to clean his or her hands?
- 11 A Exhibit 42 shows the towel that I referred to, just to
- the southwest of Ms. Franklin's head. Also Exhibit 43,
- 13 you can see --
- 14 Q Would you raise it and point out to the members of the
- jury now?
- 16 A This towel right here (indicating).
- 17 Q That means that the towel above the head; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Did you see anything on the Complainant's body that
- 21 drew your attention, that you asked Mr. Norris to
- 22 collect?
- 23 A Yes, sir. There was some hair clumped in the victim's
- 24 hands. There was quite a bit of hair in the right
- 25 hand. There was some hair, loose hair, on the left

- hand, and a couple other hairs on the body. NO MENTION OF
 - Were you aware there was a dog in the house? 2 Q
 - A Yes, sir, I was. 3
 - But you had some of those collected, at least some of 4 Q
 - those collected anyway; is that correct? 5
 - That's correct. 6 A
 - Are you familiar with Jim Norris' handwriting? 7 Q
 - A 8 Yes.
 - I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit 84 9
 - and ask you whether or not you recognize that to be the 10
 - handwriting of Jim Norris and whether or not that 11
 - 12 particular content of that exhibit has relevance to
 - this case? 13
 - Yes, sir. Well, this is printing that was done by 14
 - Officer Norris of the crime scene, and he has noted 15
 - that it was recovered by himself, and it's loose hairs 16
 - that was taken from the Complaint's left hand in this 17
 - 18 particular package.
 - And did you observe him recover the hair, put it in the 19 Q
 - bag and marked the bag? 20
 - 21 A Yes, sir.
 - Where would that hair have come from? Which hand, if 22
 - 23 you know?
 - 24 A The left hand.

25

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: Now, I would like to have the
2		record reflect I am tendering State Exhibit No. 84
3		to the Defense for his inspection. We would ask
4		that the contents of that exhibit be admitted into
5		evidence.
6		MR. CANTU: We have no objections to the
7		contents of this package being admitted into
8		evidence, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: State Exhibit 84 is admitted into
10		evidence.
11		MR. CANTU: We would object to the package
12		itself, the hearsay.
13		THE COURT: Well, we can exclude the hearsay.
14		
15	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) I'll show you a plastic bag marked
16		State Exhibit No. 77, the pants in it, pants already
17		being in evidence. I'll ask you whether or not you can
18		identify the bag and the pants marked State Exhibit No.
19		78.
20	A	Yes, sir. This is a bag that Officer Norris placed Ms.
21		Franklin's pants from the crime scene in so it could be
22		sent for testing at a later time.
23	Q	What specifically what kind of tests were you
24		looking for?
25	A	We were looking for, of course, blood, semen, anything,

- hairs, anything that would have been part of this crime
- 2 scene.
- 3 Q I'll show you the bag marked State Exhibit No. 77 and
- 4 its contents. Can you tell us what the bag marked
- 5 State Exhibit 77 is?
- 6 A Yes, sir. This bag contains a piece of carpet from the
- 7 living room floor that was in very close proximity to
- 8 the body of Ms. Franklin. We brought in an ultraviolet
- 9 light in an attempt to see if we could locate any
- 10 stains, seminal stains in the carpet. Officer Norris
- 11 felt like there was some indication there was some
- fluid there, so the carpet was cut out and placed in
- 13 the bag. 10
- 14 Q This carpet in State Exhibit No. 77 came from the home
- of Edna Franklin; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir, it did.
- 17 Q Specifically, do you remember what part in proximity to
- 18 her body?
- 19 A It was in the living room. Again, that's where the
- 20 body was located. It was just to the northeast of the
- 21 body.
- 22 Q I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit No.
- 79, the bag and the contents I have just taken out, and
- 24 ask you whether or not you can identify these?
- 25 A Yes, sir. That is a pair of panties that were

- 1 collected from the crime scene in close proximity to
- 2 the body of the deceased.
- 3 Q Now, you said you felt that the panties had been ripped
- off. For the record, would you describe what you see
- 5 here in terms of, first of all, the panties torn off
- the, I guess you would say, the elastic that goes
- 7 around the waist?
- 8 A Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 9 Q For the record, does that look like to you it's
- 10 consistent with a sharp cut with a knife or a ripping?
- 11 A Well, it's possible. Actually, they could have been
- done either way. It appears to be ripped because of
- 13 the way it is frayed.
- 14 Q Now, you mean by the threads just that are hanging from
- 15 the end; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Now, assuming someone were to tear this or pull it off
- someone, would it take a certain amount of force?
- 19 A Yes, sir, it would. Because of the elastic, there is
- 20 going to have some give.
- 21 Q Now, for the record, does there appear to be what
- 22 appears to be blood on those panties?
 - 23 A Yes, sir, there is.
 - 24 Q And were those the panties that were found next to the
 - 25 body of Edna Franklin?

1	A	Yes, sir, they were.
2		
3		(State Exhibit No. 75 was previously marked
4		for identification purposes.)
5		
6	Q	Now, did you have an occasion to see State Exhibit No.
7		75, an item which is, for purposes of the record, a
8		purse, a lady's purse, would you agree with me?
9	A	Yes, sir, I agree with you, and I did see it.
10	Q	Was this item recovered at the scene as well?
11	A	Yes, it was.
12	Q	Would you please look to see if the contents come from
13		the home of Edna Franklin as well?
14	A	Yes, sir. This is the purse and contents that were in
15		there.
16		
17		(State Exhibit No. 74 was previously marked
18		for identification purposes.)
19		
20	Q	I'll show you what's been marked as State Exhibit No.
21		74, a box, and a tag on it from which I took the
22		purse that you have just been examining. By the
23		way, does that purse contain assorted credit cards
24		and different items?
25	A	Yes, sir, it does contain some different items.

- 1 Q And this particular tag that's been attached to State
- 2 Exhibit No. 74, do you recognize the handwriting on
- 3 that one?
- 4 A Yes, sir, I do. This was completed by a latent
- 5 examiner, W.C. Sheldon. He was called to the scene
- to assist and processing for any fingerprints that
- 7 could possibly be lifted at the scene, and I
- 8 requested that he take the purse and the contents,
- 9 along with some other articles that are in this box.
- 10 Q Now, for the record, there are several plastic bags
- in the box and there are assorted papers, several
- bags, some containing checks, things like that,
- including a TV Guide from the home of Edna Franklin;
- 14 is that correct?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Now, whose idea was it to call Chuck Sheldon, the
- 17 fingerprint examiner, out to the scene?
- 18 A It was mine.
- 19 Q And did you ask him to try and take fingerprints?
- 20 A Yes, sir. That's why we collected these articles.
- 21 Q Assuming someone had been in a house, is there any
- way in determining when fingerprints appeared,
- whether the fingerprints had been placed there that
- 24 day, two weeks before or a month before?
- 25 A No, sir. Well, it's very difficult to take

- fingerprints. Fingerprints, you indicated, could
- 2 have been left on prior occasions. That is correct.
- 3 Sometimes we're able to date fingerprints. For
- 4 example, if that fingerprint were in blood, then we
- 5 would have known that that print was left the date
- of this offense most likely. Still, it could have
- 7 been left at another occasion if it were of a
- 8 different blood type that wasn't of Edna Franklin's
- 9 or if it wasn't human blood.
- 10 Q But to your knowledge, none of that type of
- fingerprint was found; is that correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q During the course of your investigation, was the name
- of Charles Douglas Raby mentioned as a suspect?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q As a result of further investigation, did you obtain
- an arrest warrant for Charles Raby?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Did you yourself either head the investigation or
- 20 were you one of the main detectives assigned to the
- 21 investigation?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Were you present when Charles Raby was arrested?
- 24 A Yes, sir, I was.
- 25 Q And what day was the arrest?

- 1 A On October the 19th, 1992.
- 2 Q Had that been the first attempt to arrest Charles
- Raby by the police?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q In terms of numbers of attempts, I mean, of actually
- 6 trying to run the warrant or arrest him, what number
- 7 was it on October 19th? First, second, third?
- 8 A On the morning of the 19th?
- 9 Q Yes, sir.
- 10 A As far as when you use the term "running" or
- "executing the warrant," we weren't running any
- 12 particular location per se to execute the warrant.
- We were attempting to locate him. We had several
- 14 addresses: We had his mother's address, a
- 15 girlfriend's address, and some information that he
- 16 might be with another relative at a trucking company.
- 17 Those locations had been checked.
- 18 Q Did you have an occasion on October 19th -- how many
- 19 places did you go to on October 19th before Charles
- 20 Raby was arrested?
- 21 A I personally went to three different locations.
- 22 Q Did you receive information that he might be staying
- 23 at another location?
- 24 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 25 Q And did an individual lead you to the place where he

- 1 might be staying?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 O And who was that individual?
- 4 A It was the Defendant's girlfriend's sister.
- 5 Q So the sister of the Defendant's girlfriend; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q What was the name of the Defendant's girlfriend at
- 9 the time?
- 10 A Mary Gomez.
- 11 Q And where did that lady direct you to, what address?
- 12 A 706 Reid Street.
- 13 Q And for purposes of the record, how do you spell
- 14 Reid Street?
- 15 A It's R-e-i-d.
- 16 Q Before I get back to this address, had you checked
- by his mother's house?
- 18 A I had not personally been by his mother's house that
- 19 morning. Other investigators working this case had
- 20 been to the mother's house.
- 21 Q Did the Defendant have a permanent address when you
- 22 began the investigation that you went to first?
- 23 A He was living with his grandmother. It's my
- 24 understanding he stayed with his grandmother.
 - 25 Q But he was not there when the police went to look

- for him; is that correct?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q What other places did you all go to look for him
- 4 where he was not at?
- 5 A The other sergeants, my partner Sergeant Wendel and
- other investigators working this case had also been
- 7 to Ms. Gomez' house in an attempt to locate him
- 8 there. They had information that he was there, and
- 9 they had gone there, I believe, on Saturday, which
- 10 would have been the 17th, in an effort to arrest
- 11 him.
- 12 Q In terms of the 19th, whose home was it, if you
- 13 recall? The address was what?
- 14 A 706 Reid Street.
- 15 Q And that's in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 16 A Yes, it is.
- 17 Q Do you recall whose address that was?
- 18 A It's the Defendant's stepfather.
- 19 Q And do you recall his name?
- 20 A No, I don't.
- 21 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury how it
- was that Charles Douglas Raby came to be arrested?
- As it's been testified to, we had gone by the
- 24 Defendant's girlfriend's home that morning in an
- 25 effort to locate him there or to develop other

1		information as to where he might could be located.
2		It was with negative results our first time. We
3		returned to that address a couple hours later. We
4		were told that Ms. Gomez had left with the Defendant
5		Sunday night, the night before, and that the sister
6		could lead us to the location that they were staying.
7		She accompanied myself in my marked vehicle to the
8		700 block of Reid Street and pointed out a house
9		there, and the address was 706 Reid.
10	Q	Regarding the Defendant, did you have any information
11		as to whether or not the Defendant knew that you
12		were looking for him?
13	A	Yes, sir, I did. 13
14	Q	Was that a reason that you believe he left that
15		location and had gone to the Reid Street address?
16	A	Well, I can't say why he had gone to the Reid Street
17		itself. 1th
18		
19		MR. CANTU: I object to speculation.
20		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll withdraw the question.
21		
22	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) At any rate, you went to the
23		Reid Street address. Did you all have to knock on
24		the door? Did you go in the house? Did you knock
25		the door down or did the Defendant come out and

1 greet you? 2 Well, in response --A I realize that's several parts of the question. 3 0 Well, what happens is, we went to the Reid address A and it was approximately 11:10 a.m. on the morning of 5 the 19th, and I went up to the front door and the 6 7 Defendant came out of the front door. And he greeted you; is that correct? 8 Q That's correct. 9 A 10 Q He knew you were coming? 11 MR. CANTU: I'm going to object to that. 12 13 That calls for speculation. THE COURT: If he knows. 14 MR. GUTIERREZ: Let me rephrase the 15 16 question. 17 (By Mr. Gutierrez) He was aware that you were there 18 Q 19 to see him? 20 21 MR. CANTU: I'm going to object. That also 22 calls for speculation. THE COURT: Counsel, if he was there and he 23 knows, he can answer. 24 25 If you don't know, don't speculate.

- 1 A He was aware that we were police officers outside.
- 2 There were several officers that had gone around to
- 3 the back of the house. Myself and Sergeant Shirley
- were approaching the front door. We were working in
- plainclothes, as we are now, however, I was not
- 6 wearing a coat and I did have a gun and badge on.
- 7 Q Did you have your gun drawn?
- 8 A No, sir.
- 9 Q At any rate, you took Mr. Raby into custody; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Without any problem? He didn't give you any
- 13 problems?
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q Did you talk to him about whether or not he would be
- 16 willing to sign a consent to search for the residence
- 17 he was staying at?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury what
- 20 the purpose for that was?
- 21 A I asked Mr. Raby, the Defendant, if he would consent
- 22 to a search of that residence in an effort to
- 23 recover any evidence, specifically, any clothing or
- 24 weapons or anything that would connect it to this
- 25 homicide.

1		(State Exhibit No. 95 was previously marked
2		for identification purposes.)
3		
4	Q	I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit
5		No. 95. I'll ask you whether or not you can
6		identify that.
7	A	Yes, I can.
8	Q	What is that?
9	A	It's a voluntary consent for search and seizure.
10	Q	And who is it signed by?
11	A	Signed by the Defendant, Charles Raby.
12	Q	By the way, for the record, would you please identify
13		Mr. Raby, the person that you arrested back on
14		October 19th, 1992?
15	A	The Defendant is to my right, between the two
16		counselors. He's wearing a white-striped shirt, tie,
17		light-colored slacks.
18	Q	You're referring to the man who's got his arms
19		folded?
20	A	That's correct.
21		
22		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
23		reflect the witness has identified the
24		Defendant, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like to ask that it
2		be admitted into evidence, this last exhibit,
3		the consent to search.
4		MR. CANTU: No objections, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: What is the number?
6		MR. GUTIERREZ: 95, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: State Exhibit 95 is admitted
8		into evidence.
9		
10	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, did Mr. Raby sign this
11		voluntarily?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did he have an opportunity to read it?
14	A	Yes, sir, he did.
15	Q	Now, I notice in this particular case there's a
16		language, permission to conduct a complete search of
17		"my residence." Why is it necessary to write in
18		there that it's his residence?
19	A	The reason we put "my residence" is because we had
20		reason to believe it was his stepfather's residence,
21		he having permission to be there by the stepfather or
22		possibly could be living there on occasion, have his
23		own room at that location. It was necessary to put
24		"my residence," because he would have a right to
25		privacy if he was staying there.

1	Q	Did you have occasion after that to take Mr. Raby
2		somewhere?
3	A	Yes, sir. He was taken to the Houston Police
9 4		Department. 76
5	Q	And how far from the Reid location was that?
6	A	From the 700 block of Reid to the police station is
7		probably six, seven miles maybe.
8	Q	And what happened at the police station?
9	A	He was interviewed by me, and subsequently from that
10		interview, he agreed to give a written statement.
11		
12		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I'm going to object
13		to the answer of that question. I would like
14		for all these answers to be in reference to the
15		questions that are propounded by the prosecutor.
16		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'm sorry. I thought I
17		asked him what happened there exactly is the
18		answer I was looking for.
19		THE COURT: Okay.
20		
21	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Did you ask the Defendant whether
22		or not he would talk to you?
23	A	Yes, I did.
24	Q	Now, let's backtrack just a second. Did you at some
25		point read the Defendant his warnings?

- 1 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 2 Q When was the first time you read him his warnings?
- 3 A It was prior to the Defendant signing this consent
- for search and seizure, I read the Defendant a
- 5 Miranda Warning from a blue card that's issued by the
- 6 District Attorney's Office.
- 7 Q Do you have a blue card with you now?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Would you please pull it out and just for the record
- and benefit of the jury, would you read to the jury
- and for the record just the way you read it for the
- Defendant back on October 19th, 1992? This would
- have been at the Reid address; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes, sir. "Warning to be given before taking any
- oral or written confession: You have the right to
- remain silent and not make any statement at all and
- any statement you make may be used against you and
- 18 probably will be used against you at your trial.
- 19 Any statement you make may be used as evidence
- 20 against you in court; you have the right to have a
- lawyer present to advise you prior to and during any
- 22 questioning. If you are unable to employ a lawyer,
- you have a right to have a lawyer appointed to
- 24 advise you prior to and during any questioning; you
- 25 have the right to terminate this interview at any

1 time." Did you ask the Defendant at some point whether he 2 Q understood his rights and whether he was willing to 3 waive them? 5 A Yes, sir, I did. And you said he voluntarily signed that consent to 6 search. You took him down to the police station, 7 and did you ever read him his rights again? 8 Yes, sir, I did. 9 A 10 (State Exhibit No. 96 was previously marked 11 12 for identification purposes.) 13 I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit 14 15 No. 96 and ask you whether or not you can identify 16 that exhibit. 17 A Yes, I can. 18 Q What is it? This is Statement of Person in Custody form. 19 a magistrate -- or, excuse me -- a legal warning at 20 21 the top of this form. 22 And tell us what happens in regards to that exhibit Q 23 and when.

Well, again, this exhibit, this form, was completed

by myself on October the 19th, 1992. I noted the

24

25

1		time; it was 12:00 noon. I filled in the blank
2		there at the top of the page, with the Defendant's
3		name, Charles Douglas Raby, and wrote my name, myself
4		at the top of the page, indicating that I'm Sergeant
5		W.O. Allen with the Houston Police Department, and I
6		then at that time administered the Defendant another
7		legal warning.
8	Q	Would you tell us, first of all, if you haven't
9		already, what the top of that page says? I know you
10		used the words, but for clarity's sake, in terms of
11		the record, is there some typing at the top of that
12		page?
13	A	Yes, sir, there is.
14	Q	What does it say?
15	A	"Statement of Person in Custody."
16	Q	And underneath it has some warnings; is that correct?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18		
19		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
20		reflect I am tendering this exhibit also to the
21		Defense for their inspection and I would ask
22		that it be admitted into evidence at this time.
23		MR. CANTU: We would reurge our previous
24		objection to the statement, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: Subject to objection, State

Exhibit No. 96 is admitted into evidence. 1 2 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Officer, let me ask this before 3 Q it's published to the jury. Is this particular 4 document signed by anyone? State Exhibit No. 96? 5 Yes, sir, it is. 6 A And who is it signed by? 7 Q It's signed by the Defendant, Charles Raby. A 8 also signed by Sergeant John Swaim and investigator 9 Allen Brown, both of the Homicide Division. 10 Now, this is not what anyone would term a confession Q 11 or a full written statement; is that correct? 12 No, sir, it's not a confession at all. 13 A What do you call it? 14 Q This has the legal warnings on the document. A 15 asked the Defendant, Charles Raby, if he understood 16 his rights, and if he did so, to sign the form to 17 indicate it, and I asked him if he fully understood 18 his rights, and he said that he did, and he wrote on 19 the form that "I fully understand my rights, that I 20 will talk to Sergeant Allen," that he was in 21 agreement to talk to me. 22 Now, let's talk about how you asked him to sign it. 23 First of all, did you read him the warnings at the 24 top of State Exhibit No. 96? 25

- 1 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 2 Q Would you please read to the jury the warnings as
- you read them to Charles Raby back on October 19th?
- Now, what time is this that you're doing this second
- 5 set of warnings for the Defendant?
- 6 A This is at 12:00 noon.
- 7 Q And would you read those warnings, please?
- 8 A Yes, sir. "Statement of Charles Douglas Raby, taken
- 9 in Harris County, Texas. Prior to making this
- statement, I have been warned by Sergeant W.O. Allen
- of the Houston Police Department, the person to whom
- 12 this statement is made, that I have the right to
- remain silent and not make any statement at all and
- any statement I make may and probably will be used
- against me at my trial. Any statement I make may be
- used as evidence against me in court. I have the
- 17 right to have a lawyer present to advise me prior to
- and during any questioning; If I am unable to employ
- a lawyer, I have the right to have a lawyer
- 20 appointed to advise me prior to and during any
- 21 questioning. I have the right to terminate the
- 22 interview at any time. Prior to and during the
- making of this statement, I knowingly, intelligently
- 24 and voluntarily waive the rights set out above and
- 25 make the following voluntary statement."

- 1 Q Did the Defendant acknowledge that he understood what
- you read him?
- 3 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 4 Q Did you ask him to do anything regarding the warnings
- themselves in terms of writing anything next to them
- to indicate that he understood them?
- 7 A I asked him to initial the warnings as an indicator
- 8 only that they had been read to him and that he
- 9 understood them.
- 10 Q And did he acknowledge that he understood them?
- 11 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 12 Q Did the Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby, at your
- 13 request then write something on that page?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And what was it that he wrote?
- 16 A He wrote, "I fully understand my rights and I will
- 17 talk to Sergeant Allen." I had drawn a slash across
- the form, across the center of the document, had him
- write his name across that, requested that he sign
- 20 his name across that so that no one could come in at
- a later point and add anything to that document.
- 22 Q Did you ask the Defendant to sign it and other
- 23 people to witness him signing that statement?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q And who witnesses the Defendant signing that

1		statement?
2	A	Sergeant Swaim and Investigator Brown.
3	Q	And you yourself also saw the Defendant sign this
4		statement; is that correct?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6		
7		MR. GUTIERREZ: After the additional
8		predicate, Your Honor, I would now like to ask
9		that the exhibit, which I believe is already in
10		evidence, I would like to reoffer it, if not,
11		and ask that it be published to the jury.
12		THE COURT: You may do so.
13		Mr. Bailiff, if you will just pass it to
14		them.
15		
16	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) At some point did you talk to
17		the Defendant about whether he would be willing to
18		volunteer to submit samples of his blood, urine or
19		hair to the Houston Police Department for tests?
20	A	Yes, sir, I did.
21		
22		(State Exhibit No. 97 was previously marked
23		for identification purposes.)
24		
25	^	and did way only him to sime other Dubibit No. 073

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 O And did he read it?
- 3 A I read it to him and then had him to read over the
- 4 form and sign it if he would consent to giving the
- 5 blood or hair.
- 6 Q Did he indicate that he understood?
- 7 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 8 Q Did he have any trouble understanding your language?
- 9 A No, sir.
- 10 Q Did he know what was going on about him?
- 11 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 12 Q And was that also witnessed by some other officers?
- 13 A Yes, sir. It was witnessed by the same two officers
- 14 that witnessed the other documents, Sergeant Swaim
- 15 and Investigator Allen Brown.
- 16 Q What time did the Defendant sign State Exhibit No.
- 17 97?
- 18 A At 12:12 p.m.
- 19
- MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would ask
- that State Exhibit No. 97 be admitted into
- 22 evidence. I believe 95 is already into
- evidence, but if not, I would like to reoffer it
- 24 if I have not offered it.
- THE COURT: 95 is in evidence.

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: And I would like to offer
2		State Exhibit 97.
3		MR. CANTU: I don't have any objection to
4		95 or 97.
5		THE COURT: Both are admitted into
6		evidence.
7		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would ask that these two
8		exhibits be published to the jury, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Mr. Bailiff.
10		
11	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Did you have an occasion at some
12		point that day, either immediately after that was
13		signed or a later time, to accompany the Defendant
14		somewhere where his hair was pulled?
15	A	Well, in response to the question, he wasn't
16		accompanied anywhere. The people that took the hair
17		came to us.
18	Q	Now, explain what happened.
19	A	I requested that the Crime Lab be contacted and
20		requested to come to the Homicide Office. They did
21		at a later point during the interview process, and
22		blood and hair samples were taken.
23		
24		(State Exhibit No. 100 was previously
25		marked for identification purposes.)

Let me show you what has been marked as State Q 1 Exhibit 100, and before I get into this, let me ask 2 you this: Were you present when the Defendant's 3 blood was drawn from his body? Yes, sir. 5 A Do you know where that vial is right now? 6 7 A Yes, sir, I do. Would you tell the members of the jury where that 8 Q is? 9 It's in the refrigerator at the Houston Police A 10 Department Homicide -- at the Houston Police 11 Department Property Room. 12 13 (State Exhibit No. 105 was previously 14 15 marked for identification purposes.) 16 I'll show you the bag from which I have taken 17 Q 18 another bag marked State Exhibit 105, the paper bag I handed you as being State Exhibit No. 100. Can you 19 tell us what that is? 20 This is a property bag that has the description of 21 22 the contents that was placed and sealed in this bag. And does it have the writing of anyone whom you 23 Q recognize? 24

25

A

Yes, it does.

- 1 Q And who is that?
- 2 A Sergeant W.I. Stephens' handwriting is on here and
- 3 there's also an indication that Raydun Hilleman from
- 4 the Crime Lab has written on this form along with
- 5 the payroll number.
- 6 Q Who is Raydun Hilleman? And for the record, that's
- 7 spelled, R-a-y-d-u-n; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Who is she?
- 10 A She works in the Crime Lab for the Houston Police
- 11 Department.
- 12 Q And is she a chemist?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q I'll show you the contents of State Exhibit 105 and
- 15 I'll ask you whether or not you see any handwriting
- on the items I'm showing you now.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 (State Exhibit Nos. 101, 102, 103, 104,
- 19 106, 107, 108, 109 and 110 were previously
- 20 marked for identification purposes.)
- 21
- 22 Q I'll show you now 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 107, 108,
- 23 109 and 110. Do you recognize the writing on any of
- 24 these exhibits?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Which ones?
- 2 A The first plastic bag here is dated October 16th,
- 3 1992. It's initialed by Sergeant W. Stephens. It's
- 4 hair that was removed from the victim's hand at the
- 5 Harris County Morgue, and a small piece of paper, and
- 6 the hair was placed in that and turned over to
- 7 Sergeant Ted Thomas and submitted to the Crime Lab,
- and there's a number on here, L92-10848, RH, which is
- 9 Raydun Hilleman's initials.
- 10 Q Whose hair was that?
- 11 A This was the victim's, the Complainant in the case
- 12 that was murdered, Edna Franklin.
- 13 Q Now, do you have personal knowledge -- I'm sorry,
- 14 were you going to say something else?
- 15 A Yes, sir. You asked me whose hair it was. I had no
- idea whose hair it was, but it came from the
- 17 victim's hand.
- 18 Q Do you recognize the handwriting on any of the other
- 19 exhibits?
- 20 A There are initials on all of these exhibits -- pardon
- 21 me, on the first four here, Raydun Hilleman's. These
- 22 are hair samples that was taken from the Defendant,
- 23 Charles Douglas Raby.
- 24 Q 101 through 104; is that correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q At a later time were loose head hairs recovered from
- 2 Eric Benge and Lee Rose?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And those exhibits now marked 107, 108, in terms of
- 5 Eric Benge, and 109 and 110, in terms of Lee Rose,
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir, they are.
- 8 Q And all these things were submitted for testing; is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q But in terms of physical evidence, to your knowledge,
- there's no DNA in this case?
 - 13 A That's correct.
 - 14 Q No semen in the body of the Complainant?
 - 15 A That's right.
 - 16 Q Nothing physical to connect the Defendant to the
 - 17 crime; is that correct?
- 18 A That's correct. 18
 - 19 Q At the time that you were obtaining all these things,
 - you were doing it in hopes of obtaining that sort of
 - 21 evidence; is that correct?
 - 22 A Yes, sir.
 - 23 Q And up to this point the Defendant had been
 - 24 cooperative? He agreed to talk to you?
 - 25 A Yes, sir, he was cooperative.

(State Exhibit No. 98 was previously marked 1 for identification purposes.) 2 3 Now, I will show you what has been marked as State 4 0 Exhibit No. 98. I will ask you whether or not you 5 can identify this exhibit. 6 Yes, sir, I can. 7 A Would you tell the members of the jury what it is? 8 Q This is a 3-page document, and this is the statement 9 of Charles Raby that I took on October the 19th, 10 11 1992. Now, what time did you start to take that statement? 12 13 A At 1:24 p.m. And was this after that long legal-sized sheet that 14 Q 15 has all the warnings on top had been read to him? 16 Yes, sir. A Did you read him his warnings another time? 17 0 A Yes, sir, I did. 18 At what point was that? 19 Q Prior to the interview, the statement is taken in a 20 A 21 question-and-answer narrative fashion. The warnings 22 are displayed on a computer, on a monitor. 23 Defendant, Charles Raby, was seated next to me, to 24 the right of me. He could view the warnings on the

monitor. I read them to him at that time.

25

- 1 Q Before we get into that, could we talk a little bit
- about the Defendant's treatment, in terms of his
- 3 creature comforts, if you will? Did he make requests
- for anything? Did you provide him with anything?
- 5 What was going on immediately before he gave you that
- 6 written statement?
- 7 A Well, immediately on our arrival to the Homicide
- 8 Division, the Defendant was placed in the Interview
- 9 Room within the Homicide Office. He was unhandcuffed
- 10 by me. I asked him if he wanted anything to drink
- or if he wanted coffee. He said, yes, that he did.
- We both had coffee. We had coffee again through the
- interview process. He was taken to the rest room.
- 14 He was also provided with a hamburger and Coke.
- 15 Q And who paid for that hamburger and Coke?
- 16 A Sergeant Shirley.
- 17 Q And when you all paid, is this uncommon for you all
- 18 to buy food, maybe provide cigarettes or coffee for
- 19 the folks that you are asking questions of,
- 20 interviewing?
- 21 A No, sir, it's not uncommon.
- 22 Q Does the City provide you with a special budget for
- 23 that? Where does that money come from?
- 24 A Out of our pockets.
- 25 Q Do you ever get it back?

- 1 A No, sir.
- 2 Q At the time the Defendant sat down with you to
- dictate the statement, you provided him with some of
- the things you're talking about now; is that correct?
- 5 A All of the things I'm talking about.
- 6 Q Didn't say, "If you give me a statement, I'll let
- 7 you go to the bathroom"? None of that?
- 8 A No, sir. 80
 - 9 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury, first
 - of all, did you read the Defendant his warnings for
 - 11 a third time?
 - 12 A Yes, sir, I did.
 - 13 Q And would you please read for the members of the
 - 14 jury those warnings just like you did to the
 - 15 Defendant?
 - 16 A Yes, sir. This third warning is pretty consistent
 - 17 with the other warnings. There's slightly some
 - 18 differentiation, and I'll point that out.
 - 19 Q Okay.
 - 20 A "Statement of Person in Custody, Monday, October
 - 21 19th, 1992." It began at 13:24 hours, which is
 - 22 military time, 1:24 p.m. Statement of Charles
 - 23 Douglas Raby, taken in Harris County, Texas.
 - "Prior to making this statement, I was warned by
 - 25 Sergeant W.O. Allen of the Houston Police Homicide

Division, the person to whom the statement was made, 1 I have the right to remain silent and not make any 2 statement at all and any statement I make may and 3 probably will be used against me at my trial." There is a response underneath each of these warnings that is given, and the Defendant responded 6 yes, that he did understand. 7 8 "Any statement I make may be used as evidence against me in court. Response: 9 Yes. "I have the right to have a lawyer present to 10 advise me prior to and during any questioning. 11 Response: Yes, sir. 12 "If I am unable to employ a lawyer, I have the 13 right to have a lawyer appointed to advise me prior 14 15 to and during any questioning. Response: Yes, sir. 16 "I have the right to terminate or stop this 17 interview at any time. Response: Yes, sir. "Prior to and during the making of this 18 statement, I knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily 19 20 waived or gave up the rights set out above and made 21 the following voluntary statement. Response: sir." 22 23 Q The Defendant understood his warnings and voluntarily 24 waived his rights then and talked to you voluntarily;

25

is that correct?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q And would you explain to the members of the jury --
- you mentioned a screen. What did you take the
- 4 confession on? What type of instrument? Typewriter
- 5 or something else?
- 6 A It was taken on a PC computer that was located in my
- 7 office. The statement is typed and then printed out
- 8 on a printer.
- 9 Q I believe you said at one point the Defendant was
- 10 sitting in close proximity to you where he could see
- 11 the screen?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury what
- 14 your technique is in terms of taking down the
- 15 statement? Do you have the person tell you the
- 16 whole thing, his whole version first and then sit
- down and organize it and go line-by-line? Exactly
- 18 how do you do it?
- 19 A Well, as I stated, it's a question-and-answer
- 20 narrative fashion. In the beginning of the
- 21 statement, a lot of times you're basically including
- 22 just biographical information, how many years of
- formal education they had, where they went to school,
- 24 where they lived, things of that nature, and I'm
- 25 asking those questions.

- This particular statement, it notes where we
- are, where the statement is being taken, that the
- 3 fact that the statement was voluntary, that there was
- 4 no threats or promises of any kind, and where he was
- 5 living, again, background information. It's
- 6 information that he would have to provide me with and
- 7 then I ask him to tell me about his activities on
- 8 the date of this offense.
- 9 Q And as he tells them to you, you type them down?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Does he or she have an opportunity to read what
- 12 you're typing?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Do you double-check with them to make sure it's
- 15 right?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what a self-
- 18 serving statement is?
- 19 A Well, a self-serving statement would be one that an
- 20 individual giving that statement provides information
- that would not entirely be indicative of his guilt.
- 22 Q Something that wouldn't necessarily inculpate him; is
- 23 that right?
- 24 A That's right.
- 25 Q Has it been your experience that of human nature,

- people always give you the truth?
- 2 A They do not.
- 3 Q Do they sometimes or generally try to put themselves
- in a better light than maybe other witnesses or the
- 5 facts may have been?
- 6 A That's correct, they do.
- 7 Q Do you have the luxury of saying, "Well, I don't
- 8 believe you, Mr. Smith, and I'm going to put in this
- 9 statement what I want, not what you want"?
- 10 A Well, it's a double question. I do have the luxury
- of saying, "I don't believe," but I don't change the
- 12 statement. It's their statement. Whatever they want
- to say, they can put in their statement. 8/
 - 14 Q So if you put in what you want, you run the risk of
 - that person saying, as he should, "Hey, that's not my
 - 16 statement. I ain't going to sign it"?
 - 17 A That's correct.
 - 18 Q So whatever they tell you, you put it down whether
 - 19 you think it's true or not; is that correct?
 - 20 A Yes, sir.
 - 21 Q In this particular case, did you take down the
 - 22 statement as given to you by the Defendant, Charles
 - 23 Raby?
 - 24 A Yes, sir.
 - 25 Q And did you type the whole thing out, at least on

- the screen, and did he have the opportunity to read
- 2 it?
- 3 A Yes, sir, he had the opportunity to read it
- 4 throughout the entire process that the statement is
- taken, and once the statement is completed, it's
- 6 printed out, provided to the individual that is
- 7 giving the statement and asked to read the statement,
- 8 and if he wants to make any additions or changes, he
- 9 can.
- 10 Q Was that done in this case?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q When you say, "printed out," for those folks who may
- not be familiar with computers, you have a computer,
- and once you're ready to print out the document on
- 15 the screen, then it is printed out on a printer; is
- 16 that correct?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And that was done in this case; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q And at least the first page -- is it only the first
- 21 page that has a warning attached to it?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q That's a requirement of Texas law; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q This 3-page document was given to the Defendant; is

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A Yes, sir, it was.
- 3 Q And he was asked to make corrections if he wanted
- 4 to?
- 5 A He was advised that if he wanted to make any
- additions or deletions, that he could. 82
 - 7 Q Did he make any?
 - 8 A May I see the document? From the statement content
 - 9 itself, no, sir. The only editions are the initials
 - 10 that were made by the Defendant and signatures.
 - 11 Q During the course of the taking of a statement or
 - when you take a statement that's being dictated, are
 - 13 there occasions when a person says, "Wait a second.
 - 14 That part is not right. That isn't exactly what I
 - 15 want to say. This is what I want to say," to where
 - 16 you reword what is on the screen?
 - 17 A Yes, sir, that happens.
 - 18 Q So you eliminate the need for later on having things
 - be scratched out on to a printed page; is that
 - 20 correct, because he can do that on the screen?
 - 21 A Yes, sir, we can do that before it's printed.
 - 22 Q In this instance regarding this document, were you
 - 23 present when the Defendant signed it?
 - 24 A No, sir.
 - 25 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury why you

weren't?

24

25

This statement is a statement of person in custody 2 A and it is the statement of the Defendant. 3 going to the Homicide Division in 1986, it's been our procedure that after a statement was taken from a 5 defendant that has the legal warnings on that 6 statement, that the investigator that took the 7 statement would leave the room and have other 8 investigators, other officers, sometimes civilians in 9 10 the event someone can't read, have them read that to 11 them, if necessary. This is done so that the person 12 giving the statement has the opportunity to talk with 13 other officers if he feels that he has been coerced 14 in any manner or promised or threatened in any manner 15 in return to give a statement. For purposes of the record, when was the statement 16 17 begun, in terms of the time it began, and when did it end? 18 19 A 1:24 p.m. is when the statement began, and it was 20 witnessed -- when I say "witnessed" -- the 21 investigator that witnessed Charles Raby's signature 22 on this document was at 2:25 p.m. So he was arrested about 11:15 and taken down to the 23 0

police station and signed those other forms and he started to give you this statement at 1:24 and ended

1		at the time you mentioned, 2:25; is that correct?
2		
3		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I don't know if
4		I have asked that State Exhibit Nos. 77 and 75
5		be admitted. If not, I would ask that the bag
6		containing the rug marked State Exhibit No. 77,
7		the contents of State Exhibit No. 77, be
8		admitted into evidence as well as the purse
9		marked State Exhibit No. 75 and its contents.
10		The State would just like to offer the bag, not
11		necessarily its contents.
12		THE COURT: Any objections?
13		MR. CANTU: No objection.
14		THE COURT: State Exhibits 75 and 77 are
15		admitted into evidence.
16		
17	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Who were the officers who
18		witnessed the signing of the statement?
19	A	Officer Abbondondolo and Officer Drehel.
20		
21		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness at
22		this time, Your Honor, and I may recall him
23		later.
24		THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a short
25		recess before we go into cross-examination.

1		(A recess.)
2		
3		(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
4		box.)
5		THE COURT: Officer, if you will take the
6		stand and, Mr. Cantu, you may proceed.
7		
8		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
9		
10	Q	Officer, let's go back to the first day that you
11		heard about this incident, either the 15th or 16th of
12		October.
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	You, along with one other officer, were in charge and
15		you requested a number of photographs be taken at the
16		house of Mrs. Franklin. We have got those
17		photographs here and they have been shown to the
18		jury, and one of them that strikes me as peculiar,
19		or, not peculiar, at least or if not peculiar, at
20		least some importance in that the photograph depicts
21		a screen window and a freshly painted window, and
22		also you can note the house itself, Mrs. Franklin's
23		house, has been freshly painted. That's all within
24		the photograph. You recall the house, do you not?

Yes, sir, I do.

- 1 Q I'm not going to show you the photographs, because I
- believe that you at least had an opportunity to look
- at them once before before coming to trial today.
- 4 A I have seen the photos.
- 5 Q And you indicated you asked for fingerprints to be
- 6 taken that morning or that afternoon that you were
- 7 there initially, the initial time you were there?
- 8 A Yes, sir, the night of the investigation.
- 9 Q And those fingerprints were taken, those attempts
- 10 were made?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q And the attempts that were made were at the door, at
- both doors?
- 14 A I don't have any personal knowledge exactly what
- Sheldon attempted to get prints from at the scene.
- I would agree that should have been done at the
- 17 points of entry. The articles that Mr. Sheldon
- 18 collected, he took with him from the scene to the
- 19 latent lab.
- 20 Q But aside from what you have personal knowledge of
- 21 what was done -- that will be addressed later -- but
- what you yourself as one of the officers in charge
- or one of the supervising detectives, you requested
- 24 this to be done?
- 25 A Yes, sir. 83

Photographs of the points of entry, doors, both 1 0 And did you ask photographs to be taken of 2 the window, and not only the screen but inside the 3 window? When I say "the window," the window with the glass --5 I understand. What happens in processing this scene, 6 A again, the crime scene investigator takes those 7 photos. I asked him to photograph the entire scene 8 9 as it is found at the time of the investigation. am personally not taking those photos. I knew that 10 he took photos of the windows, if that's what you're 11 asking me. 12 That's what I'm asking you. I know you yourself 13 Q 14 don't go to a scene with a 35 camera in hand and 15 take pictures. You've got some other people to do 16 that for you. Do you recall instructing one of the officers 17 18 there, one of the crime scene officers, to take or 19 attempt to take prints off the windows or window 20 panes of the first bedroom, later to be identified as 21 the bedroom of Eric Benge? 22 Yes, sir. That's the southeast bedroom.

correct, it is Eric Benge's bedroom.

understanding or recollection that Mr. Sheldon stated

that the window was too dirty and he couldn't get

23

24

25

	1.5
1	7
Y	•

	1		any prints there.
	2	Q	Do you recall noticing anything out of the norm other
	3		than what you have spoken about as to the screen, in
	4		reference to the window itself?
	5	A	Can you be more specific? Normal as to what?
	6	Q	Partially pried open, the window, completely pried
	7		open?
	8	A	I believe the window was up a little bit.
	9	Q	Let me show you a photograph then, because you didn't
1	0		move anything. You have indicated that. And you
1	1		asked for no one to move anything that night?
1	2	A	That's correct.
1	3	Q	These are the daytime photos, and those are not the
1	4		photos we would be referring to. We would be
1	5		referring to nighttime photos, would we not?
1	6	A	Yes, sir.
1	7	Q	Because those are the ones that your department took?
1	8	A	That's correct.
1	9		
2	0		MR. CANTU: May I approach the witness?
2	1		THE COURT: Yes.
2	2		
2	3	Q	This is State Exhibit 35. This is the front of the
2	4		house, and it shows that front bedroom there at the
2	5		side, does it not, right by that?

- Yes, sir. It has the air conditioning unit in that
- window there of that bedroom.
- 3 Q This is State Exhibit 36. This is a photo of the
- 4 screen of that bedroom window, again, and it
- 5 indicates or shows that the screen is partially open
- and there's a screwdriver that you have testified to
- 7 finding there.
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 10 screwdriver?
- 11 A I believe the screwdriver belonged to Eric Benge.
- 12 Q Were you able to determine how long ago this house
- and that window had been painted from your
- 14 investigation?
- 15 A No, sir, I do not know.
- 16 Q Do you recall whether this window is in the same
- 17 position as it was on that night that you were
- 18 there? These were photos taken obviously shortly
- 19 after you got there and you gave the instructions; is
- 20 that correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q Did anyone tell you whether they had moved the window
- or the screen in any manner to further enhance this
- 24 photograph?
- 25 A No, sir.

- 1 Q It's your position that nothing was moved in
- 2 reference to this photograph, this State Exhibit No.
- 3 36?
- 4 A Yes, sir. That picture there depicts the way the
- 5 window -- the way I observed it at the time I was
- 6 there.
- 7 Q You would agree with me that window doesn't seem to
- 8 be open at that point?
- 9 A That's correct; the window is down. It's the screen
- 10 itself that is open at the bottom.
- 11 Q And when you referred to a window being open, you
- are referring to the screen as opposed to the actual
- 13 window with the window panes on it?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Sometimes we get the terminology confused as Texans.
- 16 So it's more correct and this is a closer view of
- 17 State Exhibit No. 37. I'm going to show it to you
- and I'm going to turn it around in front of you and
- show it to the jury. This is a closer view of that
- 20 particular window on that night, is it not?
- 21 A Yes, sir, that depicts a closer view of the portion
- of the window and, of course, of the screwdriver.
- 23 Q And more specific, the view of the window itself,
- 24 which is completely shut?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q Did you give instructions to one of your crime scene
- support staff to take photos of the window with the
- 3 window panes itself?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And did they?
- \bigcirc 6 **A** To my knowledge, he did.
 - 7 Q Do you have those photographs?
 - 8 A Whatever photographs are here is what was taken.
 - 9 Q Do you recall whether there were fingerprints taken
 - 10 from the window itself? I know you said it was
 - 11 dusty, the window was dusty and dirty.
 - 12 A There was no prints lifted from that window, to my
 - 13 knowledge.
 - 14 Q You stayed through that whole process? I know you
 - didn't actually do it, but you stayed through the
 - whole process while the people with that expertise
 - 17 complied and completed your commands?
 - 18 A That's correct.
 - 19 Q And when you initially arrived there, who was there
 - 20 before you?
 - 21 A An uniformed officer was there, Eric Benge was
 - 22 present and Lieutenant Gaford.
 - 23 Q What was Lieutenant Gaford's position?
 - 24 A He was the Lieutenant who was the night shift
 - 25 Lieutenant in the Homicide Division.

- 1 Q He's responsible for that division at that particular
- 2 evening shift then?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And he would go there normally for any calls?
- 5 A Well, it depends. From Lieutenant to Lieutenant, I
- guess it would depend on their own interest, and if
- 7 they wanted to go out and make a scene in particular
- 8 cases, they do make the scenes; not all of them.
- 9 Q He was there on this particular evening for no
- 10 particular reason that you know of?
- 11 A He came out to the scene to look at it and to offer
- 12 any assistance that he could to us.
- 13 Q And there was no assistance needed to you, because
- 14 you took over and controlled the investigation at
- 15 that point?
- 16 A I took the primary responsibility of the scene and
- 17 then had Mr. Sheldon come later for latent prints.
- 18 Q What is the fellow, the officer -- I forget what his
- 19 name was -- but the officer that was taking
- 20 photographs, photographs that we have here and
- 21 presented to us. Was he present there prior to your
- 22 arriving there?
- 23 A Officer Norris, I do not believe he was there prior
- 24 to me arriving. I think he came after.
- 25 Q He must have arrived shortly after you did?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q And when you arrived there, you noticed that there
- 3 were dogs inside the house?
- 4 A There was one dog in the house.
- 5 Q I think there has been a photo taken of that dog.
- 6 That's the poodle, the smaller dog?
- 7 A Yes, sir, it was the poodle that was in the house.
- 8 Q Maybe not a poodle but a smaller dog, right?
- 9 A Well, it was a poodle.
- 10 Q When you arrived there, you initially noticed also
- 11 there were other dogs, and the other dogs were inside
- or in front of the yard?
- 13 A They were in the back yard.
- 14 Q And did you notice the door, the back door?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Did you notice whether it was open or closed or
- somewhere in between?
- 18 A It was closed.
- 19 Q And did you address the door itself and did you ask
- 20 for evidence to be secured or taken from the door
- 21 itself, the back door?
- 22 A Asked, again, for that to be processed for any
- 23 prints.
- 24 Q And you let the people that do that do their job?
- 25 A That's correct.

- Then you went into -- and I'm assuming this is what you did, and you correct me if this isn't the sequence of events, because I'm just assuming you
- 4 walked through the front door, that that's the first
- 5 thing that you do. Obviously the first thing you
- 6 noticed was the body of Mrs. Franklin there in the
- 7 living room?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Not to make light of that, I guess that's what I
- 10 wanted to say. Once you approached Mrs. Franklin's
- 11 body and you see the gravity of this case and you
- 12 appoint people different duties that they have to
- perform for you to establish your investigation and
- 14 secure your investigation, and you go to the back
- door. Do you go anywhere else within that house?
- 16 A I looked in the house entirely, all the rooms.
- 17 Q Did you specifically go to the bedroom that goes
- 18 through the kitchen?
- 19 A Yes, sir. That was Mrs. Franklin's bedroom.
- 20 Q That would be identified as Mrs. Franklin's bedroom.
- 21 Did you notice, and we have got photographs,
- obviously, that depict her room, but did you notice
- or how would you describe her room, the total room
- 24 itself and manner of cleanliness, I guess for lack of
- a better word, her disarray?

- 1 A It was unkept.
- 2 Q You noticed there were many things on the floor,
- 3 personal items, a dog bed. I think there was one
- 4 photograph where there seems to be a dog bed or
- 5 mattress or cover in one corner of her room. You
- 6 most noticed that, did you not?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Apparently she kept one of her pets in the bedroom
- 9 with her, possibly the poodle, and you don't know
- 10 that, do you?
- 11 A Well, the dog was in that bedroom when I arrived.
- 12 Q You noticed many things on the floor when you arrived
- 13 there and you noticed things on her bed, did you
- 14 not?
- 15 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 16 Q Those are things that you testified to today: some
- 17 credit cards, some personal belongings. There's one
- 18 photograph that's been admitted that shows some kind
- of inhalant. I'm not sure what it's for, but it
- seems to be some kind of prescribed inhalant and
- other personal items that belonged to Mrs. Franklin
- on her bed. Is that a correct statement?
- 23 A Yes, sir. I haven't seen that photo, but you're
- 24 accurate as far as there were a number of articles
- on the bed.

- 1 Q And also there were a number of articles such as a
- 2 credit card near the bed, I think on the side
- 3 nearest the door that enters into the bed. If my
- 4 memory serves me correctly, that was a Montgomery
- 5 Ward, a red credit card.
- 6 A You are correct. It was a Montgomery Ward credit
- 7 card, however, the card is going to be on the side
- 8 of the picture that would show it going to be on the
- 9 north side of the bed, which would, based on the
- 10 clutter that was in the southwest corner of the room,
- would have been the side of the bed that Mrs.
- 12 Franklin would have been on or off the bed.
- 13 Q This is a bed that's more like a day bed, right?
- 14 Because it's got one side that's more difficult to
- get on the bed from that side than it would be the
- 16 side where that credit card is found. There's a
- 17 railing -- I think that would be called a railing --
- on one side, such as a backing to that bed.
- 19 A I don't recall specifically if it had a railing.
- 20 Q Let me show you a photograph so we can get beyond
- 21 that.
- 22 A Please.
- 23 Q Well, this one. This one might jog your memory,
- 24 State Exhibit 65. You see this mahogany -- not
- 25 mahogany but maple-colored arm?

- 1 A Yes, sir. That's at the foot of the bed.
- 2 Q You recognize this as being her bed?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And you recognize this as being part of the bed
- 5 stand?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Do you recall whether this bed stand went all the
- 8 way around?
- 9 A No, I do not recall if it went around.
- 10 Q Here's a better photo for you. Again, this is State
- 11 Exhibit 68. This is the bed, is it not?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Obviously it's her bed. You just identified it on
- 14 State Exhibit 65. And that's the back, the railing
- 15 that I'm talking about, right?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q So she got on and off the bed obviously from this
- 18 side where her shoes are, her slippers are, right?
- 19 A That's correct. The direction would have been north.
- 20 Q And there seems to be another mattress underneath
- 21 maybe that you pull out. I'm not sure. It looks
- like a trundle-type bed, is it not?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q You took all this property that you found on the
- 25 bed, you secured it and you took it for the Police

- Department in their investigation?
- 2 A No, sir. I had Mr. Sheldon take it.
- 3 Q But, I mean, that's what I mean. When I say "you,"
- 4 you had someone in your support staff to take it,
- 5 and that evidence, you either analyzed or determined
- 6 certain aspects. The credit cards, you determined
- 7 whether they had been used without permission, did
- 8 you not?
- 9 A To my knowledge, none of those -- well, the cards
- 10 that were recovered couldn't have been used.
- 11 Q Well, they could have been used if they had been
- 12 taken -- if someone had taken a slip and they had
- used a billing slip to use a credit card. That's
- 14 always possible.
- 15 A Yes, sir. Depending on where it was from.
- 16 Q The real point I'm making is, nothing of her personal
- 17 items from your investigation you determined were
- 18 taken, stolen, used without permission?
- 19 A There was an issue concerning a Shell credit card,
- however, it was not determined who took that card or
- 21 who may have used it.
- 22 Q But you have a card that's missing in some way?
- 23 A The family thought that there was a Shell credit card
- 24 missing.
- 25 Q And has that correction been resolved?

- 1 A Well, it's difficult to answer. We did not determine
- 2 that the Defendant had used that card, if that's what
- 3 you're asking me.
- 4 Q Right. That card was not used by Mr. Raby or anyone
- 5 that you might have suspected on that day?
- 6 A No, sir.
- 7 Q Coming out of this incident?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Did you travel or did you walk into the other part
- 10 of the house? I know there was at least two other
- 11 bedrooms, as I recall, the makeup of the house. One
- is Eric Benge's bedroom. The bedroom that is the
- front bedroom that has been discussed on numerous
- 14 occasions, did you go in there?
- 15 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 16 Q And I know you instructed your folks to take
- 17 photographs of the bed, the walls and different
- aspects of it. Did you ask your agency, the Houston
- 19 Police Department, to analyze a blanket that was on
- Mr. Benge's bed on this particular night?
- 21 A No, sir.
- 22 Q Did you ask them to analyze sheets that were on the
- 23 bed on this particular night?
- 24 A No, sir.
- 25 Q Did you do any investigation on blankets or sheets

- that were on the bed on this particular night?
- 2 A On Mr. Benge's bed?
- 3 Q Mr. Benge's bed, yes, sir.
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q All that you did in Mr. Benge's bed is take
- 6 photographs of the interior, interior walls, his bed
- 7 and also the exterior window leading to Mr. Benge's
- 8 bedroom, right?
- 9 A As far as photographs are concerned, yes, sir.
- 10 Q Did you find any contraband or anything there that
- 11 was misplaced or out of place?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q What did you find?
- 14 A There was a paring knife and a small ashtray, I
- believe, flat-topped tray that Eric Benge said did
- not belong in that room. 35
- 17 Q Do we have photos? Did you take photos of that?
- 18 A It should be in the photos. We do have the knife.
- 19 Q That knife that you took, was an analysis made of
- 20 that knife?
- 21 A It was sent to the latent lab by Mr. Sheldon.
- 22 Q The latent lab made scientific analysis of the handle
- and the blade?
- 24 A Well; they dusted it for latent prints.
- Q Okay. Then I misunderstood you. Your support person

```
is Mr. Sheldon, right?
 1
          Yes, sir.
 2
     A
     Q
           He dusted it for prints?
 3
     A
           That's correct.
           And did he come to a conclusion as to prints?
 5
     0
           There were no prints found on that knife or lifted.
     A
 6
           Was there anything deduced from that blade of that
 7
     Q
           knife, anything in particular, blood?
 8
 9
     A
           There was no blood visible on the knife.
           Was that blood further analyzed by any agency?
10
     Q
           There was no blood.
11
     A
12
13
                    MR. GUTIERREZ:
                                     Objection.
14
15
     Q
           (By Mr. Cantu) Is this the ashtray that you're
16
           referring to?
17
     A
          No, sir.
18
           Before we get any more questions --
19
20
                                     Your Honor, I have no
                    MR. GUTIERREZ:
21
               objection to counsel using any excess pictures
22
               that I didn't use, but I would like him to mark
23
               them so the record will reflect what he's
24
               referring to.
```

1		(Defense Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
2		identification purposes.)
3		
4	Q	Officer, at the prosecutor's request, we have had
5		this marked as Defendant Exhibit No. 1. I'm going
6		to ask you whether you recognize that, and if you
7		do, would you state it, state to the jury what it
8		is?
9	A	This is a photograph of the living room of Ms.
10		Franklin's home, a portion of the room, of the living
11		room.
12	Q	And it accurately depicts the living room as it was
13		that night when you requested the photographs to be
14		taken?
15	A	Yes, sir, from that view.
16		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, at this time we
17		would ask that Defense Exhibit No. 1 be admitted
18		into evidence.
19		MR. GUTIERREZ: No objection.
20		THE COURT: Defense Exhibit No. 1 is
21		admitted into evidence.
22		
23	Q	(By Mr. Cantu) At this time I ask you a primary
24		question. Is this the ashtray we were talking about?
25	A	No, sir. The tray I'm referring to is the one that

1		was in Mr. Benge's bedroom.
2		
3		MR. GUTIERREZ: I believe those items are
4		in that box at your feet, Mr. Cantu, the paring
5		knife and the ashtray, in one of those plastic
6		bags.
7		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, may I have the
8		prosecutor assist me in finding the ashtray?
9		THE COURT: Mr. Prosecutor, do you want to
10		help Mr. Cantu?
11		
12		(Defendant Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
13		identification purposes.)
14		
15	Q	(By Mr. Cantu) I want to show you what has been
16		marked as Defense Exhibit No. 2. Can you recognize
17		this?
18	A	Yes, sir. That's the tray that I referred to.
19	Q	That we have been discussing and I have been asking
20		you questions about?
21	A	Yes.
22		
23		MR. CANTU: At this time, Your Honor, we
24		would ask for this tray to be introduced into
25		evidence.

```
MR. GUTIERREZ: No objections.
1
                    THE COURT: What number is it?
2
                    MR. CANTU: Defendant Exhibit 2.
3
                                Defendant Exhibit 2 is admitted
                    THE COURT:
               into evidence.
5
6
          (By Mr. Cantu) I know that it's dirty, so we're
7
     0
          going to put it here because it's got latent prints.
 8
          This is an item that you found in a bedroom, Mr.
 9
          Eric Benge's bedroom; is that correct?
10
          That's correct.
11
     A
          At the time that you spoke with Mr. Benge, right?
12
     Q
          Yes, sir.
13
     A
          And you concluded, after discussing with Mr. Benge
14
     Q
          the incident, you concluded this ashtray or this tray
15
16
          did not belong in his bedroom?
          Yes, sir. He indicated at the scene -- I'm talking
17
     A
           about the crime investigation -- that the tray and
18
          the paring knife that we collected was out of place,
19
           that it didn't belong in the location that it was
20
          found.
21
          Did you conclude that it was out of place within the
22
     Q
          house completely or was it out of place within the
23
           bedroom, or did you make that conclusion?
24
          No, I don't have any information that he gave that
25
     A
```

- it did not belong in the house somewhere, but since
- 2 he indicated that was foreign to the room, it's a
- 3 possibility that it could have been moved. We wanted
- 4 to cover every avenue and have it processed.
- 5 Q So your purpose was not conclude that it didn't
- 6 belong in the house but whether, in fact, it had
- 7 prints on it that might assist you?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And your conclusion was...?
- 10 A There were no latent prints recovered from those
- 11 articles.
- 12 Q And I know then you either went or were informed
- there were photographs being taken of the outside of
- 14 the home, and you took photos of the bedroom window,
- of Mr. Benge's bedroom window. We discussed that
- 16 again. And that screwdriver that you photographed
- 17 and that you have here today, do you recall ordering
- or asking your support staff to take or attempt to
- 19 take fingerprints from that screwdriver?
- 20 A Yes, sir. It was collected by Mr. Sheldon and taken
- 21 to the latent print lab for that purpose.
- 22 Q And were there prints taken from that?
- 23 A No, sir.
- 24 Q Was their ownership determined of that screwdriver?
- 25 A It's my understanding that screwdriver belonged to

- 1 Mr. Benge.
- 2 Q In your investigation, were you able to determine
- 3 whether he had other tools that he kept in the home?
- A I'm sure there were a number of other tools in the
- 5 home. I don't recall seeing any. There were some
- auto parts in a bag behind a television in the
- 7 living room.
- 8 Q Shortly after that, you have testified that you
- g attempted to find Mr. Raby and I think you had an
- 10 arrest warrant on the 19th. Is that a correct
- 11 statement?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And you had it early in the day?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q It was signed by a magistrate early that day. You
- went looking for Mr. Raby, your testimony would say,
- 17 about three times, and you were unsuccessful. Then
- you went to the address at 706 Reid Street, right?
- 19 A Yes, as to what I did on the 19th.
- 20 Q Okay. That's all I'm asking is what you did.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q But prior to that time, you had not only -- you were
- 23 investigating and you were trying to determine who
- you thought might have committed the offense, in your
- 25 search of the death of Mrs. Franklin. Prior to that

- time, you didn't have an arrest warrant for anyone
- else and you were doing a preliminary investigation;
- 3 is that correct?
- 4 A I'm sorry, that was a little confusing. Prior to
- 5 when?
- 6 Q You didn't have an arrest warrant for anyone else
- 7 prior to the 19th?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q On the 19th, you received your initial arrest warrant
- 10 in this case?
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 Q You had another arrest warrant at one time and when?
- 13 A No, sir. What I'm saying, the warrant was drawn up
- 14 prior to the 19th and Sergeants Stephens and Wendel
- and other investigators in the Homicide Division had
- 16 attempted to arrest the Defendant over the weekend
- 17 prior to the 19th.
- 18 Q I understand that. But it wasn't pursuant to an
- 19 arrest warrant? Are you looking for the arrest
- 20 warrant?
- 21 A I'm looking for a copy here. Well, it was formally
- signed by a magistrate, you're correct, on the 19th.
- 23 Q That's when you formally were seeking out Mr. Charles
- 24 Raby?
- 25 A That's correct. 86

- 1 Q In reference to this case?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q And it was signed sometime in the morning. You made
- 4 the arrest sometime around 11 o'clock?
- 5 A 11:15.
- 6 Q And you arrived at the police station later that day
- 7 with Mr. Raby in hand and Mary Gomez in hand?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And through the process you obtained a statement by
- 10 Mr. Raby?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q That was signed sometime later that afternoon,
- 13 sometime near the hour of two o'clock?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q Mr. Raby spoke with you about the incident? He
- spoke to you freely about the incident after speaking
- 17 to him and indicating his desire to speak to you
- 18 about it?
- 19 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 20 Q And he spoke to you about his drinking the previous
- 21 day and on that same day different alcoholic
- 22 beverages and drinks?
- 23 A Yes, sir, he did say that.
- 24 Q Prior to the episode?
- 25 A Yes, sir, he said he had been drinking.

- 1 Q And he indicated to you the drinking continued after
- 2 the episode?
- 3 A I can look at the statement. I'm not sure he said
- he was drinking after the episode or not. He did,
- 5 as you have indicated, say he had been drinking prior
- 6 to it actually happening.
- 7 Q Let me ask you how long the actual statement itself
- 8 took and not the warnings themselves. You usually
- 9 time that by the reading of the warnings. But let
- me ask you, if you recall, how long the statement
- 11 itself that Mr. Raby gave to you, how long that
- 12 took.
- 13 A I would say about 45 minutes.
- 14 Q When you asked Mr. Raby in those 45 minutes -- you
- tried to lead him through it initially by asking for
- some historical background on Mr. Raby. That's a
- 17 fair statement; is that correct?
- 18 A Well, yes, sir. We're documenting who's giving the
- 19 statement.
- 20 Q Historical background, he states his name, his age
- 21 and goes from there?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And as I recall, that statement is about a three-
- 24 paragraph statement, is it not?
- 25 A It's three pages.

- 1 Q Three-page statement given to you, and after that 45
- 2 minutes that he makes a statement, you leave and you
- 3 allow him to speak with Mary Gomez?
- A No, sir. He spoke with Mary Gomez prior to this
- 5 statement being taken.
 - 6 Q Prior to the statement? Okay. Prior to that 45
 - 7 minutes and after the warning or prior to the warning
 - 8 itself also?
 - 9 A The entire statement, as it's documented here,
 - 10 started at 1:24. It was concluded at 2:25, is when
 - Mr. Raby, the Defendant, was met by the investigators
 - 12 that I testified to. I'm using the term
 - 13 approximately 45 minutes when you exclude warnings
 - 14 and so forth that I gave him. The entire statement
 - process at this time when this was done was an hour.
 - 16 If your question is, when did he talk to Mary Gomez,
 - it was prior to this happening.
 - 18 Q Prior to this hour, he spoke with her. And what did
 - you understand to be his concern or his reason for
 - 20 wanting to speak to Mary Gomez?
- 21 A He didn't express any concern. At the time that he
 - had admitted being present at this crime scene, he
 - asked if he could talk with her, and I allowed that
 - 24 to happen.
 - 25 Q He was made aware that Mary Gomez was still present

- at the police station? He was made aware of this by
- you; is that correct?
- 3 A Well, he knew from -- she was taken to the police
- station by me, and we followed the Defendant to the
- 5 police station, I mean, he knew she was there.
- 6 Obviously if I granted him permission to speak with
- \sim 7 her, she was still there. 89
 - 8 Q And he wanted to speak to her. What did you
 - 9 understand to be the reason he wanted to speak with
 - 10 her?
 - 11 A Well, again --
 - 12 Q If you knew.
 - 13 A Well, I don't really know what his reason was.
 - 14 Q After discussing it with him, did you come to any
 - 15 conclusion why he wanted to speak to her?
 - 16 A After discussing what?
 - 17 Q Mr. Raby's wishes prior to making the statement.
 - 18 A I didn't discuss with him why he wanted to talk to
 - 19 her. He asked to speak to her. She was there. We
 - 20 wanted to interview her as to any knowledge that she
 - 21 may have of this crime. My partner was going to
 - 22 speak to her while I interviewed the Defendant. As
 - I testified as to what happened, he was allowed to
 - use the rest room. He was provided with whatever he
 - 25 wanted. If you are asking my opinion of why he

- wanted to talk to her, I can tell you that.
- 2 Q No, not so much that. But if you all had a
- 3 discussion and he indicated from that and you were
- able to deduce some reasoning, but you're saying you
- 5 weren't able to, because he didn't give you any
- 6 reason other than his desire?
- 7 A He just indicated that he wanted to talk to her, and
 8 I allowed that to happen.
 - 9 Q I'm going to talk to you about the different items
 - 10 that were collected in the home of Ms. Franklin.
 - 11 The most, I think, clearest one is that carpet. You
 - indicated that that carpet that was confiscated, I
 - think it's State Exhibit 77, a section of carpet to
 - be more precise. You and your agency HPD, found
 - nothing incriminating about that section of carpet
 - 16 that you found?
 - 17 A That's correct. There is no evidence collected from
 - 18 that section of carpet.
 - 19 Q The pants that you found, and I believe you testified
 - 20 to that; is that correct?
 - 21 A Yes, sir.
 - 22 Q Did you make any analysis or run any analysis or run
 - 23 any scientific tests on that garment itself or did
 - you request those tests to be run?
 - 25 A Yes, sir.

1	Q	Do you know whether a test had been run on those
2		garments?
3	A	Yes, sir, I do.
4	Q	Who is the person that would have that information?
5	A	It's documented in the police report and there were
6		several chemists involved in analyzing these
7		articles. I testified one was Raydun Hilleman, and I
8		believe she is the one that examined the pants. One
9		of the other chemists, Mr. Chu, I think it's Joseph
10		Chu, tested a number of the articles that were sent
11		to the Crime Lab to be examined, the clothing, for
12		blood.
13	Q	And what is your conclusion as to the pants
14		themselves that were there? Is there anything from
15		those pants that you gathered that are incriminating
16		or would be considered foreign to Mrs. Franklin or to
17		the pants themselves?
18		
19		MR. GUTIERREZ: I object to that question
20		as being multifarious. There's three parts to
21		it.
22		THE COURT: Well, if you understand it.
23		
24	A	When you say foreign to the pants, again, unless I
25		reviewed Ms. Hilleman's report, I believe she may

т		have gotten some half off those pants. As ful us
2		incriminating to the Defendant, I don't believe
3		anything was found incriminating as to the Defendant.
4	Q	You also collected hair from Mrs. Franklin's hand, as
5		I recall. That hair turned out to be hair belonging
6		to her grandson. Is that a correct statement?
7		
8		MR. GUTIERREZ: The State stipulates there
9		was one hair on the hand of Ms. Franklin that
10		came back to Eric Benge. None came back of the
11		Defendant from the Complainant's hand.
12		THE COURT: Okay.
13		
14	Q	(By Mr. Cantu) Is that correct?
15	A	Yes, sir, that hair, along with some dog hair.
16	Q .	There was also some dog hair? And we all agree
17		there was a number of dogs that belonged to this
18		family. There was at least one dog in the house
19		when you arrived. And you also took fingernail
20		scrapings or you took fingernail samples from Ms.
21		Franklin, do you recall?
22	A	Yes, sir. An autopsy request form was completed and
23		that included fingernail scrapings.
24	Q	And what was the conclusion from that, from that
25		analysis or from that sampling or whatever you all do

	1		to determine any evidence from that:
	2	A	To my knowledge, there wasn't anything incriminating
9	3		from the fingernail scrapings. 91
	4		
	5		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, at this time we
	6		will pass Detective Allen.
	7		THE COURT: Okay. Any further questions?
	8		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
	9		
	10		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
	11		
	12	Q	Sergeant Allen, let me refer you to do you have a
	13		copy of the arrest warrant?
	14	A	No, sir, I do not.
	15	Q	Let me show you a copy of the offense report and a
	16		copy of the arrest warrant. I believe you testified
	17		earlier, if I heard you correctly, that the warrant
	18	-	had been issued on the 19th of October. Would you
	19		refresh your memory by looking at this and telling me
	20		whether or not you would like to reconsider that
	21		answer?
	22	A	Yes, sir, I was correct in that the warrant had been
	23		signed prior to the 19th. That Sergeant Stephens had
	24		obtained a warrant, and the formal charge, the
	25		degree that I looked at a while ago was actually

- the formal charges that had been filed by Sergeant
- Wendel on the 19th.
- 3 Q And when we talk about the "formal charge," we're
- 4 talking about the legal accusation against the
- 5 Defendant in this case; is that correct?
- 6 A That's correct. 91
 - 7 Q Perhaps I misunderstood, and just for clarity's sake,
 - 8 the warrant itself that was the basis of the arrest
 - g for the Defendant, that was signed by a judge in the
 - 10 339th District Court on October 16th; is that
 - 11 correct?
 - 12 A That's correct.
 - 13 Q So when you all were searching for the Defendant in
 - 14 different places you also were searching for him
 - 15 pursuant to that warrant?
 - 16 A That's correct.
 - 17 Q You have been to many, many crime scenes, have you
 - 18 not?
 - 19 A Yes, sir.
 - 20 Q Would it be accurate to say that you have been to
 - 21 hundreds of crime scenes as a police officer and a
 - 22 member of the Homicide Division?
 - 23 A Yes, sir, in 19-and-a-half years.
 - 24 Q Have you been to many scenes where people have either
 - 25 been injured or killed with knives?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Let's assume for purposes of argument that the
- 3 Complainant was stabbed with a pocketknife. Is it
- 4 pretty much common knowledge that pocket knives have
- 5 blades on one side and not on the other?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q When we say "blade," we're talking about a sharp
- 8 blade. Would it be possible that the injuries on
- 9 the Complainant, as reflected in the photographs of
- 10 the crime scene that are already in evidence and the
- autopsy photographs that are in evidence, could you
- tell the members of the jury whether or not it would
- be possible that those injuries could be performed
- 14 with a small pocketknife?
- 15 A Yes, sir. I know they occurred with a small
- 16 pocketknife.
- 17 Q Assuming that the blade was, say, two inches in
- 18 length, would those injuries -- could those injuries
- 19 have been inflicted with a blade that size?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q And would such a blade of a minimum of two inches,
- 22 possibly larger, possibly two-and-a-half or three
- 23 inches if we categorize that as small, be capable of
- 24 inflicting serious bodily injury or death?
- 25 A Absolutely.

1	Q	And as such, would it constitute would it be a
2		deadly weapon in the manner of its use or intended
3		use?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	I don't know if you have indication, but do you have
6		any indications in your offense report of the
7		Defendant's size and/or weight? And if you don't
8		recall at the moment, that's fine.
9	A	There should be a document somewhere that have size
10		and weight on it, yes, sir.
11		
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: At this time, Your Honor,
13		I'll pass the witness, but I do intend to recall
14		him.
15		THE COURT: Do you have any further
16		questions at this time, Mr. Cantu?
17		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
18		THE COURT: Officer Allen, you may be
19		excused subject to recall. You may step down.
20		Ladies and gentlemen, I am going to recess
21		you for lunch at this time. You will be
22		excused to the Jury Deliberation Room, and the
23		bailiff will make arrangements.
24		is the state of th
25		(Whereupon a lunch recess was had.)

1	THE COURT: Gentlemen, if you will raise
2	your right hands and be sworn.
3	
4	(Whereupon the witnesses were duly sworn.)
5	
6	THE COURT: The rule has been invoked,
7	which means you cannot discuss your testimony
8	amongst yourselves nor allow the other witnesses
9	to discuss their testimony with you and you must
10	remain in the hallway until you are called in
11	individually. So you will be excused to the
12	hallway at this time.
13	
14	(Whereupon the witnesses left the
15	courtroom.)
16	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
17	box.)
18	
19	THE COURT: You may proceed.
20	
21	TED THOMAS
22	was called as a witness by the State, and after having
23	been previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
24	follows:
25	

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ

- 3 Q State your name, please.
- 4 A Ted Thomas.
- 5 Q Mr. Thomas, would you tell the members of the jury
- 6 what you do for a living?
- 7 A I'm a homicide detective for the Houston Police
- 8 Department.
- 9 Q And how long have you been so assigned?
- 10 A Fifteen years.
- 11 Q Is that how long you have been a police officer or
- 12 have you been a police officer longer?
- 13 A I've been a police officer 18 years.
- 14 Q As part of the Homicide Division, do you from time
- to time take evidence to the laboratory at the
- 16 Houston Police Department?
- 17 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 18 Q Did you do so back in October of 1992, specifically,
- on or about October 16th of 1992, regarding evidence
- in this case which turned out to be styled The State
- of Texas Vs. Charles Douglas Raby?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- 23 Q I show you State Exhibit No. 106 and I'll ask you
- 24 whether or not you can identify this plastic bag and
- 25 its contents.

- 1 A Yes, sir, I can.
- 2 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what this is?
- 3 A This is hair that was taken from the right hand of
- 4 the deceased at the time of autopsy by Detective Bill
- 5 Stephens.
- 6 Q What did he do with the hair?
- 7 A He brought the hair to the Homicide Office and asked
- me if I would take it over to the Crime Lab.
- 9 Q Did you do so?
- 10 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 11 Q Did you do anything else regarding this case?
- 12 A No, sir, I did not.

- MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like,
- if I haven't offered State Exhibit No. 106, I
- 16 would like to offer it at this point, if there's
- no objection from the Defense. And I'll pass
- 18 the witness.
- MR. CANTU: I have no objections, Your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: State Exhibit No. 106 is
- 22 admitted into evidence.
- Do you have any questions?
- 24 MR. CANTU: I don't have any questions.
- 25 THE COURT: Officer Thomas, you may be

1		excused.		
2		MR. GUTIERREZ: State would call Officer		
3		Abbondondolo as its next witness.		
4				
5		C.P. ABBONDONDOLO		
6	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been		
7	prev	viously duly sworn, testified under his oath as		
8	follows:			
9				
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ		
11				
12	Q	State your name, please.		
13	A	C.P. Abbondondolo.		
14	Q	Would you spell your last name for the benefit of		
15		the court reporter?		
16	A	It's A-b-b-o-n-d-o-n-d-o-l-o.		
17	Q	Officer Abbondondolo, would you tell the members of		
18		the jury what you do for a living?		
19	A	I'm a homicide detective with the Houston Police		
20		Department.		
21	Q	How long have you been a police officer?		
22	A	Thirteen years.		
23	Q	And have you been a police officer that whole time		
24		with the Houston Police Department?		
25	A	Yes, sir, I have.		

And what different divisions have you been assigned 1 Q 2 to? Prior to going to the Homicide Division, I worked in 3 A the Tactical Unit, and then prior to that, I was a 4 patrol officer. 5 Did you have an occasion back on October 19th, 1992, 6 Q to witness a statement given by an individual that 7 you came to know as Charles Douglas Raby? 8 9 A Yes, sir, I did. 10 Q Do you see that individual in the courtroom today? 11 A Yes, sir, I do. Would you please point him out and for the record 12 Q describe what he is wearing today? 13 Mr. Raby is wearing a white-striped shirt, with a red 14 A 15 print tie. 16 17 MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to 18 reflect that the witness has identified the 19 Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby. 20 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 21 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Will you tell the members of the 22 Q 23 jury how it came to be that you came to witness that 24 statement or confession?

Yes, sir. I was in the Homicide Office, which is at

25

A

- the main police station. Sergeant Allen had come out
- 2 and was looking for a witness, several witnesses, for
- a statement. We asked, you know, where the
- 4 individual was, and he pointed him out. We went
- inside and spoke with Mr. Raby. That's how we got
- 6 to meet him.
- 7 Q When you say "we," who are you talking about?
- 8 A Officer Drehel.
- 9 Q What's Officer Drehel's first name?
- 10 A Nick.
- 11 Q Is he the other individual who witnesses the
- Defendant sign that statement or confession?
- 13 A Yes, he is.
- 14 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury what
- procedures you followed to assure yourself that the
- 16 Defendant, Charles Raby, was signing that confession
- 17 willingly and voluntarily and without coercion?
- 18 A First we viewed Mr. Raby as he sat in the office.
- 19 He appeared to be okay. We asked him if he was
- okay. He said he was okay. He was drinking a Coke.
- 21 Asked him if Sergeant Allen had threatened or abused
- 22 him. Asked him if he was --
- 23 Q What was his response when you asked him if Sergeant
- 24 Allen had threatened or abused him?
- 25 A He said he had not been abused.

- 1 Q Please proceed.
- 2 A After we asked about whether he had been threatened
- or abused, we asked if he had read the statement,
- which he said he had. I asked him to read the first
- 5 line of the first statement or the first warning so
- 6 that he could prove to me that he understood the
- 7 English language and was able to read it, which he
- 8 read it quite clearly to me. Viewed him as he sat
- 9 there in a fine state.
- 10 Q And did you at some point observe him to affix his
- 11 signature to the exhibit that I'm showing you marked
- 12 State Exhibit No. 98, a three-page document?
- 13 A Yes, sir, this is the document.
- 14 Q Is that the Defendant's signature on the first page?
- 15 A Yes, sir, it is.
- 16 Q Where it says, "signature, Charles D. Raby"?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And on the second page?
- 19 A It's the same.
- 20 Q And on the third page?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And are those three the signatures of this Defendant,
- 23 Charles Douglas Raby?
- 24 A Yes, they are.
- 25 Q And is it your testimony that the Defendant

- voluntarily, without any coercion, signed this
- 2 particular statement?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And you observed him each time he signed on each
- 5 page; is that correct?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q Does your signature appear as a witness in any one
- 8 or all of those pages?
- 9 A Yes, sir. Mine is the first signature on all three
- 10 pages.
- 11 Q And whose is the second signature where it says
- "witness" on the bottom?
- 13 A That's Officer Drehel's.
- 14 Q On each of the pages; is that correct?
- 15 A On all three, yes.
- Does it indicate what time you signed as a witness?
- 17 A We signed it -- I signed it at 2:25 p.m. in the
- 18 afternoon.
- 19 Q And did you or anyone else, to your recollection, ask
- 20 the Defendant to sign or affix his initials to the
- 21 left of each of the warning?
- 22 A That's right, I did after I asked him if he
- understood what his legal warnings were.
- Q Did the Defendant acknowledge that he understood what
- 25 those legal warnings were?

- 1 A Yes, sir, he did.
- 2 Q During the course of your questioning, were you
- 3 wearing any handguns?
- 4 A No, sir, I was not.
- 5 Q What about Officer Drehel?
- No, neither one of us was wearing a weapon.
- 7 Q Was the Defendant sober?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Did he appear to be of sound mind?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q In your opinion, was he of sound mind?
- 12 A He was.

- MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, at this time

 State would like to offer what's been marked as

 State Exhibit No. 98. I would like to offer it
- into evidence.
- MR. CANTU: Your Honor, again, we reurge
- our previous objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Subject to your objection,
- 21 State Exhibit 98 is admitted into evidence.
- MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, at this time I
- 23 would like to ask permission of the Court to
- 24 publish it to the jury.
- 25 THE COURT: You may do so.

omitting the warnings, which are included on the front of State's 98, reads as follows: "My name is Charles Douglas Raby. I am 22 years old. I was born in Houston, Texas, on March 22, 1970.

I last went to school at Sam Houston and have a total of ten years of formal education.

"I am at the Houston Police Department
Homicide Division. Today is Monday, October 19,
1992, and it is approximately 1:25 p.m.,
Sergeant Allen read me my rights on two
occasions this afternoon. I fully understand my
rights and I have gave up my right to remain
silent and right to an attorney. I have not
been threatened or promised anything in return
to make a statement. I told Sergeant Allen that
I had not been at Lee's house on Westford Street
Thursday night. I was not telling the truth at
first, because I was scared. I decided to tell
the truth and get this over with.

"I am living with my mother at 3414 Cedar Hill in Houston, Texas. My telephone number is 987-1414 and 987-8869. I am unemployed at the present time. I can read and write the English

language. I can see this statement as it is being typed by Sergeant Allen on the monitor.

1

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"On Thursday, October 15, 1992 I had gotten up that morning and I had gone over to my little brother, Robert Butler. Robert is living at 3215 Sparks with his father, Bob Butler. Robert's telephone number is 695-6259. was in school and I visited with a friend by the name of Anthony. Anthony is a Hispanic male, about 25-26 years old. Anthony lives next door to Robert. My little brother came home after school and I stayed at his house until some time that afternoon. My little brother, Robert gave me a ride on his bicycle to Jimmie's house. We call Jimmie, 'Crawdead'. Jimmie lives off of Laura Koppe Street. Jimmie was not I visited with his mother for a while. I had a little pocket knife and I was cleaning my fingernails on Jimmie's front porch. believe my pocketknife was an 'old timer'. T stayed there at Jimmie's for an hour. I left there and walked over to my ex-mother-in-law's They live at 7719 W. Hardy. I talked to Barbara, Dusty and Blane. I left their house and walked over to a friend of mine named Larry. Larry lives off of Irvington. I had been drinking beer and whiskey. I only talked to Larry for a few minutes. I left Larry's house and walked over to Melody's house on Post Street. I talked to her mother and I left there. I walked over to John Phillips' house on Wainwright Street. I asked John's grandmother if he was at home and she told me, John was not there. I walked over off of Crosstimbers Street to try and locate a friend named Pookie. Pookie had moved.

wine. I think it was some mad dog 20/20. I drank the bottle of wine and then I walked over to Lee's house on Westford street. Lee lives with his grandmother, Edna and his cousin Eric. There is an old Volkswagen in the driveway at their house I walked up to the front door. The front door has a screen-type door in front of a wooden door. I knocked on the door. I did not hear anyone answer. I just went inside. I sat down for a little bit on the couch. I called out when I got inside but I did not hear anyone say anything. I heard Edna in the kitchen I walked into the kitchen and grabbed

Edna. Edna's back was to me and I just grabbed her. I remember struggling with her and I was on top of her. I know I had my knife but I do not remember taking it out We were in the living room when we went to the floor. I saw Edna covered in blood and underneath her. I went to the back of the house and went out the back door that leads into the back yard.

"Shortly after I had left Lee's house on
Westford I was approached by a man and this man
told me something like 'I had better not catch
you in my yard', 'jumping his fences'. Or
something like that. I woke up later on the
ground near the Hardy Toll Road and
Crosstimbers. I walked home, on Cedar Hill from
there. I remember feeling sticky and I had
blood on my hands. I washed my hands off in a
water puddle that is near the pipe line by the
Hardy Toll Road. I do not remember what I did
with the knife.

"The next day I knew I had killed Edna. I remembered being at her house and struggling with her and Edna was covered with blood when I left. I think I was wearing a black concert shirt, the blue jeans I'm wearing and my Puma

1		tennis snoes. I also had on a black jacket.
2		"I have read this, my statement, consisting
3		of 3 page/pages, and finished reading it at
4		: hours." Signed, Charles D.
5		Raby on all three pages, and it's witnessed by
6		Officer Abbondondolo and Officer Drehel. Signed
7		at 2:25 in the afternoon by the witnesses, dated
8		October 19th, 1992.
9		I believe State Exhibit No. 98 is in
10		evidence, is that correct?
11		THE COURT: That's correct.
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: I pass this witness.
13		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
14		MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
15		
16		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
17		
18	Q	Officer, did you participate in the investigation
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	prior to this time?
21	A	No, sir.
22	Q	Your participation in this case is solely as a
23		witness to this statement, which was read by the
24		prosecutor?
25	A	That's correct.

1		MR. CANTU: I have nothing further, Your
2		Honor.
3		THE COURT: Okay. Officer Abbondondolo,
4		you may be excused.
5		State will call your next witness.
6		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor. The State
7		would call Linda McClain as its next witness.
8		
9		LINDA MCCLAIN
10	was	called as a witness by the State, and after having
11	beer	n previously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
12	fol	lows:
13		
14		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
15		
16	Q	Would you please state your name?
17	A	Linda McClain.
18	Q	Ms. McClain, are you any relation to either Lee Rose
19		or Eric Benge?
20	A	Yes. Lee's my son and Eric's my nephew.
21	Q	Where did you grow up as a child?
22	A	In Houston.
23	Q	What home?
24	A	617 Westford.
25	Q	And who was your mother? Edna Franklin?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Do you recall the last time you talked to your
- 3 mother?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Was that the day that she was killed?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q About what time of the day or night was the last
- 8 time that you talked to your mother?
- 9 A I think I called her about probably around 6:20 and
- talked to her until maybe 6:40 or 6:45.
- 11 Q Did she or you like a program that was on the air at
- 12 the time at 6:30?
- 13 A Yes, sir. She was watching "Wheel of Fortune" and I
- 14 was watching "A Current Affair."
- 15 Q During the course of your conversation, did you ever
- ask her whether or not the doors to the house were
- 17 locked?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q And what did she say?
- 20 A She said they were.
- 21 Q Could your mother get around?
- 22 A No, sir, not very well.
- 23 Q Why not?
- 24 A She had arthritis in both knees.
- 25 Q Was she a strong person?

```
1
     A
          No, sir.
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: May I have a moment, Your
 3
               Honor?
5
                    THE COURT:
                                 Yes.
 6
                     (State Exhibit No. 109 was previously
7
               marked for identification purposes.)
 8
9
          Ma'am, I show you what I have marked as State
10
     Q
          Exhibit 109 on the front, and if the Defense has no
11
          objection, I would like to replace the tag with one
12
13
          on the front.
14
               Do you recognize what this is?
          Yes, sir.
15
     A
          A TV Guide-type thing that comes in the Houston
16
17
          Chronicle, is that correct?
     A
          Uh-huh. Yes.
18
19
     Q
          And would you tell us if it shows on Thursday,
20
          October 15th, I believe, what time "Wheel of Fortune"
          came on?
21
22
     A
           6:30 p.m.
23
24
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like State Exhibit
25
               109 to be admitted into evidence if there's no
```

```
objection from the Defense.
1
                                No objection, Your Honor.
                    MR. CANTU:
2
                                State Exhibit 109 is admitted
                    THE COURT:
3
               into evidence.
4
5
          When was the last time -- in other words, when did
6
     Q
7
          you hang up?
          When did I hang up?
 8
     A
9
     Q
          Yes.
          I would say it was probably -- I was probably
10
     A
          watching "A Current Affair" for about 15 minutes
11
          while I was talking to her on the phone.
12
           "A Current Affair" came on about the same time?
13
      Q
                   And I was watching it at the same time I had
14
      A
15
          her on the phone. And then there was a program that
           came on, "A Current Affair" that I wanted to watch.
16
          And about what time do you think you hung up?
17
          It was probably around 6:45.
18
      A
          When did you get the call when you found out
19
      Q
           something had gone drastically wrong?
20
           I guess it was around 10:30.
21
      A
          And who called you?
22
      0
23
      A
          My son Lee.
24
25
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your
```

1		HONOT
2		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
3		MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
4		
5		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
6		
7	Q	Your mother had lived in this house for at least 10,
8		15 years; is that correct?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And she had lived there with her husband, your
11		father, during that period of time?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And I understand she had arthritic problems?
14	A	That's right. Uh-huh
15	Q	She did some housework in the house?
16	A	Not very much.
17	Q	She did some kitchen work in the house?
18	A	She hardly did anything. She hardly did anything in
19		the house.
20	Q	Did she cook for herself?
21	A	No. Lee or Eric did.
22	Q	The two boys provided for her, her eating, and they
23		kept the house clean?
24	A	They straightened the house for her and they did cook
25		for her. 93

- 1 Q And when you were talking to her, so it's clear, you
- were watching a different show than she was?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q You know this because you discussed the shows that
- 5 you all were watching on the telephone?
- 6 A Yes, sir. She always watched "Wheel of Fortune."
- 7 Q How many televisions did she have in her house?
- 8 A She may have had two at that time.
- 9 O Where are those televisions or where were they in the
- 10 rooms?
- 11 A I believe that one of them was in the front bedroom
- and the other one was in the back bedroom, or what
- is really referred to as the den, where she was.
- 14 Now, I'm not sure if she had two televisions or not.
- 15 Q Let me just look through the photos and let's see.
- The den being the room where what's recognized as the
- 17 front door?
- 18 A The den is the back part of the house where she was.
- 19 O Where she was found?
- 20 A No, sir, where she slept.
- 21 Q In State Exhibit 68, this has been recognized as her
- 22 bed where she slept? This is a trundle bed, is it
- 23 not? In other words, there is a mattress under the
- 24 mattress that she uses?
- 25 A That's right.

1	Q	This is the den area that you're referring to:
2	A	Uh-huh
3	Q	And you recall there being a television in that one
4		room where she slept?
5	A	Uh-huh.
6	Q	Do you recall there being any televisions anywhere
7		else?
8	A	I'm not sure, because I didn't go when I would go
9		to visit her, I very seldom went in the other rooms.
10	Q	You generally went to her bedroom or her den area?
11	A	Uh-huh, because Lee and Eric were always in the other
12		two rooms.
13	Q	It's fair to say they occupied the rest of the house
14		other than the den/bedroom area that she occupied?
15	A	Uh-huh.
16	Q ·	Is that a fair statement?
17	A	Yes. 97
18		
19		MR. CANTU: I don't have any further
20		questions.
21		MR. GUTIERREZ: Just one, Your Honor
22		
23		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
24		
25	Q	The Edna Franklin that you said was your mother is

1		the same one that lived at oil westiond and the same
2		one named in the indictment; is that correct?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Thank you, ma'am.
5		
6		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
7		THE COURT: Ms. McClain, you may step down,
8		ma'am.
9		State will call your next witness.
10		MR. GUTIERREZ: State Calls Shirley Gunn.
11		
12		SHIRLEY GUNN
13	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been
14	prev	riously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
15	foll	ows:
16		
17		THE COURT: You may proceed.
18		
19		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
20		
21	Q	State your name, ma'am.
22	A	Shirley Gunn.
23	Q	Would you please spell your name for the benefit of
24		the court reporter?
25		The last name?

- 1 Q The whole name.
- 2 A S-h-i-r-l-e-y, G-u-n-n.
- 3 Q Ms. Gunn, would you please tell us where you are
- 4 living now? What's your address?
- 5 A 9146 Simmons.
- 6 Q Is that in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Were you living in the same place back on October
- 9 15th of 1992?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Do you know now and did you know then a person by
- 12 the name of Charles Douglas Raby who had a nickname
- of Buster?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Do you see Buster or Charles Douglas Raby in the
- 17 A Yes, sir, I do.
- 18 Q Would you please point him out and today describe
- 19 what he is wearing for the record.
- 20 A He's sitting right there and he's got the striped
- shirt on, in between the two gentlemen there.
- 22 Q Are you referring to this gentleman here, this man
- 23 being number one, or this man, being number two?
- 24 A He's in between those two -- that man right there.
- 25 Q Right here?

```
That man right there.
1
     A
2
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
3
               reflect the witness has identified the
               Defendant.
5
                    THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
6
7
          How long had you known Charles Raby or Buster from
8
9
          back on October 15th, 1992?
           Oh, I'd say between about six years probably, maybe
10
     A
           longer.
11
           Did he used to hang around with your son?
12
          Yes, sir.
13
     A
          What is your son's name?
14
15
     A
          James Parks.
          Does he have a nickname?
16
17
          Yes.
          And would you tell the members of the jury what that
18
19
           is?
20
     A
          Crawdad.
21
           Is he outside the courtroom right now?
22
     A
           Yes.
23
24
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like to have Mr.
25
               Parks brought inside the courtroom for
```

```
identification purposes only.
1
                     THE COURT: Mr. Bailiff.
 2
 3
                     (Whereupon an individual was brought into
 4
 5
               the courtroom.)
                     (Whereupon the individual left the
 6
7
                courtroom.)
8
           (By Mr. Gutierrez) Is that your son Jimmie Parks,
9
      Q
10
           also known as Crawdad?
11
      A
           Yes.
           Now, first of all, did you know Edna Franklin?
12
      Q
13
      A
           Yes, sir.
           And they call her grandma; is that correct?
14
      0
           Yes, sir.
15
      A
           Was your house or is your house and her house within
16
      0
17
           walking distance of one another?
           Yes, sir.
18
      A
           Could you tell us whether or not you had an occasion
19
      Q
20
           back on October 15th, 1992, to see Buster anywhere?
           Yes, sir.
21
      A
22
      Q
           Where did you see him?
23
      A
           He came to my house.
          Once or twice?
24
      Q
25
      A
           Twice.
```

- 1 Q What time did he come to your house the first time?
- 2 A The first time, it was three o'clock.
- 3 Q In the morning or afternoon?
- 4 A Afternoon.
- 5 Q Was he alone or was he with someone else?
- 6 A He said he was with his brother.
- 7 Q Did you see his brother?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q How old was his brother?
- 10 A I couldn't tell you for sure. Maybe 15, 16,
- 11 something like that.
- 12 Q Did either one of them have a bicycle?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Which one?
- 15 A I don't know which one had the bicycle, but they had
- one.
- 17 Q What did Buster come to your home for the first
- 18 time?
- 19 A He was looking for Kenneth and my son James.
- 20 Q When you talk about Kenneth, you're talking about
- 21 what Kenneth?
- 22 A Kenneth Gaddis.
- 23 Q And the last name is spelled G-a-d-d-i-s; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A I think so.

- 1 Q Is he another friend of your son's?
- 2 A I think so.
- 3 Q Are you related to him in any way?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Were Kenneth Gaddis and your son Jimmie Parks at your
- 6 home at three o'clock the first time Charles Raby
- 7 came over that day, October 15th, 1992?
- 8 A No, they weren't.
- 9 Q How long did Buster or Charles Raby stay at your
- 10 house?
- 11 A Not very long. About five minutes maybe and then
- 12 they took off.
- 13 Q Did he come into your home or did he wait outside?
- 14 A No, they was out on the porch.
- 15 Q And then they left?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q When did you see Charles Raby again that day, October
- 18 15th, 1992?
- 19 A He came back at five o'clock. 95
 - 20 Q Did you see anybody else with him at that time?
 - 21 A No. He was alone.
 - 22 Q Did you see any bicycle?
 - 23 A No.
 - 24 Q Did you go to the door and talk to him through the
 - door or what, or were you outside your home?

- 1 A I was inside, but we went outside.
- 2 Q Who is "we"?
- 3 A Me and Buster.
- 4 Q Did Buster come into your house?
- 5 A Not that time.
- 6 Q Did he come in the first time?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q So Buster came up to the door and you went outside
- 9 and talked to him?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what you
- 12 noticed about Buster the second time he came?
- 13 A I don't understand what you mean, "noticed."
- 14 Q Did you smell any alcohol on his breath?
- 15 A Oh, yes. When we started talking, I smelled it.
- 16 Q Was it strong, medium or weak?
- 17 A Well, you could tell he had been drinking, because,
- 18 you know, you could smell it on his breath.
- 19 Q Did you see him do anything while he was there?
- 20 A Yes. We was sitting on the porch and it got hot and
- 21 he took his jacket off and he was sitting on the
- 22 steps and I was in the rocking chair and he pulled
- out a pocketknife out of his pocket and he opened it
- up and he was cleaning his fingernails.
- 25 Q Did you have an opportunity to look at the

Yeah, I was looking at it. 2 I'm going to show you this ruler that I've got and 3 I'm going to ask you if you can tell us about how long you estimate that blade was, just the blade. 5 I'd say between two and three inches. A So you're saying it's somewhere between two and three 7 Q inches long? 8 9 A Yes. And was it the typical pocketknife with the blade on 10 Q 11 one side and no blade on the other? 12 A Yes, sir. Did Buster stay at your house a long time? 13 Q He stayed about an hour. Well, it was six o'clock 14 A when he left. 47 15 16 How do you know? Q 17 A Because "Roseanne" was just coming on. 18 Q Thank you. 19 20 MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Cantu. 22 MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 24

pocketknife?

1

25

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU

2

1

- 3 Q Ms. Gunn, let me take you back to 3:00 p.m., the
- 4 initial meeting with Charles that day.
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q You spoke with him outside of your home along with
- 7 his brother. Do you recall what his brother's name
- 8 was?
- 9 A No, I don't.
- 10 Q Do you recall whether it was an older or younger
- brother?
- 12 A He was younger than Buster or, excuse me, Charles.
- 13 That's all I know him by is Buster.
- 14 Q And do you recall at that initial meeting, that 3:00
- p.m. meeting, whether you could smell alcohol on his
- 16 breath?
- 17 A I didn't really talk to him that much. He just
- asked if Jimmie was home and I said no and he left.
- 19 Q The 3:00 p.m. meeting was just minutes?
- 20 A Yes, it was just a few minutes that he was there.
- 21 Q Just long enough to ask you that question?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Would you agree with me the second time he came
- 24 back, that he was in a state of high intoxication?
- 25 A He wasn't roaring drunk, but when he talked, I could

1		smell whiskey on his breath.
2	Q	Had you seen him previously or at different times to
3		be intoxicated?
4	A	What do you mean?
5	Q	Well, had you seen him before drunk?
6	A	A long time ago when he used to come to the house. 98
7	Q	When he used to come to your home?
8	A	Yes. Sometimes maybe they were drinking in the back.
9		I don't know. I didn't go out back that much when
10		they were all out back.
11		
12		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
13		Honor.
14		
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
16		
17	Q	I'm sorry, Ms. Gunn, I forgot to ask you some
18		questions. Before Buster left, before Charles Raby
19		left your house the second time, did he ask any
20		questions about where Kenneth and Jimmie Parks may
21		have gone?
22	A	Yes, he did.
23	Q	What did he ask you specifically? Had they gone
24		where?
25	A	He asked me if I thought maybe they were over at

1		grandma's.
2	Q	Is that one of the last things he asked you before
3		he left?
4	A	Oh, it was probably maybe about 15 minutes before he
5		left that he said that.
6	Q	Do you ever recall him saying or did he say whether
7		or not he was going over to Lee's house for sure?
8		Just if you remember.
9	A	No, that I remember.
10		
11		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
12		MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I would like to ask
13		a couple questions. Thank you.
14		
15		RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
16		arepsilon
17	Q	Mrs. Gunn, you knew the lady you call grandma, Mrs.
18		Franklin?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	And I'm assuming you knew her, because it's in the
21		same neighborhood?
22	A	Yeah, we wasn't that far apart. 99
23	Q	Let me ask you about, if you know, did you know of
24		grandma's health or were you familiar with her
25		health?

- 1 A No, not that much.
- 2 Q Had you visited her at her home any time recently
- 3 prior to that day?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q When was the last time you had seen Mrs. Franklin?
- 6 A It probably had been a year or so, maybe longer.
- 7 Q And that year or so when you saw her, what was her
- 8 health at that point?
- 9 A Well, she seemed fine to me. She was driving and
- 10 everything. She used to come over and get Eric,
- 11 pick him up.
- 12 Q She would drive in her car?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q To pick Eric up or get him from your home?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q What kind of car did she drive?
- 17 A I couldn't tell you for sure what kind. I knew it
- 18 was a big car. That's all I know.
- 19 Q A sedan, four-door car?
- 20 A I couldn't tell you for sure.
- 21 Q Do you recall whether it was American-made or
- 22 foreign-made?
- 23 A It wasn't -- American would be like a Ford or
- 24 Chevrolet. I'd say American. I couldn't tell you
- 25 for sure.

1	Q Thank you very much.
2	
3	MR. CANTU: Nothing further, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Ms. Gunn, you may step down
5	ma'am.
6	State will call your next witness.
7	MR. GUTIERREZ: Mary Scott.
8	
9	MARY ALICE SCOTT
10	was called as a witness by the State, and having been
11	previously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
12	follows:
13	
14	THE COURT: You may proceed.
15	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
17	
18	Q State your name, please.
19	A Mary Alice Scott.
20	Q Ms. Scott, where do you live?
21	A 607 Wainwright.
22	Q And is that in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
23	A Yes, northeast.
24	Q Did you know when she was living a person by the
25	name of Edna Franklin?

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q The same Edna Franklin that lived at 617 Westford, in
- 3 Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 4 A Yes, same one.
- 5 Q Where is your house in relation to the 600 block of
- 6 Westford?
- 7 A It's one street north on Westford, about 200 foot
- 8 from her house.
- 9 Q I'll show you what has been marked as State Exhibit
- No. 14 and already admitted into evidence. Would you
- 11 agree with me that is the front of Edna Franklin's
- home at 617 Westford?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q You need to speak in the microphone, if you don't
- 15 mind.
- 16 A Yes, it is.
- 17 Q Do you know the man whose home sets directly behind
- 19 A Yes. His name is Leo.
- 20 Q And would you agree with me that is the back to
- 21 Leo's house?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And would Leo's name be initials H.L. Truitt, T-r-u-
- 24 i-t-t?
- 25 A Well, I really don't know his full name. Leo is all

1		I know.
2		
3		MR. GUTIERREZ: State would like to have
4		Mr. Truitt brought into the courtroom for
5		identification purposes, H.L. or Leo Truitt.
6		
7	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Would you agree with me that
8		State's Exhibit No. 31, the house in there, is the
9		front of Leo Truitt's house; is that correct?
10	A	Yes, that is.
11		
12		(Whereupon an individual was brought into
13		the courtroom.)
14		
15	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Is that the man you call Leo?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17		
18		MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Truitt. You
19		can step outside.
20		(Whereupon the individual left the
21		courtroom.)
22		
23	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, as you face Leo Truitt's
24		house, do you agree with me that this house you see
25		in the distance would be the home of Edna Franklin,

- behind Leo Truitt's house?
- 2 A It's directly behind it.
- 3 Q Now, would you tell the members of the jury that as
- 4 you -- let me move over here, Ms. Scott -- as you
- 5 look at Leo Truitt's home, which direction do you
- 6 live?
- 7 A I live right across the street, left of it, one
- 8 block -- one lot.
- 9 Q So as we look at this photograph then, you live to
- 10 the right; is that correct? Let me put it another
- 11 way. As you come out of Leo Truitt's house, you
- live across the street and to the left?
- 13 A And to the left, uh-huh.
- 14 Q How many houses down?
- 15 A One.
- 16 Q Do you know or have you ever known a person by the
- 17 name of Charles Douglas Raby, also known as Buster?
- 18 A I know him by Buster is all.
- 19 Q Do you see Buster in the courtroom today?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Would you please point him out and for the record
- 22 describe what he is wearing today?
- 23 A Well, he's wearing a striped shirt, black-colored
- 24 pants.
- 25 Q Are you talking about this man I'm standing behind?

1	A	And a tie.
2		
3		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like
4		the record to reflect that the witness has
5		identified the Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby,
6		also known as Buster.
7		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
8		
9	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, how do you know Buster?
10		Does he hang around with somebody you know?
11	A	He ran around with my grandson.
12	Q	What's your grandson's name?
13	A	John Allen Phillips.
14	Q	Mr. Phillips is outside, is he not?
15	A	Yes.
16		
17		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like Mr. Phillips
18		brought into the courtroom for identification
19		purposes only, Your Honor.
20		
21		(Whereupon an individual was brought into
22		the courtroom.)
23		(Whereupon the individual left the
24		courtroom.)
25		

- 1 Q (By Mr. Gutierrez) How old is your grandson John
- 2 Phillips?
- 3 A Twenty-three.
- 4 Q Back in October of 1992, did he ever hang out, hang
- 5 around, run around, with Lee Rose?
 - 6 A Yes. All the time.
 - 7 Q Do you recall specifically whether or not on October
 - 8 15th, 1992, was your grandson John Phillips living
 - 9 with you?
 - 10 A Yes.
 - 11 Q Do you recall whether or not you ever saw him in the
 - 12 company of Lee Rose that day?
 - 13 A They were together, running in and out.
 - 14 Q Do you recall whether or not the Defendant Buster or
 - 15 Charles Douglas Raby ever came to your house on
 - 16 October 15th, 1992?
 - 17 A Just before dark, I heard a knock on the back door
 - and I looked, I went and looked out the glass and I
 - 19 didn't see anybody, so I opened the door and looked
 - out and he was stepping up on the pavement out on
 - 21 the driveway.
 - 22 Q Out your front or your back?
 - 23 A Well, my back door opens on the driveway side, and I
 - looked down the driveway and saw him just stepping up
 - on the pavement, on the street.

1	Q	And what was Buster or Charles Douglas Raby doing
2		when you looked through the door?
3	A	I didn't see him. I had to open the door and peep
4		out to see him. He was just walking up on the
5		off the driveway onto the street.
6	Q	Did you call his name and ask him what he wanted?
7	A	No, I didn't. I just shut my door.
8	Q	And that was about what time of day?
9	A	Oh, I'd say between 7:30 or 7:00 to 7:45, somewhere
10		in that neighborhood.
11	Q	Just before dark?
12	A	Just before dark.
13	Q	And in terms of your house, if someone wanted to get
14		to Edna Franklin's home, all they would have to do
15		is walk around the block; is that correct?
16	A	That's all.
17	Q	Thank you, ma'am.
18		
19		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
20		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
21		MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
22		
23		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
24		
25	Q	Ms. Scott, on this particular day that you answered

- the door, how long did it take after you heard the
- 2 knock that you went to the door?
- 3 A I don't know. I had my TV on and I really wasn't
- 4 sure I heard something, and I finally decided I think
- 5 I heard somebody at the back door.
- 6 Q At the back door?
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q And what did you do?
- 9 A I got up and I went to the back door and I looked
- out the little glass and I didn't see anybody, so
- 11 then I opened my door and peeped out the driveway
- and I saw him stepping up on the pavement in the
- 13 driveway.
- 14 Q What exactly did you see? Did you see the back of a
- 15 person or did you see the front of a person?
- 16 A No, I just saw the back.
- 17 Q So for demonstration purposes, let me just stand up
- 18 against you. Let's assume you're at your door now.
- 19 Okay?
- 20 A Alright.
- 21 Q And let's for demonstration purposes -- it's about
- 22 7:00 p.m., right?
- 23 A Right.
- 24 Q You tell me when to stop when you saw this person
- 25 near your drive. You tell me when to stop, if this

- is near your drive.
- I can't go any further.
- 3 A All right. It's about that far.
- 4 Q You saw the back of the person's head?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 Q This was in the afternoon or evening, it was dark?
- 7 A It was dusk. It wasn't completely dark.
- 8 Q It wasn't light?
- 9 A No, it wasn't light and it wasn't dark.
- 10 Q And you were in your home watching television that
- 11 evening, that afternoon, that early evening?
- 12 A Yeah.
- 13 Q Had you known Mrs. Franklin for a long time?
- 14 A About 30-something years.
- 15 Q Now, what was Mrs. Franklin's husband's name?
- 16 A John.
- 17 Q And they had lived there those 35 years in that same
- 18 house?
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q Where had Mrs. Franklin worked during that period of
- 21 time?
- 22 A At a bank.
- 23 Q And when was the last time that Mrs. Franklin worked
- or worked anywhere outside of her home?
- 25 A Mr. Franklin or Mrs. Franklin?

- 1 Q Mrs. Franklin.
- 2 A Oh, it had been about two years that she had retired
- 3 from the bank.
- 4 Q She had held a job at that same bank?
- 5 A I think so.
- 6 Q What's the name of that bank?
- 7 A I really don't recall.
- 8 Q A neighborhood bank?
- 9 A Oh, I never was at the bank. It's across town.
- 10 Q What I meant, it was not a neighborhood bank where
- she worked?
- 12 A Oh, no.
- 13 Q You're saying it was at some bank outside your
- 14 neighborhood?
- 15 A Oh, yeah.
- 16 Q And she had worked there up until the last two
- 17 years, right?
- 18 A I think so. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q And what type of car did she drive?
- 20 A She drove a navy blue Oldsmobile, I believe.
- 21 Q Four-door, two-door?
- 22 A I really don't know.
- 23 Q Did she do her own shopping or did you assist her?
- 24 A No, she didn't in the last year or so. One of her
- daughters usually went with her if she went out the

	1		last year.
	2		
	3		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
	4		Honor.
	5		MR. GUTIERREZ: A few questions, Your
	6		Honor.
	7		
	8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
	9		
	10	Q	Had you seen Buster on few or many occasions?
9	11	A	Oh, not too many. 101
	12	Q	No doubt in your mind that was Buster who was
	13		walking away from your
	14	A	No doubt. I knew it was him.
	15	Q	And do you recall more or less what he was wearing?
	16	A	He was wearing blue jeans and a dark jacket.
	17		
	18		(State Exhibit 110 was previously marked
	19		for identification purposes.)
	20		
	21	Q	Let me show you what has been marked now as State
	22		Exhibit 110 and I'll ask you whether this jacket is
	23		similar to the one that you saw him wearing that
	24		day.
	25	A	Well, yes.

1	Q	Can you tell us how it is that if you saw him at
2		such a long distance, you're sure it was him?
3	A	Just his profile. None of those boys were built
4		exactly like Buster.
5	Q	When you say "profile," are you talking about the
6		side view?
7	A	I'm talking about his size and his legs, the way he
8		walks, low-built in the back end.
9	Q	Thank you.
10		
11		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
12		MR. CANTU: Let me ask a couple more
13		questions.
14		
15		RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
16		
17	Q	Mrs. Scott, on this particular day, your testimony is
18		that at least the distance of this courtroom, you saw
19		someone, the back of their head, and you want to
20		tell this jury for certain you could tell who that
21		person was by looking at that person?
22	A	Well, I immediately said to myself, "That's Buster."
23		The minute I peered over at their house, I told them
24		it was Buster, Buster had been there earlier.
25	Q	Do you want this jury to believe you, that you could

- 1 tell?
- 2 A Well, I did know it was him.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A No doubt.
- 5 Q When was the last time that you had seen Buster
- 6 prior to your testimony?
- 7 A About a week or two before this happened.
- 8 Q And where did you see him?
- 9 A He come in my living room where I was sitting.
- 10 Q How many times had he been in your living room where
- you were sitting?
- 12 A Well, now, I really don't know.
- 13 O You do recall once?
- 14 A I know he had been there just a week or two before
- 2 15 all this incident. 162
 - 16 Q And when was the last time before then, that you
 - 17 recall?
 - 18 A It had been a good while.
 - 19 Q Let the jury know how long that was.
 - 20 A Well, I really couldn't say.
 - 21 Q Was it days, weeks, months?
 - 22 A Months.
 - 23 Q Twelve months?
 - 24 A Yeah. More than that.
 - 25 Q Twenty-four months?

2	Q Thirty-six months?
3	A No, not no 36.
4	Q Thirty-six to 24 months was the last time prior
5	A It had been a couple of years.
6	
7	MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
8	Honor.
9	MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Ms. Scott.
10	THE COURT: Ms. Scott, you may step down.
11	State will call your next witness.
12	MR. GUTIERREZ: Martin Doyle.
13	
14	MARTIN DOYLE
15	was called as a witness by the State, and having been
16	previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
17	follows:
18	
19	THE COURT: You may proceed.
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
22	
23	Q State your name, please.
24	A Martin Doyle.
25	Q Mr. Doyle, would you tell us how old a man you are

Maybe.

- 1 A I'm 54.
- 2 Q Mr. Doyle, are you any relation to a person by the
- 3 name of H.L. Truitt?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Is that the man that's standing outside the
- 6 courtroom, also known as Leo?
- 7 A Right. My brother-in-law.
- 8 Q What's his address?
- 9 A 616 Wainwright.
- 10 Q Is that in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 11 A Right.
- 12 Q Is that directly behind the 600 block of Westford, in
- 13 Houston, Harris County, Texas?
- 14 A I'm not familiar with Westford.
- You don't live in that neighborhood; is that correct?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q You had occasion to be there on the evening of
- 18 October the 15th, 1992, however?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what your
- 21 relationship is with H.L. Truitt?
- 22 A He's my brother-in-law.
- 23 Q Who's married to whom?
- 24 A He's my wife's brother.
- 25 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what it was

- that brought you over to Leo's house back on October
- 2 15th, 1992?
- 3 A Okay. My mother-in-law had broken her leg and she
- was staying with my brother-in-law at that time. She
- 5 was put in the Northwest Memorial Hospital, in the
- 6 Loop there. So after I got off of work that
- 7 evening, we went by the hospital to visit her, then
- afterwards, we went over to Leo's to retrieve an
- 9 automobile that we had left over there earlier.
- 10 Q Was it light or was it dark when you went?
- 11 A When we got there, it was dark.
- 12 Q About what time of day or night was it?
- 13 A It was around eight o'clock.
- 14 Q Anything unusual happen while you were outside Leo's
- 15 home?
- 16 A Right. As we pulled up into the front of his
- 17 driveway, he has a gate, so someone had to get out
- and open the gate. So my wife got out while I was
- in the car, and just as she got out, immediately to
- 20 my left there's a chain-link fence in front of his
- 21 house, I noticed a man walk through his yard and
- 22 then jump over the fence and walk out into the
- 23 ditch, onto the road and start walking east towards
- 24 Irvington.
- 25 Q Let's stop right there.

step off the witness stand? 2 THE COURT: You may step down. 3 4 5 A (Complies.) (By Mr. Gutierrez) I'm going to show you some 6 Q 7 exhibits that are already in evidence: State Exhibit Nos. 31, 32, 33 and 34. Some of these I have 8 already shown you outside the presence of the jury; 9 is that correct? 10 Yes. 11 A Now, let me turn you around here so the court 12 reporter can hear what you're saying. 13 14 First of all, whose home is it that we're seeing here in State Exhibit No. 31? 15 This is my brother-in-law's house here. 16 A 17 Q And that is the front of Leo Truitt's home; is that 18 correct? 19 A That's correct. 20 Q You are sitting where in relation to this picture?

Okay. The driveway is over on the right side.

I'm going to ask you to hold on, Mr. Doyle.

you to wait until I'm through asking the question

That part is not visible?

That's not visible in this photo.

1

A

Q

A

Q

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GUTIERREZ: May I ask the witness to

- before you answer. That will make her life a lot
- easier and mine, too, in the long run.
- 3 Would you tell the members of the jury whether
- 4 the spot where you saw the man jump is in this
- 5 picture?
- 6 A Yes, it is in this picture.
- 7 Q Would you show the members of the jury what part of
- 8 the fence the man jumped over?
- 9 A From this area, he would be approximately in here.
- 10 Q Off the corner a little bit, more towards the corner
- of the house; is that correct?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q Which direction was the man coming from, if you know?
- 14 A He was coming from the rear to the front.
- 15 Q From the rear of Leo Truitt's property to the front?
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q Was this man a black male, a Mexican male or a white
- 18 male?
- 19 A He was a white male.
- 20 Q And about what size was he?
- 21 A I would estimate 6 feet or shortly under possibly.
- 22 Q 6 feet or shortly under?
- 23 A That means, you know, maybe 5-10 or something like
- 24 that. 103
 - 25 Q How tall are you?

In terms of his build, would you categorize it as Q 2 medium, heavy, large or what? 3 Medium. A Now, I would ask you to look at this man sitting 5 right over here and I would ask the Court to 6 7 instruct the Defendant to stand face-to-face with Mr. Doyle. 105 8 9 (Complies.) 10 THE DEFENDANT: 11 MR. GUTIERREZ: Okay. Thank you, sir. 12 Have a seat. 13 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, in relation to your build 14 Q 15 and his build, how tall are you in relation to the 16 Defendant, to this man here? 17 A I don't understand the question. 18 Q For the record, how tall is this man in comparison 19 to you? 20 A He's probably about 5-9.

Is he about the same size as you, is what I'm

21

22

23

24

25

Q

A

Q

A

asking?

5-6.

Oh, yes. Right.

How tall are you?

```
You're saying this man is 3 inches taller than you?
1
          Yes. 106
     A
2
3
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: Could we have Mr. Raby
               stand back-to-back with this man again, please?
5
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                    (Complies.)
6
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: Okay, if you could press
7
               your backs together.
8
                    Okay. Now, if you would turn around and
9
               face him.
10
                    Okay. Thank you. Have a seat.
11
12
           (By Mr. Gutierrez) In terms of general build
13
     Q
          compared to this man, how did the man that jumped
14
          the fence compare?
15
16
          Favorably.
     A
17
          What do you mean by that?
     Q
          Same build.
     A
18
19
     Q
          As this man here?
20
     A
          Right.
          And in terms of height, how would you compare it to
21
          this man here?
22
          It would be about the same.
23
     A
          Now, you're not telling this jury that this is the
24
     Q
25
          man, because you cannot positively identify anybody;
```

- is that correct?
- 2 A That's right.
 - 3 Q And why is that?
 - A Because of the lighting and at the time, you know,
 - 5 the distance, and he was facing the other direction.
 - 6 Q So you saw a man jump over the fence; is that
 - 7 correct?
 - 8 A Right.
 - 9 Q And then State Exhibit No. 34 shows what?
 - 10 A Okay. This should be -- well, you can't see his
 - 11 house, but this is the driveway.
 - 12 Q Well, if you don't recognize it, just have a seat.
 - Don't worry about it.
 - 14 Let me ask you the question this way. After
 - you saw the man jump over the fence, did you see him
 - 16 head or walk in a particular direction?
 - 17 A After he jumped over the fence, he walked straight
 - 18 out to Wainwright and started walking east.
 - 19 Q In the direction of what major intersection?
 - 20 A Irvington.
 - 21 Q About how many blocks away was that, if you recall?
 - Just an estimate.
 - 23 A Well, there's no street in between there on
 - 24 Irvington.
 - 25 Q What happened next?

- 1 A Okay. Of course I perceived this as an unusual
- event. My brother-in-law -- it was dark and we were
- 3 concerned that the man might have done something
- 4 there.
- 5 Q So what did you and your brother-in-law decide to do?
- A My brother-in-law at the time, of course, he was not
- 7 out there. He was in the house. So we started
- yelling out for him to see if he was okay, and he
- g came out. I told him I had seen a man just jump
- over his fence and start walking down the road here.
- 11 So we decided to go down and see, you know, why he
- had come through the yard. So he got into my truck
- with me. We drove down the street, and he was quite
- a ways down by then, but the area was not well-lit
- where we stopped him, so we pulled up slightly ahead
- of him. He was on the left side of the road, on the
- very edge of it. We pulled up slightly ahead and
- stopped my automobile. At that time my brother-in-
- law opened his door and he went across my car and he
- asked the man what he was doing coming through his
- 21 yard. /08
 - 22 Q And they had a conversation about that?
 - 23 A Correct.
 - 24 Q And what happened next?
 - 25 A Okay. After the conversation, my brother-in-law got

1 ba	ack in the car and we sat there just a second. The
2 ma	an started walking on down the road, and just as he
3 gc	ot in front of my car, he moved his head slightly
4 to	owards me and made some remark. I don't know what
5 it	t was, but at that time I could see half his face
6 bu	ut not the whole face.
7 Q Ar	nd the man walked off; is that correct?
8 A Ar	nd the man walked off.
9 Q Ir	n relation to that, when did you hear that the lady
10 be	ehind Leo's house had been murdered?
11 A It	t was the next day.
12	
13	MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
15	MR. CANTU: I have nothing, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Doyle. You may
17	step down, sir.
18	MR. GUTIERREZ: May we approach the bench,
19	Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	
22	(Whereupon counsel approached the bench.)
23	
24	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're
25	mains to take a build server at this time. You

1	will be excused to the Jury Deliberation Room.
2	
3	(A recess.)
4	
5	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
6	box.)
7	
8	THE COURT: You may be seated.
9	State will call your next witness.
10	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes. State would call Mary
11	Alice Gomez.
12	
13	MARY ALICE GOMEZ
14	was called as a witness by the State, and having been
15	previously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
16	follows:
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
19	
20	Q Would you please state your name, ma'am?
21	A Mary Alice Gomez.
22	Q Ms. Gomez, do you know a person by the name of
23	Charles Raby?
24	A Yes.
25	O Do you know him as Buster or just Charles?

```
Charles.
     A
1
          Do you see him in the courtroom today?
2
          Yes.
     A
3
          Is that him sitting between those two men at counsel
          table?
5
     A
          Yes.
7
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like
8
               the record to reflect the fact that the witness
9
               has identified the Defendant.
10
                    THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
11
12
           Do you recall whether or not back in October of
      Q
13
           1992, how long it was that you had known Charles
14
           Raby?
15
           I met him in November of '92.
16
      A
           When?
      Q
17
           November '92.
      A
18
           November of '92? Well, did you meet him before or
      Q
19
           after he was arrested?
20
           Before.
21
      A
           How many months before he was arrested on this case
22
           did you meet him?
23
           Can you repeat the question?
      A
24
           Sure. Do you agree with the fact that as of the day
```

- he was arrested, if that is October 15th, 1992, that
- you had known him for about two months?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you had a friendly relationship with him; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 7 Q Now, for the record, you are pregnant now; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q And that is not the child of Charles Raby that
- 11 you're carrying?
- 12 A No, sir.
- 13 Q And back in October of 1992, you had a baby that you
- 14 were carrying in your arms?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q How old was that child?
- 17 A About five, five-and-a-half weeks.
- 18 Q And she was not the child or that child was not the
- 19 child of Charles Raby either?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q However, would it be accurate to say that you and
- 22 Charles Raby started dating at some point after you
- 23 met him?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Was there an occasion when he was staying at your

No. 2 Were you together at one point when you became aware 3 and he became aware that the police wanted to talk to him about the killing of a lady by the name of 5 Edna Franklin? 109 Yes. Puns Allowed To tall her To make sure she was to And where were you and he staying or where were you 7 A 8 Q and he when you both heard about that? 9 We were in my living room and his mother had called 10 A to let him know. 11 Essentially the Defendant told you that his mother 12 had let him know that the police wanted him for 13 questioning or wanted to question him regarding the 14 death of Edna Franklin; is that correct? 15 Yes. 113 16 A Within a few minutes after that phone call did the 17 Q police arrive at your home? 18 Yes. 19 A And what was that address? 20 0 1201 East 26th. A 21 And did the Defendant Charles Raby tell you whether 22 he was going to stay and talk to the police or leave 23 out the back door?

He was going to leave.

home?

1

24

25

A

- 1 Q And did he in fact leave out the back door before
- 2 the police could come up to your front door and ask
- 3 for him?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q I'll show you this jacket marked State Exhibit 110
- and I'll ask you whether it is the same or similar
- 7 jacket that you told the police that Charles was
- wearing on the day that the police arrived at your
- 9 home to look for it.
- 10 A It's the same.
- 11 O Same one? And you gave the police permission to
- 12 take that; is that correct?
- 13 A Not really. My sister's boyfriend that was there
- 14 told them that he was there before the cops had even
- 15 talked to me. He told them that he was wearing a
- jacket.
- 17 Q But Charles left that jacket in your home?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you let the police take the jacket; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q How long did the police stay there at your address
- the night that Charles fled?
- 24 A About 15 minutes.
- 25 Q And do you remember how many days or how much time

- passed once the police left that Charles came back?
- 2 A An hour-and-a-half.
- 3 Q As a result of the police coming to your home and
- 4 looking for you, did Charles ask you to go stay
- 5 somewhere else with him?
- 6 A No, not that day that he ran.
- 7 Q Where did you spend the night the day he ran?
- 8 A At my house, at my mom's house.
- 9 Q And did you all spend the next day there, too?
- 10 A He wasn't there. I was there by myself.
- 11 Q So maybe I'm confused. Did you say that Charles
- 12 came back about an hour-and-a-half after the police
- 13 left?
- 14 A After he ran Friday night.
- 2 15 Q And he came back an hour-and-a-half later?
 - 16 A Yes. IT was About 21/2 3 has later.
 - 17 Q Did he ask you about the police being there?
- 18 A Yes. 112
 - 19 Q Before he left, did he look out the window and tell
 - you that the police were there?
 - 21 A Yes.
 - 22 Q And that's why he ran; is that correct?
 - 23 A Yes.
 - 24 Q I guess my question is, did Charles spend the night
 - 25 at your house that night?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q What about the night after that?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q How many days was it before you all spent the night
- 5 together again, if you recall?
- 6 A Sunday night.
- 7 Q And did you all spend it at your house or somewhere
- 8 else?
- 9 A No, at the house on Reid Street.
- 10 Q And that's the same place the police came to and the
- 11 same place they arrested Charles at and took him down
- to the police station; is that correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And you are the Mary Alice Gomez that went down to
- the police station and talked to the police about
- 16 what you knew about this case; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18
- MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
- MR. CANTU: I don't have any questions,
- 23 Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gomez. You may
- 25 step down.

1	State will call your next witness.
2	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes. State would like to
3	recall Sergeant Allen.
4	
5	WAYMON ALLEN
6	was recalled as a witness by the State, and having been
7	previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
8	follows:
9	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
11	
12	Q State your name, please.
13	A Waymon Allen, Junior.
14	Q Are you the same Waymon Allen who's testified
15	previously in this case?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	
18	(State Exhibit No. 99 was previously marked
19	for identification purposes.)
20	
21	Q Sergeant Allen, I failed to show you what's been
22	marked as State's Exhibit No. 99. Can you tell us
23	what that is?
24	A This is an additional voluntary consent to search and
25	seizure form that was completed on October 19th,

	1		1992.
	2	Q	In terms of the sequence of events and sequence of
	3		documents that you previously testified about, when
	4		was that document signed?
	5	A	Approximately 3:05 p.m. on October the 19th.
	6	Q	And who signed it?
	7	A	The Defendant, Charles Raby.
	8	Q	And what did you ask him to sign that for?
	9	A	This was a consent to search for the residence over
	10		on Reid Street so that we could go back and conduct
	11		an additional search, if necessary.
	12		a E o
	13		(State Exhibit No. 111 was previously
	14		marked for identification purposes.)
	15		
	16	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, I'll show you what's been
	17		marked as State Exhibit 111. I'll ask you if you
	18		can tell us what that is.
	19	A	These are the Polaroid photos taken of the Defendant
	20		on the date of his arrest, October 19th, 1992.
	21	Q	Then and now in terms of build, how would you
	22		describe the Defendant? Burly, medium, small?
	23	A	I'd say that he's medium build. He's approximately
	24		5-7 in height and he looks a little slimmer on these
9	25		photos on the 19th than he does today. 113

```
MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to
1
               reflect I am tendering State Exhibits 99 and
2
               111, which, by the way, for the record, contains
3
               three photographs of Mr. Raby.
4
5
          (By Mr. Gutierrez) Is that correct?
6
     Q
7
     A
          Yes, sir, it does.
8
                    MR. GUTIERREZ: I would ask that these
9
               photographs be admitted into evidence.
10
                    MR. CANTU: No objections, Your Honor.
11
                    THE COURT: State Exhibits 99 and 111 are
12
               admitted into evidence.
13
14
           (By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, if you can tell us
15
     0
          approximately -- if you haven't already said it, and
16
           if you have, I apologize -- about how much would you
17
          say he weighed in the photographs marked State
18
          Exhibit No. 111?
19
           I'd quesstimate his weight is somewhere between 150
20
      A
21
          and 160 pounds.
           In terms of the complaining witness, would you
22
      Q
          categorize her as frail?
23
          Very frail.
24
      A
          Would it take much energy or strength of a person
```

Q

_		the Size of the berendant to overpower comment
2		Edna Franklin's stature and size?
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	Is there anything else that pertains to this case
5		that you did that I haven't asked you about?
6	A	I think you pretty much covered it.
7	Q	Would you think about it overnight and if I failed
8		to ask you something, would you be free to come back
9		tomorrow?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11		
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I've just run
13		out of witnesses for the day.
14		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu, do you have any
15		questions?
16		MR. CANTU: We'll wait, and if he's ever
17		called again, we'll ask him some questions then,
18	¥	Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: Okay. Officer, you will be
20		excused subject to recall.
21		Ladies and gentlemen, the State having no
22		witnesses scheduled, I'm going to excuse you
23		again until 10:00 a.m. Let me, again, briefly
24		admonish you, don't make any independent
25		investigations as to any matters or facts

related to the case nor discuss the case nor read, listen or view any coverage in the event there is coverage. So you are excused until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow.

THE STATE OF TEXAS *

*
COUNTY OF HARRIS *

I, GINA BENCH, Certified Court
Reporter for the 248th District Court of Harris
County, Texas, do hereby certify that the
foregoing pages of typewritten material contain
a true and correct transcript of all evidence
adduced and admitted at the STATEMENT OF FACTS
in the case shown in the caption hereof; that I
was present in open court and reported said
testimony in shorthand, and that later I
transcribed same into typewriting.

official signature on this the 15th day of 1994.

GINA BEACH Certified Court Reporter 248th District Court Harris County, Texas

Certification Number: 221
Certification Expires: 12-31-94
Business Address: 248th District Court
301 San Jacinto
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone Number: (713) 755-7094

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appellee

VS.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Honorable Woody R. Densen, Judge Presiding

STATEMENT OF FACTS

VOLUME XXIX OF X JUNE 8, 1994

ORIGINAL

Certified Court Reporter COURT OF CRIMITEL APPEALS GINA BENCH Harris County, Texas

TEB 15 1995

Thomas Lowe, Clerk

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appellee

VS.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Honorable Woody R. Densen, Judge Presiding

STATEMENT OF FACTS

VOLUME XXVIII OF XL VOLUMES

JUNE 7, 1994

ORIGINAL

GINA BENCH FILED IN
Certified Court Reporter COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
Harris County Total FEB 15 1995

Thomas Lowe, Clerk

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

2						
3	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 8, 1994					PAGE
4	APPELLATE PAGE	•		•	•	335
5	APPEARANCES	•	•	•	•	336
6	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 8, 1994	•	•	•	•	337
7	STATE'S WITNESSES:					
8	WESLEY C. SHELDON Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					337
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu					352
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez		.5 (3)	18 2 16 2022	0	362
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutterrez	•	•	•	•	364
LO	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	
	Further Redirect by Mr. Gutierrez	•	•	•	•	366
11	Further Recross by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	368
12	W.I. STEPHENS					
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					369
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutteriez .	•	•	•	•	
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	370
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	•	•	•	•	378
L4						
	BARBARA WRIGHT					
L 5	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					380
LJ	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu					385
	cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	•	•	•	•	390
1						
17	BEETRICE WALLACE					
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					391
18					28	
. 0	JOSEPH CHU					
						393
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	
0 2	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	٠	٠	•	•	403
21	RAYDUN HILLEMAN					
-	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez .					404
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu		1000	50	650) 640)	412
2 2	cross Examinación by Mr. Canca	•	•	Ť	•	
	(Outside Dueseuse of Turns)					
23	(Outside Presence of Jury)					
	CHARLES RABY					
2 4	Direct Examination by Mr. Cantu		•	•		416
2.5	(In Presence of Jury)					
	STATE RESTS & CLOSES		72		100	416
	DEFENSE RESTS & CLOSES		1.5	•	854	416
	DEFENSE RESTS & CLOSES	•	•	•	•	410

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX <u>PAGE</u> 421 REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE. . ii

AT DUA	DEMIT	CAT	INDEX
ALPRA	DETI	CAL	TNDEY

1							
2	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 8, 1994						PAGE
3	APPELLATE PAGE	•	•		•		335
4	APPEARANCES	•	•	•	•	•	336
5	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 8, 1994	•	•	•		•	337
6	STATE'S WITNESSES:						
7	JOSEPH CHU						
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez						
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu						398 403
_	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	•	•	•	•	403
9	DAVDIN UTITEMAN						
	RAYDUN HILLEMAN Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez					75111	404
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu	•	•	•	•	•	412
11	Closs Examination by Mr. Canta	•	•	•	•	•	
11	(Outside Presence of Jury)						
12	CHARLES RABY						
	Direct Examination by Mr. Cantu	•					414
13							
-	WESLEY C. SHELDON						
L4	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez						337
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu						352
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.						362
	Recross Examination by Mr. Cantu						
16	Further Redirect by Mr. Gutierrez						366
	Further Recross by Mr. Cantu						368
17							
	W.I. STEPHENS						
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez						
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	•	•	•	•	376
19	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	٠	•	•	•	378
	*						
2 0	BEETRICE WALLACE						
	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	•	•	•	•	•	391
21	DADDADA UDIGUE						
	BARBARA WRIGHT						200
2 2	Direct Examination by Mr. Gutierrez	•	•	•	•	•	380 385
	Cross Examination by Mr. Cantu Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutierrez.	•	•	•	•	•	390
2 3	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gutterrez.	•	•	•	•	•	390
2 4	(In Presence of Jury)						
	STATE RESTS & CLOSES					•	416
2 5	DEFENSE RESTS & CLOSES			•	•		416
							District of the same
	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE	•	٠	. : .	٠	•	421
				iii	L		

EXHIBITS

2			TORNE		
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION MARKED	IDENT- IFIED	OFFERED	REC'D
4	SX-96	Consent to Search Form		413	413
5 6	SX-98	Consent to Search Form		413	413
7 8	SX-101 SX-102 SX-103 SX-104	Pulled pubic hair Pulled head hair Loose head hair Loose pubic hair	397 397 397 398	397 397 397 397	398 398 398 398
9	SX-106	Hair in hand	373	375	375
10	SX-107	Loose head hair		408 408	409 409
	SX-108 SX-109	Pulled head hair Pulled head hair		408	409
11	SX-109	Loose head hair		408	409
12	SX-110	Jacket	373	375	375
13	SX-111 SX-112	Photographs Bag containing ashtray, 363	363		
14	SA-112	screwdriver, credit cards, and knife	303		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19	-				
20					
21					
22					
23					
2 4					
25			ż	iv	

CAUSE NO. 9407130

* IN THE 248th DISTRICT COURT THE STATE OF TEXAS 2 VS. 3 * HARRIS COUNTY, CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY 5 APPEARANCES 6 7

FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:

Mr. Roberto Gutierrez District Attorney's Office 201 Fannin Houston, Texas

TEXAS

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Mr. Felix Cantu Attorney at Law 618 East 28 Houston, Texas 77008 And Mr. Michael P. Fosher Attorney at Law 440 Louisiana Houston, Texas 77002

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

BE IT REMEMBERED, that upon this 8th day of June, 1994, the above entitled and numbered cause came for STATEMENT OF FACTS before Woody R. Densen, Judge of the 248th District Court of Harris County, Texas; and the State appearing by counsel and the Defendant appearing in person and by counsel announced ready to proceed; and all preliminaries having been disposed of, the following proceedings were had, viz:

1		JUNE 8, 1994
2		
3		(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
4		box.)
5		
6		WESLEY C. SHELDON
7	was	called by the State, and having been previously duly
8	swoı	en, testified under his oath as follows:
9		
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
11		
12	Q	Please state your name.
13	A	Wesley Charles Sheldon.
14	Q	Mr. Sheldon, would you tell the members of the jury
15		what you do for a living?
16	A	I'm a latent print examiner with the Houston Police
17		Department.
18	Q	And how long have you been so employed?
19	A	I have been with the department 22 years. I've been
20		a latent fingerprint examiner for the past 17 years.
21	Q	Would you explain to the members of the jury what
22		qualifications you have that qualify you for the job
23		that you do?
24	A	Previously I worked in the Identification Division
25		for the past 20 years. I have attended numerous

- schools in my field, both locally with HPD, with DPS
- in Austin, and with the FBI in Quantico, Virginia.
- 3 I've worked with numerous experts in this field and
- 4 trained with them. I am a member of several
- 5 professional organizations, of which the International
- 6 Association of Identification has certified me as a
- 7 latent fingerprint examiner.
- 8 Q Are you what is commonly referred to as a fingerprint
- 9 expert?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what division
- 12 you are assigned to, if you haven't already?
- 13 A It's the Identification Division.
- 14 Q Would you explain to them what you do there on a
- 15 daily basis?
- 16 A Basically my job is to compare prints from crime
- 17 scenes that are lifted from scenes to known suspects
- 18 that we have prints on file. I also examine
- 19 evidence that cannot be examined at the crime scene
- 20 completely. We do that in the lab also. And on
- 21 major cases, I may be called to the scene myself to
- 22 process it. I also testify to my findings.
- 23 Q Would it be fair to say that you have compared
- 24 hundred of thousands of prints in your career?
- 25 A That would be very fair.

- 1 Q Now, did you have an occasion back in 1992 to be
- 2 called to the 600 block of Westford, in Houston,
- 3 Harris County, Texas, to process the scene, so to
- 4 speak?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what time you
- 7 got there and what you did?
- 8 A It was in the early morning hours. I was briefed by
- 9 the Crime Scene Unit on the scene and the homicide
- 10 detectives. I walked through the scene. Then I
- 11 started my crime scene search from suspected point of
- 12 entry throughout the entire house and the exterior of
- 13 the premises also.
- 14 Q When you talk about the early morning hours, are you
- 15 talking about October 15th, past midnight, namely,
- 16 October 16th of 1992?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q Would you tell us specifically, when you talk about
- 19 point of entry, what did you look at?
- 20 A Well, first we look at the front door, I mean, the
- 21 easiest access to the house or premises, whatever it
- 22 might be. I'm going to examine that area very
- thoroughly. I'm also going to examine any other
- 24 suspected points of entries, which may be windows,
- 25 back doors, things like this. All suspected points

- of entry, whether it's obvious or not, will be
- examined, and basically checked for prints.
- 3 Q And you did that in this case?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- 5 Q Did you find any prints?
- 6 A No, I did not.
- 7 Q Is that unusual?
- 8 A No, it is not.
- 9 Q Would you explain to the members of the jury, first
- of all, do we always leave a fingerprint or a thumb
- print in all surfaces no matter what we touch?
- 12 A Basically a latent print is the chance impression, a
- 13 friction ridge formation of your fingers, palms and
- 14 soles of your feet on some surfaces. There are many
- 15 criteria that come into the evolution of that print
- and retention of that print on the surface: from
- 17 the person themselves, whether their hands are clean
- or dirty, whether they're sweating or not, whether
- 19 they touched something greasy, something dry,
- 20 powdery. The surface itself, whether it's clean,
- 21 dirty, oily, porous or non-porous. The relative
- humidity, the temperature, the wind, if the wind is
- 23 blowing. All these factors come into play on the
- 24 print and its evolution on a surface and retention of
- 25 that print on the surface.

1 Q I've asked you to look at the photographs that are
2 in evidence in this case. Would you go through them
3 very briefly and pick out some of the photographs and
4 show some of the places that you checked?

A

Before I forget, let's assume for a moment that a person had been inside a home for weeks, days, before a crime was committed and that person became a suspect in the killing of an individual in the house. Would you, if you did lift prints off things inside the house, be able to determine whether or not the prints were lifted two weeks before as opposed to --not lifted, but were put there either two weeks before or the night of the killing?

Generally that cannot be determined, the age of a print. Some circumstances might come into play, say, if we know that the surface was cleaned at 10:00 a.m. this morning and I dusted it at 10:15 and I got

a print on it, we can say, well, this print was put between 10:00 and 10:15.

As far as saying a print is ten minutes old or two weeks old, that cannot be readily determined normally. It just has to be special circumstances,

like the surface has been cleaned or that item wasn't at that particular location at the time; it was

somewhere else. All these would have to be

- 1 considered in the age of a latent print.
- 2 Q Would it be fair to say that you have processed
- 3 hundreds of scenes?
- 4 A Yes, I have.
- 5 Q Now, in your experience, what percentage of the time
- 6 that you actually are able to lift prints that are
- 7 usable?
- 8 A Well, I myself am in charge of statistics for our
- 9 division, and from prints lifted from crime scenes,
- 10 generally 15 percent are suitable for identification.
- 11 That means 85 percent are no good. Of the 15
- 12 percent that are deemed identifiable, 2 percent are
- 13 ultimately identified through our examination of
- 14 suspects.
- 15 Q I'm sorry, I interrupted you in terms of going
- 16 through the photographs. Please continue.
- 17 A Of course, this doesn't start from the outside, at
- 18 least this one doesn't. This is a living area right
- 19 here.
- 20 Q If you don't mind, let me stand by you, and as you
- 21 begin to talk about the particular exhibits, I'll
- 22 rattle off the picture exhibit. That's Defense
- 23 Exhibit No. 1; is that correct?
- 24 A I guess this starts with 14. This is a picture of
- 25 the house from across the street. Let me zoom in a

little bit more. Right here is going to be the 1 front door area. I've got another picture that's 2 3 And this is the side of the house right This is the side of the house, front bedroom, the window that has some kind of activity added at 5 some point in time. 6 7 Q Why do you say that? 8 A Well, again, I could not determine when the screen 9 was ripped, or, there's some pry marks on the window. 10 Nothing looked fresh, like hours old. It could have 11 been at any point in time. 0 Now, the evening pictures being the crime scene 12 13 photos, and those are pictures I took during the 14 You're welcome to use all the exhibits you daytime. 15 want. 16 Would you raise up that particular exhibit you 17 have and would you talk about the porous nature of 18 the wood of the screen frame and the window itself? What effect, if any, does that have? 19 20 A This is a wooden home. It had been painted. 21 There's many layers of paint on this that are many 22 years old. It is extremely old. The surface is

The surfaces are dirty.

is not impossible, this is not a good surface to

poor surfaces to recover a latent print. Although it

23

24

25

cracking.

These are very

- 1 recover one from.
- 2 Q Do you have yourself any recollection, if you do,
- 3 about whether or not during the course of your taking
- 4 prints or trying to take prints off of that window
- or that area, whether or not at some point you or
- 6 anybody else raised that window?
- 7 A I do not recall specifically. I think we did, but I
- 8 cannot say for sure.
- 9 Q Okay. Please proceed.
- 10 A The front door area here, I examined it around the
- 11 door frame. The door frame itself is an aluminum
- 12 door frame. This is a highly oxidized kind of
- 13 surface and it's not good for retaining prints, but I
- 14 would have dusted around this, these edges of the
- chairs here just for a chance impression that someone
- 16 might have been walking by that might have touched
- it. These are things that I'm also going to
- 18 examine.
- 19 Q That would be State Exhibit No. 20?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And now you have got State Exhibit No. 21?
- 22 A 21 --
- 23 Q Those photographs were taken later by me. If you
- 24 want, you can look at the crime scene photos taken
- 25 that night, which would be the dark ones.

Sure. Well, this is one of the rooms towards the 1 A back of the house that is more for storage than 2 living. There was nothing really to identify here. 3 This is another shot of the outside window that had This is another some kind of activity at it. 5 closeup of the window molding around the glass panes, 6 how uneven and how rough that kind of surface is. 7 Another shot of the front door area. This is the 8 front living area. The coffee table is slightly 9 ajar. There is some papers around that here that I 10 11 recovered and removed to the labs, so the paper 12 articles were examined at the lab. The table legs 13 and whatnot were examined by myself there at the Here's another little bit closer shot of it. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Here's the kitchen area. Again, examined any articles that looked like they might have been disrupted or out of the ordinary. This was not a very neat house. It was very cluttered and dirty and not too tidy.

This is the front bedroom opposite of the window that we showed where we had the screen pried back.

The blinds were all the way down. I examined this area and the blinds themselves for prints. Again, this is a filthy area. Here's another shot in this front bedroom over the dresser area. There's a

telephone here. This room was not neat, but it 1 wasn't ransacked or anything like that. But, again, 2 I examined the surfaces that could have been touched 3 by someone proceeding through that room. And that was 56, and you're now going to look at 57? 5 0 57, yes. This is another shot of the window on the A 6 side of the house, showing the blinds again. 7 examine those for prints. They were very dusty. 8 This is the bed area next to that blind in the front 9 This is the other corner of the bedroom, 10 bedroom. showing some trash on the floor and whatnot. 11 is the bathroom area. I examined the sink area and 12 the tub area in case of chance impression of someone 13 touching it. 14 This is the kitchen area. You can see how 15

This is the kitchen area. You can see how dirty the surfaces are. They are not very clean and not easily -- prints are not easily obtainable from those. This is the doorway from the kitchen back to the day room area, where the room is not actually a bedroom but the room, I believe, she was living. I examined that door surface and the frame around it, and the kitchen stove area and this dishwashing machine.

Q Let's stop just a second. Would you explain to the members of the jury what happens when a person goes

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

up to a door and twists a doorknob? What happens 1 with the oils of the hands? 2 Well, hopefully the impression of the finger or palm 3 A might be left on that surface. Normally that surface 4 is handled many, many times. We're looking at prints 5 The more dirty a on top of prints on top of prints. 6 surface is, the more it would attract dust and film 7 and items like this. So that's not an easy surface 8 to recover an identifiable print from. 9 Would you specifically tell them what a smear is? 10 0 Well, again, when we're talking about the friction 11 A ridge formation, within this friction ridge formation 12 are characteristics, and based on the amount of these 13 characteristics compared to an unknown latent print 14 with a known print, I can identify and say that 15 print is him or her or isn't him or her. 16 don't have a clear impression of the fingerprint, it 17 is smudged or there is obliteration from one's print 18 on top of the other, I cannot say, fine, the amount 19 of characteristics I need to say that print is or 20 isn't a person. 21 What number of characteristics or distinguishing 22 Q points do you look for when comparing fingerprints 23 before you're satisfied that the prints you're 24 looking at that was lifted from the scene, in fact, 25

belongs to someone?

There is no set amount by the FBI or the 2 A International Identification per se. 3 personally, my number is seven. That's generally the accepted number by most experts in my field. 5 the fingerprint itself, we have up to 150 6 characteristics, and a palm print, we can have up to 7 1500 points of identification or characteristics. 8 we don't need the full finger impression left on a 9 surface. We just need a part of the fingerprint 10 impression to find a sufficient amount of these 11 characteristics to identify a latent print. 12

13 Q Please proceed.

25

We're looking in this back room area now, day room. 14 This was the door off the kitchen area. Again, you 15 can see it's highly cluttered and there's papers and 16 everything stacked all over the place. 17 another shot in this back day room area. 18 highly cluttered. Another shot of the area. 19 hard to tell, you know, what was normal and what was 20 out of normal there. There are some articles here 21 that are strewn about the room, but, again, it's hard 22 to say what was normal and what wasn't. Again, 23 another shot of that room. 24

This is on top of the fake fireplace area.

- This is the day bed in that back room. On the bed
- 2 there's a lot of papers and credit cards and items
- 3 that possibly had fallen out of the purse or taken
- 4 out of the purse, maybe if they were looking for
- 5 something. The purse is on the floor right here
- 6 next to the bed.
- 7 Q Now, a purse was recovered. And let me show you
- 8 what has been marked as State Exhibit No. 75.
- 9 Assuming that this were the purse containing some
- 10 items, what kind of material would you say that
- 11 material is?
- 12 A Well, this is some kind of vinyl. This is a highly
- porous and not a smooth surface. It's strictly for
- 14 cosmetic reasons. That is not a surface that would
- 15 yield a latent print very readily.
- 16 Q So if I grabbed this purse and opened its contents
- and threw them on the table or some other surface,
- would the chances be good that I would have left my
- 19 fingerprints on them?
- 20 A They wouldn't be good, but it wouldn't be impossible
- 21 either.
- 22 Q Okay. Please proceed.
- 23 A Again, this is a picture of the purse on the foyer
- 24 area next to the fan, as we saw the fan in this
- 25 picture. There's an Entex bill, I believe, halfway

- 1 hanging out of the purse. These are some of the
- 2 articles that I retained and brought back to the lab
- 3 to examine for prints.
- 4 Q About how many -- let's stop there for a second.
- 5 Would it be fair to say you tried to get
- 6 fingerprints of the whole house?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q And you didn't come up with any legible prints? Am
- 9 I using the correct language?
- 10 A Any identifiable prints, that is correct.
- 11 Q Did you take some items and fingerprint them as well,
- 12 and if so, what were those items?
- 13 A Yes, I did. Basically I recovered from the scene,
- 14 the purse, a small paring knife, a screwdriver, a
- plastic tray and assorted paper articles from the
- areas I'm going to show you here in a second.
- 17 Q No blood on the knife?
- 2 18 A No blood on the knife.
 - 19 Q And what did you find when you looked for those
 - 20 items?
 - 21 A I did not find any prints on the articles I
 - 22 examined.
 - 23 Q I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.
 - 24 A Next to the bed area here, we're looking at the
 - 25 purse and we see a credit card on the floor. This

is another article I retained and returned to the lab 1 to examine. 2 Would you talk about the surface of credit cards? 3 Q A credit card is a plastic, non-porous surface. A 4 is good for leaving a print on, but, again, the more 5 it's handled, the less the chances are of seeing an 6 identifiable print can be retained from it. It's not 7 something that's clean or anything like that, so the 8 more you handle a credit card, the more prints will 9 be on it. 10 Again, on this day bed area you see some paper 11 articles and a Visa card and some stamps and keys 12 and an inhaler. These articles were strewn about the 13 Again, it's hard to tell if it was normal or bed. 14 This is another shot of the bed area there of 15 not. those articles. And the last one here is also the 16 bed area. 17 Does that conclude what you did in this case? 18 0 19 A Yes, it does. Now, there was also an ashtray. You found nothing 20 21 on that, correct? 22 A That is correct. 23 I'll pass him, Your Honor. 24 MR. GUTIERREZ:

THE COURT:

Mr. Cantu.

Thank you, Your Honor. 1 MR. CANTU: 2 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU 3 Mr. Sheldon, I know that you're in charge of the Q 5 Identification Division. How long have you been a 6 7 police officer? 22 years and 4 months. 8 A Just over two decades then, right? 9 0 10 A Yes. When you arrived at the scene that night, were you 11 Q 12 met by Officer Allen or Detective Allen? I believe so. 13 A And also one of his partners? I forget his name, 14 but another detective that was there? 15 Bill Stephens, I believe. 16 Bill Stephens. And you took instructions from them 17 0 in what you were supposed to fingerprint or do to 18 19 take fingerprints, right? 20 A Sure. You indicated, of course, the first point, without 21 Q instruction, would have been the doors? 22 23 A Sure. That's the most obvious. But prior to that time, 24 0 25 or, let me ask you this. You took all the prints

- and you took them back to your lab and analyzed them
- 2 and compared them with other prints. Is that a
- 3 correct statement?
- A I did not even retain any prints. I mean, it's
- 5 highly unusual. I mean, it's not that unusual, but
- I pride myself in recovering prints from scenes.
- 7 This scene was extremely filthy and I did not recover
- any suitable prints from the scene. Hopefully when I
- g recovered the evidence that I retained back to the
- 10 lab, I would develop some prints on that.
- 11 Unfortunately I did not either.
- 12 Q So to cut to the chase, you went in there, and your
- sole purpose with the Police Department is to take
- 14 prints and identify them. You attempted to take
- prints off the doors, front and back doors, right?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 **Q** Found none?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q You found not even a smear?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q Then went to a window, a window that you identified
- 22 as the front bedroom window?
- 23 A That is correct.
- 24 Q You took prints of a screen. You took prints of a
- 25 screwdriver that's been identified. You found

- 1 nothing there?
- 2 A That is correct.
- 3 Q Behind the screen, on the other side of the screen
- was, of course, the window and the window pane. Did
- you attempt to take prints off the window or the
- 6 window pane?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q What did you find?
- 9 A Again, unsuccessful. This surface was extremely
- 10 dirty from weather and dust and everything else and I
- 11 did not recover any prints from that location.
- 12 Q And you describe this as a freshly painted area?
- 13 A No, not freshly, but it had been painted many times.
- 14 There were layers of paint, it crackled and cracked
- 15 and flaked off and was extremely dirty.
- 16 Q Extremely dirty, but you found no prints on the
- 17 windows or the window panes?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q You found no prints because of the dust on the
- 20 window panes?
- 21 A Again, there were many factors that I listed off
- 22 earlier. All these come into fact there.
- 23 Q Those factors that you identified earlier were
- 24 weather factors, conditions of the weather,
- conditions of the room, conditions of the surface.

- What was the weather like on this particular day, or
- was that a factor in taking prints on this evening?
- 3 A I do not recall. I know it wasn't raining, but
- 4 that's all I can remember.
- 5 Q After you attempted to take prints of the screen and
- the window and all surfaces near that window, as you
- 7 say, you got no prints of any kind, and you went
- 8 into the bedroom, into that interior room, which is
- 9 the bedroom, and you got no prints, not even a smear
- 10 from that area?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q Then you went into the bathroom. You did all you
- 13 could with the two decades of experience and you got
- 14 no prints from there?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q Then, of course, you were in the living room area
- 17 and you attempted to take prints from the furniture
- 18 there, table, and you got no prints?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q In fact, you did not even get a smear that you could
- 21 identify or take with you on this particular night?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Then you went into the kitchen and attempted to take
- 24 prints or smears of that area?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q And, again, none were taken.
- 2 A That is correct.

3

- MR. CANTU: May I approach the witness,
- 5 Your Honor?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.

- 8 Q (By Mr. Cantu) We're going to discuss what's been
- 9 previously identified as Mrs. Franklin's bedroom area
- or den area. I don't know that you identified it as
- 11 such.
- 12 A I call it a day room.
- 13 Q It's been identified by others --
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 Q Let's see if we both agree. State Exhibit 68, is
- 16 that what you would consider the day room?
- 17 A Sure. This is what I call it.
- 18 Q You attempted to take prints from all the back and
- 19 the railings of this bed?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q And you got none?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q You attempted to take prints off the sofa, this hard,
- 24 plastic -- I believe it's a plastic sofa. It's
- 25 either plastic or leather, right?

- 1 A We examined the arm areas here but not the whole
- 2 surface.
- 3 Q What would normally be touched?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And you found none?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q In this room there was also some furniture there.
- 8 It looks like, from State Exhibit 66, two televisions
- 9 that are off.
- 10 A A couple of televisions, some more chairs, coffee
- 11 tables, another door.
- 12 Q You examined all that area, all that furniture, and
- 13 you found no prints?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 Q You examined all of her belongings, or, excuse me,
- 16 all the belongings that were on the bed. Of course,
- 17 you didn't know at the time they belonged to her or
- to whomever, all your job there, of course, was to
- 19 take prints?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And what did you find?
- 22 A I did not find anything.
- 23 Q You indicated in your testimony that you took
- 24 pictures -- or, excuse me, you took a knife back
- with you to be examined?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you examine it personally yourself?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q You indicated you have an expertise in fingerprint
- 5 analysis. Did you take an analysis -- and I know
- 6 you did. You took analysis if the prints and you
- 7 found none, right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q You indicated that you determined there was no blood
- 10 on this knife?
- 11 A I did not personally determine that. There did not
- 12 appear to be any blood on the knife.
- 13 Q Did you analyze this knife?
- 14 A I personally, for fingerprints, that is my extent of
- 15 the analyzation.
- 16 Q What you testified to, if you said anything about
- 17 anything other than fingerprints, you have no
- 18 professional experience or expertise in that,
- including the blood analysis?
- 20 A Correct. 112
 - 21 Q This is State Exhibit No. 37. This is a closeup.
 - It's an 8-by-10 glossy closeup of a screen that you
 - 23 have identified. That's the screwdriver that you
 - 24 identified; is that correct?
 - 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q And you got nothing from that. Did you take that
- speck with you? I can't identify it myself.
- 3 A I really don't know what that speck is either. It's
- 4 something I retained. But that surface I examined
- 5 with finger powder and dust, and dusted.
- 6 Q When you say "that area," you're not only talking
- 7 about the outside of this frame, this window frame,
- 8 but also the base of this area?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q And also the window panes, the window panes showing
- more precisely on State Exhibit No. 36, all those
- 12 window panes, you examined those window panes for
- possible entry? 1/6
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- 15 Q Or actually for possible prints?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And would you look at State Exhibit No. 36 and tell
- 18 me what that white protrusion is on top of the top
- 19 window?
- 20 A I really couldn't answer that.
- 21 Q Does that look like it's sticking into the lumber
- there or the wood of that window?
- 23 A It does.

24

MR. CANTU: May I show this to the jury,

- Your Honor? 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 3 (By Mr. Cantu) You found no prints on top of this 0 window? I forget how you would identify it, but the 5 top part of this lower portion of this window, you 6 found no prints on this top? 7 8 The screen, that is correct. A Or the window pane itself? 9 Q That is correct. 10 A And you applied the factors that you indicated, that 11 Q you rely on seven -- I'm not sure you use factors. 12 Seven points of identification. 13 A Seven points of identification out of a possible 14 Q hundred or so, but you found none, nothing, no prints 15 16 to apply that expertise? 17 That is correct. A 18 Then on the doors, again, you took prints on the 0 inside and outside doorknobs. Is that a correct 19 20 statement? That is correct. 21 A
- 23 say.

That is correct.

22

24

A

25 Q Did you go so far as to take or attempt to take

And you found no prints, actually is what I meant to

1		prints from the fence or the side fence and the back
2		fence of this house?
3	A	I did not attempt it. I did examine this area. I'm
4		also the my expertise is in footprints and tire
5		prints, and I also examined all possible areas around
6		the crime scene for anything like this. So I would
7		have looked at the fence. We were also looking for
8		prints that might be in blood, so possibly the
9		individual suspect could have blood on their hands
10		and touch a surface and may leave a bloody
11		impression. So I would also be looking for these
12		kinds of prints in this area.
13	Q	And you found none?
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	And you found none in the house?
16	A	That is correct.
17	Q	You found no footprints or blood prints as such.
18		The obvious would be, of course, someone stepping in
19		a pool of blood, but you found no kind of prints, no
20		kind of footprints or hand prints, inside this house?
21	A	That is correct.
22		
23		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness.
24		

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
2		
3		
4	Q	Mr. Sheldon, when you talk about not having found any
5		prints, we're talking about any useful prints; is
6		that correct?
7	A	That is correct. I did not find anything that would
8		be of any value to me to identify. Did not contain
9		these points of identification that are required to
10		identify that print.
11	Q	And when we talk about that, not the prints of any
12		intruders, not the prints of the complaining witness,
13		Edna Franklin, not the prints of her grandsons,
14		anybody; is that correct?
15	A	That is correct.
16	Q	Now, the Defense attorney asked you whether or not
17		you had looked at a knife. When you go to a crime
18		scene, is there any magic to let's say a knife is
19		used to stab somebody. Is blood visible with the
20		naked eye?
21	A	Normally it is, yes. There's always a possibility
22		that components of blood, serum, albumin, which is
23		not red in color, can be retained, say, on the
24		surface of your hand or say you start with a

bloody film on your hand and you make several

```
impressions, well, eventually this liquid on your
1
          hand is going to dissipate and is going to go away,
2
          but part of the components of blood can still remain
3
           and can still be visible to the eye.
 4
          And do you have to make a judgment call when you go
     Q
5
          out there, based on your experience, as to whether
6
           it's submitted to be examined for blood first or
7
           prints first?
 8
                 117
           Yes.
10
                     (State Exhibit No. 112 was marked for
11
12
                identification purposes.)
13
           I'll show you this bag that I'm marking as State
14
           Exhibit No. 112, and it contains an ashtray, the
15
           screwdriver the Defense lawyer showed you, and credit
16
           cards, and, I believe, a kitchen knife.
17
           Yes, this is a small steak knife, what's called a
18
      A
           steak knife.
19
           Pretty fragile knife, as knives go?
20
      Q
21
      A
           Sure.
           Did you see any blood on it when you picked it up?
22
      Q
23
      A
           No, I did not.
           Is that why you did the prints?
24
      Q
25
      A
           Again, this is part of my job. I'm looking for
```

1		anything that could be could contain a print,
2		whether by accident or by purpose. So this was out
3		in the kitchen area, and I'm looking for possible
4		prints on it if it would be handled, whether it be
5		by the suspect or by the Complainant.
6	Q	Many times when you go out to a scene, you don't
7		know what may turn out to be important. That's why
8		you gather up as much as you can; is that correct?
9	A	That is correct.
10	Q	Thank you.
11		
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
13		THE COURT: Any further questions?
14		MR. CANTU: Yes, Your Honor. May I
15		approach the witness, Your Honor?
16		THE COURT: Yes.
17		
18		RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
19		
20	Q	You were in that one bedroom, not Mrs. Franklin's
21		bedroom but the one bedroom you discussed, where you
22		took a picture of the window. I don't know that you
23		know whose bedroom it is, but I'll show it to you.
24		I know that you will recall, once I show it to you,
25		once I find it. It's not always easy to find things

- when you're looking for them.
- 2 A That's right.
- 3 Q Let it be on the floor, be walking around barefoot
- 4 and you'll step on it.
- 5 A It's not here.
- 6 Q Do you recall being in that bedroom?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q You identify part of it here. I've got it here.
- 9 You recall, of course, this is State Exhibit 58.
- You recall attempting to take prints and lift prints
- 11 from that, using all the expertise that you've
- obtained in the two decades that you've worked for
- 13 the Police Department. Of course, your answer has
- 14 been that you got none, smears or prints, nothing to
- 15 take home to the office for identification?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Did you take anything else other than prints from
- 18 there?
- 19 A From the bedroom area?
- 20 Q Yes, sir.
- 21 A This is where I received this tray.
- 22 Q This was given to you by whom, if you can recall?
- 23 A The crime scene unit. Norris.
- 24 Q And they asked you to apply your expertise to this
- 25 tray and find any evidence that might be worthy for

1		this case or appropriate for this case.
2	A	Sure.
3	Q	And you found none?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Did you take any other physical evidence from there,
6		using all your skills?
7	A	That is all I can recall.
8	Q	Did you take this mattress or this cover or these
9		sheets with you?
10	A	No, I did not.
11	Q	Did you attempt to look at them at all?
12	A	We examined them, yes.
13	Q	And your examination showed what?
14	A	Well, looking for something that might be underneath
15		them. I'm not looking at the
16	Q	What did you find?
17	A	I did not find anything. 118
18		
19		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
20		Honor.
21		THE COURT: Any further questions?
22		
23		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
24		
25	Q	Did anyone in particular draw your attention, if you

recall, to possible indentations on the bed, 1 specifically, Eric Benge? Did he ever talk to you 2 in person and mention to you that someone might have 3 stepped on the bed and made those wrinkles on the bed? 5 Now that you mention it, I believe it was brought 6 A Again, I do not really specifically recall. 7 Now, you said you were an expert in fingerprints. Q Do shoes have unique prints like fingerprints? 9 Yes, they can. 10 A But they're a lot harder to trace than fingerprints, 11 Q aren't they? 12 Yes, they are. 13 A What is the frequency of someone stepping on a sheet 14 0 or the likelihood of them leaving a print that might 15 be used later compared to the fingerprints which were 16 already --17 Again, there are many circumstances that factor into A 18 The shoe itself, whether it's clean or dirty, 19 was it stepped in, how often it's been stepped in 20 The surface itself, whether it's porous, something. 21 nonporous, hard or soft, all these factor in. 22 an impression in an area may look like a shoe 23

impression. On closer examination, if it doesn't

reveal the characteristics we're looking for, like in

24

1		our fingers, snoes can have characteristics.
2		Unfortunately from the factory they're all the same
3		and from everyday use, you know, they create their
4		own individual characteristics. These are what we
5		would be looking for in a shoe impression. If an
6		impression, faint or however it may be, does not
7		contain these characteristics that can be compared to
8		identify then, again, it's something no good, nothing
9		we can do anything with.
10	Q	Thank you, sir.
11		
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass him.
13		MR. CANTU: I have another question.
14		
15		FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
16		
17	Q	After saying all of that, what seems to be clear is
18		you're saying you looked at it and you found no
19		foreign matter on this mattress or this cover to take
20		home with you, take to your office to analyze, such
21		as dirt, grass, gravel, anything that might indicate
22		someone stepping on this mattress? Did you take
23		anything back to your office?
24	A	No, I did not.
25	Q	Thank you. 119

1	MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I have nothing
2	further.
3	MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, sir.
4	State would call W.I. Stephens.
5	
6	W.I. STEPHENS
7	was called as a witness by the State, and having been
8	previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
9	follows:
10	
11	THE COURT: You may proceed.
12	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
14	
15	Q Please state your name for the record.
16	A Bill Stephens.
17	Q Mr. Stephens, would you please tell the members of
18	the jury what you do for a living?
19	A I'm a sergeant with the Houston Police Department,
20	assigned to the Homicide Division.
21	Q How long have you been a police officer?
22	A For 14 years.
23	Q And would you tell the members of the jury what
24	different divisions you have been assigned to in that
25	time?

1	A	I was in patrol initially and then did a rotation
2		through the jail for a short time. I promoted in
3		1984 and went to Central Patrol as a supervisor and
4		then went to burglary and theft for about six months
5		and then went to Homicide.
6	Q	Back in October of 1992, did you have an occasion to
7		be assigned to an investigation that resulted in
8		charges being filed against a person by the name of
9		Charles Douglas Raby?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	Do you see Mr. Raby in the courtroom today?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	Would you point him out for the record and describe
14		what he is wearing today?
15	A	He's wearing a blue shirt, with a red tie, seated
16		next to the Defense counselors.
17		
18		MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like
19		the record to reflect that the witness has
20		identified the Defendant.
21		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
22		
23	Q	Sergeant, did you have an occasion to obtain a
24		warrant that was signed by a judge in the 339th
25		District Court on or about October 16th, 1992?

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q And was that warrant for the arrest of Charles
- 3 Douglas Raby?
- 4 A It was.
- 5 Q Would you tell the members of the jury if you had
- 6 occasion to try to serve that warrant or arrest
- 7 Charles Douglas Raby once you got that warrant
- 8 signed?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q How many locations did you go to, if you recall?
- 11 A At least three locations.
- 12 Q Did one of those locations happen to be a place
- where a lady by the name of Mary Alice Gomez lived?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you recall offhand what the location was?
- 16 A It was in the 1200 block of East 26th.
- 17 Q Did you learn that the Defendant had been there
- 18 moments before you arrived?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did you retrieve a piece of evidence from there?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What was that?
- 23 A A black jacket.
- 24 Q You're pointing to it. I've shown this to you
- outside the presence of the jury; is that correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q And this is the jacket that I have previously marked
- and from which the exhibit number has fallen off of.
- 4 For the record, I believe that jacket has been
- 5 previously marked as State Exhibit 110. I would like
- 6 to remark it on the record.
- 7 What did you do with that jacket?
- 8 A Well, the jacket was tagged by Sergeant Wendel in the
- 9 HPD Property Room.
- 10 Q Did you have an occasion to do something else
- involving this case?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And what was that?
- 14 A I went to the Harris County Morgue and attended the
- 15 autopsy of the Complainant.
- 16 Q Of Edna Franklin?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And would you tell the members of the jury what
- 19 happened there?
- 20 A I observed while the medical examiner conducted the
- 21 first portion of the autopsy. He was called away
- 22 for court and didn't finish while I was there. But
- while I was there at the autopsy, I collected some
- 24 hairs from Mrs. Franklin's right hand.
- 25 Q And why was that significant to you at the time?

- Because the hairs were clutched in her hand and they
- were consistent with the hairs of some possible
- 3 suspects in the case.
- 4 Q What did you do with those hairs?
- 5 A I took them back to the Homicide Division after
- 6 making a crude druggist envelope out of my note pad
- 7 and turned them over to Sergeant Ted Thomas and asked
- 8 him to take them directly to the Crime Lab so they
- 9 could be looked at by the chemist and the analyst
- there so we could have an idea of what type of
- 11 suspect or what type of hair we were looking at.
- 12 Q I'll show you a bag marked State Exhibit 106 and ask
- 13 you whether or not you recognize the bag and its
- 14 contents.
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q Is that the bag containing the hair that you asked
- 17 Sergeant Thomas to take to the Crime Lab?
- 18 A Yes, sir, it is.
- 19 Q Sergeant Stephens, how many years did you say you
- 20 have been in the Homicide Division?
- 21 A For 10 years.
- 22 Q And before that, you were a police officer for how
- 23 long?
- 24 A Four additional years.
- 25 Q During that time, those 14 years, have you had

1		occasion to go to few or many crime scenes?
2	A	Many crime scenes.
3	Q	And in the course of your investigations as a
4		homicide detective, is it uncommon in your experience
5		to not find physical evidence that ties a suspect to
6		a crime? Is it uncommon not to have it?
7	A	It's not uncommon to not have any physical evidence
9		that directly links a person to a crime. 120
9	Q	Assuming a person gives a voluntary confession to a
10		crime, is it uncommon to not find any physical
11		evidence to tie that person to the crime other than
12		the confession?
13	A	That's correct, it's not uncommon.
14	Q	Now, assuming that I were to or someone were to
15		enter a home to commit a burglary, would there be a
16		requirement that they not use the front door?
17	A	No.
18	Q	May a person still commit a burglary of a habitation
19		by walking into a door and committing a felony or a
20		theft?
21		
22		MR. CANTU: I'm going to object to that as
23		outside the ability of this person to testify.
24		It intervenes with the purview of the jury.
25		THE COURT: Is that your objection?

1		MR. CANTU: Yes.
2		THE COURT: I'll sustain it on some other
3		grounds.
4		
5	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Let me ask you this. Is an
6		attack with a knife an aggravated assault?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8		
9		MR. GUTIERREZ: I don't know if I
10		previously offered this to be hair or the
11		jacket, Your Honor, but if not, I would like to
12		offer these at this time, State Exhibits 110 and
13		the hair, State Exhibit No. 106. And I'll pass
14		the witness.
15		THE COURT: Any objections?
16		MR. CANTU: I'm sorry, I didn't hear it.
17		I was writing.
18		THE COURT: He's offering the hair and
19		jacket, 110 and 106.
20		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor, no objections.
21		THE COURT: State Exhibits 110 and 106 are
22		admitted into evidence.
23		Mr. Cantu.
24		
25		

1		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
2		
3	Q	Sergeant, good morning to you. Those samples or that
4		hair that you took and you gave to Police Officer
5		Thomas, you all have come to some conclusion as to
6		what that hair is, and the conclusion is what?
7	A	That it's human hair. As far as whether it's been
8		matched to any particular person, I don't know the
9		answer to that.
10	Q	Is it only human hair or is it anything else other
11		than human hair?
12	A	Of the sample that I submitted from the clutched
13		hand, as memory serves me, it's only human hair.
14		There were some other samples collected during the
15		autopsy that I observed the doctor collect.
16	Q	And what happened to those collections?
17	A	He collected those and then submitted them as part of
18		the medical examiner's kit that they submit following
19		an autopsy.
20	Q	When you had that signed arrest warrant on the 16th
21		of October, was that arrest warrant, in fact, for
22		your you testified obviously it was for the arrest

of Charles Raby, but it was for the arrest of

Charles Raby for what type of offense?

25 A For a trespassing offense.

23

- 1 Q Later there was a second arrest warrant, or was that
- 2 the only arrest warrant that you had or any police
- officer had for Charles Raby for that particular day?
- A That's the only one I'm aware of for that day.
- 5 Q Did you have any further arrest warrants on October
- 6 17th, 18th or 19th?
- 7 A Not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q And you indicated you went to three locations on the
- 9 16th of October. Is that a correct statement?
- 10 A That's what I remember. I remember going to Mr.
- 11 Raby's home, where his mother was located, and then
- that address on 26, and I believe an address on
- 13 Reid. Those are the only three that I remember.
- 14 Q What time frame did that take place? Obviously
- within that 24-hour period after you got your arrest
- 16 warrant, but how long did it take you to go to these
- 17 different areas?
- 18 A It was all done that one day, as far as going to the
- 19 mother's house and going to the Reid location and
- going to 26, was all done either on the 16th or the
- very early morning of the 17th.
- 22 Q But you did it all in one trip? I guess that's the
- 23 real question I'm asking.
- 24 A I don't remember if it was all one trip. I know we
- 25 went to locations on that day.

1	Q	who was with you:
2	A	My partner, Sergeant D.D. Shirley. We were also
3		accompanied by Sergeants Allen and Wendel, and I
4		don't remember if anybody else was with us or not.
5	Q	In one car or separate cars?
6	A	Separate cars.
7	Q	How many cars?
8	A	At least two. There could have been more.
9	Q	And you all finally located did you participate in
10		the arrest of Charles Raby?
11	A	I was present, yes, sir.
12		
13		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
14		Honor.
15		THE COURT: Any further questions?
16		MR. GUTIERREZ: A few questions, Your
17		Honor.
18		
19		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
20		
21	Q	Officer Stephens, the Defendant was not arrested
22		until October 19th; is that correct?
23	A	That's correct.
24	Q	Now, the Defense attorney was asking you about a
25		warrant. He asked you about the warrant for criminal

1		crespass. was that criminal crespass on the property
2		of H.L. Leo Truitt?
) 3	A	Yes, it was. 122
4	Q	Then he asked you if there were any other warrants.
5	•	If a person has been arrested and they're in custody
6		and charges are filed, how are they filed? Would
7		you explain to the members of the jury?
8	A	Well, it's considered an in-custody warrant. There's
9		a difference in a to-be warrant and an in-custody
10		warrant. To-be means the person is not under arrest
11		and an in-custody warrant, when we file that, we
12		don't actually have to be present to file the charge;
13		we can do that over a computer, whereas a to-be, we
14		have to take it to a magistrate to sign.
15	Q	And it's called a to-be warrant because that means to
16		be arrested; is that correct?
17	A	That's correct.
18	Q	When you have someone in custody, it's actually
19		termed a commitment, because you are actually
20		committing someone to a jail or some jail facility;
21		is that correct?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23		
24		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass him.
25		THE COURT: Any further questions?

1		MR. CANTU: NO, YOUR HOROI.
2		THE COURT: Officer Stephens, you may step
3		down, sir.
4		State may call your next witness.
5		MR. GUTIERREZ: Barbara Wright.
6		
7		BARBARA WRIGHT
8	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been
9	pre	viously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
10	fol	lows:
11		
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
13		
14	Q	For the record, would you please state your full
15		name?
16	A	Barbara Anne Wright.
17	Q	Ms. Wright, would you tell us where you live?
18	A	7719 West Hardy.
19	Q	Is that in Houston, Harris County, Texas?
20	A	Yes, it is.
21	Q	How close is that to the home of Edna Franklin or
22		where Edna Franklin used to live, while she was
23		living?
24	A	I'd say 10, 12 blocks.
25	Q	And when we talk about Edna Franklin, we're talking

about the same Edna Franklin who is the Complainant 1 in this case, who's listed in this indictment, who 2 lived at 617 Westford; is that correct? 3 A Yes. Did you know her personally? 5 Q I only met her one time. A 6 Do you know a person by the name of Charles Douglas 7 Q Raby? 8 Yes, I do. 9 A Do you see him in the courtroom today? 10 0 Yes, I do. 11 A Would you please point him out and describe what he 12 Q is wearing today? 13 He's right there. He's wearing a blue shirt and red 14 A 15 tie. 16 MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like the record to 17 reflect the witness has identified the 18 19 Defendant. THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 20 21 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Do you have relationship by 22 Q blood, so to speak, with the Defendant, and if so, 23 24 what is that?

I'm his daughter's grandmother.

25

A

- 1 Q That is, one of your daughters had a child by him;
- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q And what is the name of that child?
- 5 A Amber.
- 6 Q And how old is Amber?
- 7 A Five.
- 8 Q And who is the mother of Amber?
- 9 A Karianne Wright.
- 10 Q And how old is she?
- 11 A Twenty-one.
- 12 Q Ms. Wright, do you have a son?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q What is his name?
- 15 A Blaine Wright, Junior.
- 16 Q How old is he?
- 17 A He's 24.
- 18 Q Ms. Wright, I'll ask you whether or not you had an
- occasion back on October 15th, 1992, the day Ms.
- Franklin was killed, to see Charles Douglas Raby.
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q You all also knew him as Buster; is that correct?
- 23 A That's right.
- 24 Q Where was Buster or Charles Douglas Raby the first
- time you saw him on October 15th, 1992?

- 1 A At my house.
- 2 Q About what time of day or night was he there?
- 3 A I think it was around 5:00 in the afternoon. 123
 - 4 Q Sometime late in the afternoon?
 - 5 A Well, yeah, it was late in the afternoon.
 - 6 Q By that, I mean, it wasn't morning, it wasn't noon?
 - 7 A No, it was late in the afternoon.
 - 8 Q And did he come into your home? Explain to the
 - 9 members of the jury how you saw him.
 - 10 A No, he didn't. He was just passing. We have a
 - shortcut that goes behind our house to get to another
 - 12 street, and he was just passing through.
 - 13 Q And when he saw you, what did he say?
 - 14 A He said, "Don't worry, I'm just passing through."
 - 15 O And was he alone or was he with someone else?
 - 16 A He was alone.
 - 17 Q And later on did you have an occasion to see him
 - 18 again?
 - 19 A Yes.
 - 20 Q Would you tell the members of the jury what time you
 - 21 saw him and where?
 - 22 A It must have been between 6:00 and 6:30, because it
 - 23 was right after he just passed through and it was on
 - 24 the corner of Caperton and Irvington, because there's
 - a little flower shop there. We had stopped there to

buy flowers to take to my daughter in the hospital. 1 And how many blocks from the 600 block of Westford 2 Q would you turn off to go to Edna Franklin's house? 3 It must have been about seven blocks. A Would he have been walking from your home towards --5 0 in that direction, would he be walking towards the 6 Loop or away from the Loop? 7 Towards the Loop. 8 A Did you see whether or not he was wearing anything 9 0 or had any particular type of clothing with him, that 10 11 you remember? A black jacket slung over his shoulder. 12 A Was that both times that you saw him? 13 0 14 A Yes. I'll show you what's been marked and already admitted 15 Q into evidence as State Exhibit 110. I'll ask you 16 17 whether or not this is similar to the one he had that day? 18 19 A Yes. Thank you, Ms. Wright. 20 Q 21 22 I'll pass the witness. MR. GUTIERREZ: Mr. Cantu. 23 THE COURT: 24 MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor. May I

approach the witness, Your Honor?

25

1		THE COURT: Yes.
2		MR. GUTIERREZ: Excuse me. Before I pass
3		her, may I ask her one last question?
4		THE COURT: All right.
5		
6	Q	(Continued by Mr. Gutierrez) When you saw him I
7		don't know if I asked you this question how many
8		blocks would he have been from Ms. Franklin's house?
9	A	About seven.
10		
11		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass her.
12		
13		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
14		
15	Q	Mrs. Wright, you're very familiar with that location
16		in your neighborhood, right?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Let me sketch out what I believe to be the area and
19		I want you to correct whatever mistakes I might make
20		and then we'll discuss it. Okay?
21	A	Okay.
22	Q	Let's make this the Toll Road. That's called
23	A	Hardy.
24	Q	Hardy Toll Road. Let's call this the 610 Loop.
25		Okay? The name of your street, again, is East

- 1 Hardy, right?
- 2 A West Hardy.
- 3 Q You lived on this side, within this triangle, right?
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q Irvington, for argument's sake, let's say that it's
- 6 here. You live on West Hardy, a small street that
- 7 abuts to the toll road. Is that a correct
- 8 statement?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q There is a feeder road, a road that runs parallel,
- 11 that runs with the toll road, right?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q What's your number again?
- 14 A 7719.
- 15 Q Describe this area. Is this the area you spoke
- 16 about that you saw Charles in?
- 17 A At my house?
- 18 Q Yes, ma'am, when you testified he was passing
- 19 through.
- 20 A At my house.
- 21 Q And he passed by your house on this street and was
- going in this direction, going up towards Irvington
- 23 Street?
- 24 A Uh-huh.
- 25 Q This was approximately at 5:00 p.m. Where is Reid

- 1 Street?
- 2 A Reid?
- 3 Q Yes, ma'am, the 700 block of Reid, if you know.
- 4 A I don't know. She didn't know I lived There.
- 5 Q Do you know where Reid Street is at all?
- 6 A No. 127
 - 7 Q Where is Ms. Franklin's street in this drawing,
 - 8 approximately?
 - 9 A Westford?
 - 10 Q Yes, ma'am.
 - 11 A It's down Irvington on the right.
 - 12 Q To the right?
 - 13 A Before you get to the Loop.
 - 14 Q Before you get to this direction, Mrs. Franklin's
 - 15 house?
 - 16 A Uh-huh.
 - 17 Q I'm facing you, and that's to the right, my right
 - 18 arm. It's going towards the 610 Loop, and her house
 - and her street, do they run the same direction as
 - 20 yours?
 - 21 A Yes.
 - 22 Q So it went up to the Toll Road also?
 - 23 A Her street?
 - 24 Q Yes, ma'am.
 - 25 A Well, I don't think so.

- Okay. Does it come to Irvington?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Does it come closer to 610 and Irvington?
- 4 A It's not as far down as 610.
- 5 Q How many blocks from 610, approximately? You were
- able to describe the distance from your home to her
- 7 home, and you said it's seven blocks.
- 8 A No. Not from my house to her house wasn't seven
- 9 blocks.
- 10 Q What is it?
- 11 A I said about 12 blocks.
- 12 Q Okay, 12 blocks. Now, from your house -- where is
- your house in reference to this diagram? Would you
- 14 like to stand up and point to the area if the Court
- 15 will allow?

16

17 THE COURT: Sure, if she wants.

18

- 19 Q If you want to.
- 20 A (Complies.)
- 21 Q Please speak up so the court reporter can hear you
- 22 and the jury can hear you also.
- 23 A This is my house, and the shortcut goes to Irvington
- 24 and then --
- 25 Q May I stop you for a second? Is this a vacant lot?

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q Is this undeveloped property?
- 3 A Wooded area, uh-huh.
- 4 Q In other words, a lot of trees in this area, right?
- 5 A Uh-huh. And then you go to Irvington and then you
- turn left to go to Westford, and it's about seven
- 7 blocks from that street that you come out of the
- 8 wooded area to Irvington.
- 9 Q From Irvington, you drive seven blocks? 4 Blocks.
- 10 A And turn right and that would be Westford.
- 11 Q You go seven blocks and then you turn right towards
- 12 your property, your area?
- 13 A No, the other way.
- 14 Q Towards Irvington, excuse me. Towards the 610 Loop,
- 15 right?
- 16 A No. You go through the woods and you come out on
- 17 Irvington. And from where I saw him was
- approximately seven blocks on Westford, straight down
- 19 Irvington to Westford, and turn right to go to her
- 20 house.
- 21 Q You saw him on Irvington near Westford Street?
- 22 A And Caperton.
- 23 Q And Caperton?
- 24 A Right. 25
 - 25 Q And where is Mrs. Franklin's home, is the question

1		really? Where is Mrs. Franklin's home in the
2		diagram, if you know.
3	A	I know. It's on Westford, at the end of the street.
4	Q	Would you draw it here? Would you like the chalk?
5	A	Okay. Well, is this supposed to be Westford?
6	Q	Yes, ma'am.
7	A	And I'm turning right and I go up the street to her
8		house, and it's there.
9	Q	So it's on the other side of Irvington, right where
10		you are? You're on the lower side of Irvington on
11		this diagram and she's on the other side, just a few
12		streets up?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	You can go ahead and sit back on the witness stand.
15	A	(Complies.)
16	Q	And you saw strike that.
17		
18		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
19		Honor.
20		
21		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
22		
23	Q	The last time you saw him in terms of light or dark,
24		how close was it to sunset?
25		The room amount durals

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: 1'11 pass the withess.
2		THE COURT: Ms. Wright, you may step down.
3		State will call your next witness.
4		MR. GUTIERREZ: State would call Deetrice
5		Wallace.
6		
7		DEETRICE WALLACE
8	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been
9	prev	iously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
10	foll	ows:
11		
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
13		
14	Q	State your name, please.
15	A	Deetrice Wallace.
16	Q	Ma'am, would you tell the members of the jury what
17		you do for a living?
18	A	I'm a chemist with the Houston Police Department
19		Crime Laboratory.
20	Q	And how long have you been so employed?
21	A	Approximately four years.
22	Q	And what qualifications do you have that qualify you
23		to be a chemist and have that job?
24	A	I have a bachelor's degree from Sam Houston State
25		University in chemistry. I have gone through

- extensive on-the-job training. I have been to the
- 2 FBI Academy in Quantico, Virginia, on forensic
- 3 serology, and I have attended several workshops and
- 4 seminars on forensic serology.
- 5 Q Did you have an occasion at my request to examine
- some panties to determine whether or not the elastic
- 7 had been cut off possibly with a knife or ripped off
- 8 by force?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q And are those panties contained in State Exhibit No.
- 11 79?
- 12 A Yes, they are.
- 13 Q And would you tell the members of the jury what you
- 14 found?
- 15 A I found the elastic on the panties had been torn and
- 16 not cut.
- 17 Q How can you tell the difference? Naked eye, same
- 18 way anybody else would?
- 19 A The naked eye as well as examining it under a
- 20 microscope.
- 21 Q So you found nothing consistent with someone having
- 22 used a sharp knife to cut them?
- 23 A That is correct.
- 24 Q More consistent with someone pulling by force and
- 25 breaking that elastic around the panties; is that

1	correct:
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Thank you, ma'am.
4	
5	MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
7	MR. CANTU: I don't have anything. Thank
8	you.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Wallace, you may step down
10	Thank you.
11	MR. GUTIERREZ: State would call Joseph
12	Chu.
13	
14	JOSEPH CHU
15	was called as a witness by the State, and after having
16	been previously duly sworn, testified under his oath as
17	follows:
18	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
20	Q State your name, please.
21	A My name is Joseph Chu.
22	Q Would you tell the members of the jury what you do
23	for a living?
24	A I'm an employee at the Houston Police Department
25	Crime Laboratory.

- 1 Q Would you tell them specifically what you do?
- 2 A I'm the forensic chemist. My major responsibility is
- doing the human body fluid analysis, which include
- 4 DNA analysis.
- 5 Q I'll ask you whether or not you had an occasion
- 6 sometime back in October of 1992, perhaps on or about
- 7 October 19th, 1992, to take some hair samples from an
- 8 individual by the name of Charles Douglas Raby.
- 9 A May I read my --
- 10 Q Yes, sir.
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q Do you see that individual in the courtroom today?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q Would you please point him out?
- 15 A He's sitting in the middle.
- 16 Q For the record, you have a photograph as part of
- your records to help you remember who it is; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Yes, I have a photograph with me.
- 20 Q And the person you pointed out that you took those
- 21 hair samples from is not this man here?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q But this man here?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And that's whose photograph appears in your records;

is that correct? 1 2 A Yes. 3 Your Honor, I would like MR. GUTIERREZ: the record to reflect the witness has identified 5 6 the Defendant Mr. Raby. 7 THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 8 9 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, explain to the members of 10 the jury the process you went through in taking hair 11 samples. Actually the hair sample, I just collect it. 12 13 Q What instructions do you give an individual or did 14 you give Mr. Raby in terms of giving hair samples? Excuse me? 15 A 16 What did you tell him to do? 17 Oh, what did I tell him to do? For the hair A 18 comparison, they need the whole hairs, which include 19 inner roots. So my instructions said that you have 20 to pull your hair with the roots and they can be 21 analyzed. 22 Q When it comes to pulling pubic hair, you would much 23 rather let the individual do it himself so it might 24 not be as painful as if someone else did it, right?

25

A

That's correct.

- 1 Q I'll show you what's contained in the bag marked
- 2 State's 105. I'll show you State Exhibit No. 101
- 3 through 110. Let me show you first 101, 102, 103
- and 104, and I'll ask you whether or not these
- 5 envelopes or pieces of paper that I'm holding contain
- the hair samples from the Defendant, Mr. Charles
- 7 Raby?
- 8 A Yes, this hair comes from Mr. Charles Raby.
- 9 Q Do your initials appear there?
- 10 A Yes. All them have my initials.
- 11 Q Let's talk a little bit about the procedure. For
- 12 example, this one, 101 says "pulled pubic hair."
- 13 What areas of the pubic area would those have been
- 14 pulled from?
- 15 A Usually it will come from four, five parts from his
- private area, is from front, both sides and bottom.
- 17 Q Is that what was done in this case?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And is that what is contained in State Exhibit 101?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q State Exhibit 102, "pulled head hair." What's the
- 22 procedure for getting those?
- 23 A It's the same way. What we did is, pubic hairs come
- from five different areas, from top, front and both
- 25 sides.

State's Exhibit 103? 1 Q That's loose hairs. 2 A And how do you obtain loose hair? Q 3 Usually before he pulls his head hairs or pubic A hairs, we have them to rub their area, head hair 5 area or pubic hair area. 6 State Exhibit No. 103 pertains to loose head hair; is 7 Q that correct? 8 That's correct. 9 A And those are obtained by letting the individual run ' 10 Q his fingers through his hair and letting whatever 11 loose hair has fallen onto a piece of paper; is that 12 correct? 13 That's correct. 14 And the same is true as to State Exhibit 104, loose 15 pubic hair. A person has to run their own fingers 16 through their own pubic hair and whatever loose hairs 17 fall, fall on a piece of paper, and that is what a 18

21

A

19

20

22 MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I would like 23 the record to reflect I am tendering State 24 Exhibits 101, 102, 103, 104 into evidence and 25 ask that they be admitted into evidence.

loose pubic hair is; is that correct?

That's correct.

MR. CANTU: We have no objections. 1 THE COURT: State Exhibits 101, 102, 103, 2 104 are admitted into evidence. 3 MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness. 4 Mr. Cantu. THE COURT: 5 6 7 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU 8 Dr. Chu, let me ask you, how long have you worked 9 Q for HPD? 10 11 A First I want to mention, I'm not a doctor. I have 12 worked for HPD for approximately five years. 13 You're not a doctor yet? Q No, I'm not a doctor. 14 A 15 Let me ask you this. When was it that Charles --Q 16 you either went to Charles or Charles went to you? A 17 That particular day we went to the Homicide Division. 18 You were called in? Q 19 A Yes, we were called. 20 Approximately what time? Q 21 A Afternoon, I'm pretty sure. 22 Q More specifically, what time in the afternoon? A 23 On the record, it's approximately around 1:00, two 24 o'clock. 1:00 to 2:00? What date? 25 Q

- 1 A On November 19th, 1992 -- I'm sorry, October 19th.
- 2 Q October 19th, 1992, at approximately 1:00 to 2:00
- 3 p.m.?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Is that notation taken at the time that you arrived
- at the police station? Let me strike that.
- Where are you located in reference to the police
- 8 station, the downtown police station?
- 9 A Our laboratory is located at 33 Artesian.
- 10 Q In walking distance from where the Homicide Division
- 11 is?
- 12 A Yes. Approximately 5 minutes' walking distance.
- 13 Q So the 1:00 to 2:00 p.m. is the time you arrived
- 14 there to start the process?
- 15 A Approximately, I should say.
- 16 Q Do you recall what Charles Raby was wearing on this
- 17 day?
- 18 A He was wearing -- I have his picture. It's a white
- 19 T-shirt without sleeves.
- 20 Q What type of pants was he wearing?
- 21 A I really don't recall. Probably some kind of jeans.
- 22 Q Do you recall whether you were asked to take samples
- or attempt to obtain hairs from his clothing?
- 24 A Yes, it was requested.
- 25 Q And did you make that attempt?

- 1 A In the laboratory, yes, we did.
- 2 Q That implies you took Mr. Raby's clothing with you to
- your laboratory; is that correct?
- 4 A I don't think so, no.
- 5 Q You left him with his clothing?
- A That particular day we didn't take any clothes with
- 7 us.
- 8 Q Okay. But you did take samples from his body; is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q We know that you took five samples of pubic hair and
- we know you took five samples of hair from the head.
- 13 A Samples from five areas.
- 14 Q And we know that you took samples of loose hair.
- Did you comb it or did you have Mr. Raby comb it?
- 16 A He combed it himself.
- 17 Q And were you present when he was doing this?
- 18 A Yes, I was present.
- 19 Q The reason you were present was to make sure that he
- 20 complied with the order of the Police Department?
- 21 A To make sure that he did.
- 22 Q That he did what he was told?
- 23 A Yes, he did.
- 24 Q And he did do this, didn't he?
- 25 A Yes, he did.

- 1 Q And you got all these loose hairs and you analyzed
- 2 them at a later time; is that correct?
- 3 A Not me analyzing. I transferred it to the trace
- 4 chemist.
- 5 Q Did you do any analysis on the hairs themselves?
- 6 A Hair comparison?
- 7 Q Yes, sir.
- 8 A No, I didn't.
- 9 Q Do you have anything to report about the hair other
- 10 than the collection of the hair?
- 11 A Other than the hairs, yes. I do the body fluid and
- I see some of the evidence.
- 13 Q Well, you didn't do a body fluid analysis at this
- 14 time, did you, on Mr. Raby?
- 15 A On this particular case?
- 16 Q Yes.
- 17 A Yes, I did some.
- 18 Q On Mr. Raby?
- 19 A On Mr. Raby, yes, I typed his blood.
- 20 Q You analyzed his blood with what?
- 21 A It's only for -- it's a known sample for the
- 22 comparison to the evidence.
- 23 Q And what have you done with this blood? You
- 24 determined the type blood obviously, right?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 Q And you have compared it with whose blood?
- 2 A I compared it to the evidence.
- 3 Q And your conclusions from that analysis?
- A Actually from the evidence, it is inconclusive test
- 5 results, so I cannot do any comparison.
- 6 Q So it was inconclusive results?
- 7 A Yes, you can say that.
- 8 Q Well, what would you say?
- 9 A Well, it's inconclusive.
 - 10 Q Okay. Same thing then?
 - 11 A That's correct.
 - 12 Q And the loose hairs and all the hairs you collected,
 - you're not here to tell the jury that you made some
 - 14 analysis and are here to report that? Do you have
 - 15 that type of report with you?
 - 16 A It's another chemist. She did the hair comparisons.
 - 17 Q What's the person's name?
 - 18 A Raydun Hilleman.
 - 19 Q You took all these hairs with you and took it to
 - 20 this other person, did you not?
 - 21 A Yes, I personally transferred it to her.
 - 22 Q And she's in the same office with you?
 - 23 A Yes, we're in the same laboratory.
 - 24 Q So you personally handed it to her to run the tests
 - 25 that she needed to run?

1	A	That's correct.
2		
3		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness, Your
4		Honor.
5		THE COURT: Any further questions?
6		
7		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
8		
9	Q	Did you at a later time process some of the clothing
10		of the Defendant so it could be analyzed for hair
11		samples, anything like that?
12	A	Yes, I did.
13	Q	Now, how many times have you testified, Mr. Chu?
14	A	I believe more than 30 or 40 times.
15	Q	Thank you. 127
16		
17		MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass him.
18		MR. CANTU: I have nothing further. Thank
19		you.
20		THE COURT: Mr. Chu, you may step down,
21		sir.
22		THE WITNESS: May I be excused?
23		MR. GUTIERREZ: I would like for him to
24		wait outside, if it please the Court.
25		THE COURT: If you'll wait outside.

1		MR. GUTIERREZ: And the State would call
2		Raydun Hilleman.
3		
4		RAYDUN HILLEMAN
5	was	called as a witness by the State, and having been
6	prev	iously duly sworn, testified under her oath as
7	foll	ows:
8		
9		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTIERREZ
10		
11	Q	State your name, please.
12	A	My name is Raydun Hilleman.
13	Q	Ms. Hilleman, would you please tell the jury what you
14		do for a living?
15	A	I am a chemist for the Houston Police Department
16		Laboratory.
17	Q	And how long have you been so employed?
18	A	For eleven-and-a-half years.
19	Q	Would you tell the members of the jury where you got
20		your education and what your qualifications are to be
21		a chemist?
22	A	I have a bachelor of science degree in chemistry from
23		Texas Lutheran College and I have been trained
24		extensively on the job, in the laboratory, and I also
25		have attended several courses in the analysis of hair

- and fibers and other kinds of trace evidence.
- 2 Q Did you have occasion to do a bunch of comparisons
- in this particular case, that is, for court purposes,
- 4 at least styled The State of Texas Vs. Charles Raby?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q Would you run through the list of things you did?
- 7 A I examined several articles of clothing and a piece
- 8 of carpet and some hairs collected from the
- 9 Complainant's hands and collected hairs from those
- 10 articles of clothing and the carpet and then did
- 11 comparisons of those hairs to known samples that I
- 12 had from Charles Raby and also from Edna Franklin.
- 13 Q Did you also do a comparison of hairs that had been
- 14 collected from Eric Benge and Lee Rose?
- 15 A Yes, I did.
- 16 Q I'll show you State Exhibit No. 108, 109, 110 --
- 17 excuse me -- 107. Are these the hairs of Eric Benge
- in the case of State Exhibit 107 and 108, and State
- 19 Exhibit 109 and 110, those of Lee Rose?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q To cut right to the chase, so to speak, did you find
- 22 anything from the crime scene in terms of hair of
- 23 the Complainant that could be tied to Charles Raby?
- 24 A From the crime scene, I did not find any hairs that
- 25 were consistent with Charles Raby.

- 1 Q Did you find his hair somewhere?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- 3 Q And where was that?
- 4 A On his own clothing.
- 5 Q And we're talking about clothing he was wearing that
- 6 was turned in after his arrest on October 19th, 1992;
- 7 is that correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And was one of those a black jacket?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Anything unusual about finding a person's hair on
- 12 your own clothing?
- 13 A Nothing unusual.
- 14 Q In terms of the hands of the Complainant, the hair
- 15 that was taken from the hand of Edna Franklin, what
- 16 did you find there? Let me start by asking you what
- 17 different types of hair did you find?
- 18 A From her right hand, there was a hair that was
- 19 consistent with her own hair. There were also some
- 20 hair fragments and body fragments which were
- 21 unsuitable for any kind of comparison. There was
- 22 also some animal hair and one head hair which was
- 23 consistent with the hair of Eric Benge.
- 24 Q Now, would it be unusual if a person lives somewhere,
- for his hair to fall off and land on carpet or the

- floor?
- 2 A No, it wouldn't be.
- 3 Q Could we expect to find, if we have carpets, all of
- 4 us, some of our own hair on the carpet?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Would it be unusual if a person were being attacked,
- 7 for that person to fall on the floor and that person
- 8 to grasp hair that may be on the carpet?
- 9 A No, it wouldn't be.
- 10 Q The animal hair that you found, would they have been
- 11 consistent with hair of a dog?
- 12 A They could have been. I didn't actually make the
- 13 determination whether they were a dog or a cat, but
- 14 they were animal hairs.
- 15 Q Now, in terms of -- you said there was some hair
- 16 that was unsuitable for comparison. Would you
- 17 explain to the members of the jury what you mean by
- 18 that?
- 19 A Well, in the hair comparison, I'm looking at an
- 20 entire hair. I need to see the root in order to
- 21 compare the characteristics of that hair to root
- characteristics from an unknown source. So I need an
- entire hair. If I've got a fragment with no root,
- 24 then I don't really know how long that hair was. I
- 25 can't make any kind of approximation of how long it

1		was and/or make any kind of speculation as to what
2		is missing, what it looks like. So, therefore, if
3		hair doesn't have a root, we can't do any kind of
4		comparison.
5	Q	Just because a hair is found in the hand of a dead
6		person who is lying on the floor, that does not mean
7		that the hair she's holding belongs to an attacker?
8	A	That's correct.
9	Q	And if so, we could probably surmise that Ms.
10		Franklin was attacked by both her grandsons and an
11		animal.
12	A	You could surmise that.
13	Q	It would be a pretty ridiculous conclusion, in terms
14		of the dog, at least; is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Did you also use as a point of comparison, when
17		we're talking about the Defendant's hair, the hair
18		contained in State's Exhibit 101, 102, 103 and 104?
19	A	Yes, I did.
20		
21		MR. GUTIERREZ: I failed to offer before,
22		Your Honor, State Exhibit Nos. 107, 108, 109 and
23		110, the hair of Eric Benge and Lee Rose.
24		THE COURT: Any objections?
25		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor, there are none.

1		THE COURT: They are admitted into
2		evidence.
3		MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
4		
5	Q	(By Mr. Gutierrez) Now, let's talk a little bit
6		about the loose hair, head hair, pubic hair. In
7		terms of Mr. Raby's hair, did you look at his
8		container or do your notes reflect anything
9		documented how many loose hairs there were either on
10		his pubic hair or his head hair, if any?
11	A	The loose pubic hair from Mr. Raby consisted of one
12		pubic hair, which was consistent with his own pubic
13		hairs, and there were no loose head hairs in the
14		exhibit marked loose head hairs.
15	Q	That is to say, that if a person is asked to
16		contribute loose hairs, run his fingers through his
17		head and his pubic hairs, in terms of his head, no
18		loose hairs was present?
19	A	That's correct, none fell out.
20	Q	In terms of his pubic hair, one fell out?
21	A	One fell out. 128
22	Q	Would you tell the members of the jury, in a sexual
23		assault case, in other words, did you find, for
24		example, any foreign, loose pubic hair on the body of
25		the Complainant in this case? I should say, loose,

- foreign pubic hair.
- 2 A No, I did not.
- 3 Q Is it unusual in a sexual assault if it is completed
- 4 to not find pubic hair, foreign pubic hair?
- 5 A In my experience over the past few years in cases
- 6 where evidence is submitted in a sexual assault, I
- 7 rarely find foreign pubic hairs which match the
- 8 suspect.
- 9 Q What are the factors in all of us that dictate
- 10 whether we have loose hair or not?
- 11 A Well, in general, most people lose 50 to 100 hairs a
- day, but most of them are washed down the drain as
- we bathe.
- 14 Q Or shower?
- 15 A Or shower. So you're not walking around with 50 to
- 16 100 loose hairs on your body at a single time. Most
- of them are in your brush or down the drain. So the
- 18 chances of transfers occurring are cut down greatly
- 19 because most loose hairs are gone, plus when you look
- down on your clothing and you notice hairs, a lot of
- 21 times you brush them off. You just don't walk
- 22 around with that many to transfer.
- 23 Q In terms of your experience, what percentage of the
- 24 time in your cases do you find foreign pubic hair,
- 25 if you know?

Well, transferred hairs, head or pubic, I would say 1 A less than 5 percent of the time. 2 So that means it is not a requirement that foreign 3 Q hair be deposited if a sexual assault actually does 4 take place? 5 That's correct. A 6 Is the same true of semen, no requirement that there 7 be a climax of --8 9 MR. CANTU: Your Honor, I object. 10 goes beyond this witness' expertise. 11 12 (By Mr. Gutierrez) Well, have you in the course of 13 being a chemist also examined clothing for semen, if 14 15 you know? Not personally. I have been present when it's been A 16 17 examined. MR. GUTIERREZ: Well, I'll withdraw the 18 19 question. 20 Did you do anything else regarding this case? 21 I did not. 22 A 23 MR. GUTIERREZ: I'll pass the witness, Your 24 25 Honor.

1		THE COURT: Mr. Cantu.
2		
3		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
4		
5	Q	Ms. Hilleman, so it's clear to me and hopefully it's
6		clear to the jury, you have known hair from Mr.
7		Raby, pubic and head and his loose hair. You
8		compared it with hair, and you had no hair from Ms.
9		Franklin?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Did you find in your search as a chemist hair
12		belonging to Ms. Franklin on Mr. Raby?
13	A	No, I did not.
14		
15		MR. CANTU: I'll pass the witness.
16		THE COURT: Any further questions?
17		MR. GUTIERREZ: That's all I have, Your
18		Honor. No further questions of this witness.
19		THE COURT: Ms. Hilleman, you may step
20		down, ma'am. You may be excused.
21		Will the attorneys approach the bench?
22		
23		(Whereupon the attorneys approached the
24		bench.)
25		

1	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let me
2	excuse you momentarily to the Jury Deliberation
3	Room.
4	
5	(Whereupon the jury was excused to the Jury
6	Deliberation Room and the following discussion
7	ensued.)
8	
9	MR. GUTIERREZ: Out of an abundance of
10	caution
11	THE COURT: Well, how many copies do you
12	want in the record then?
13	MR. GUTIERREZ: Of the confession? I would
14	like to make sure I offer State Exhibit No. 98,
15	that's the confession, as well as all the
16	consents to search that have been referred to in
17	State Exhibit No. 96.
18	Mr. Cantu?
19	THE COURT: No objections?
20	MR. CANTU: No objections.
21	THE COURT: State Exhibits 96 and 98 are
22	admitted into evidence for the second time.
23	MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I
24	understand that Mr. Cantu just said no
25	objections. I think he's

1		THE COURT: Well, Subject to his previous
2		motions.
3		MR. CANTU: Yes, subject to our previous
4		motions, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Let's go off the record again.
6		
7		(Whereupon an off-the-record discussion was
8	¥ ×	had.)
9		
10		CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY
11	was	called as a witness by the Defense, and having been
12	prev	viously duly sworn, testified, out of the presence of
13	the	jury, in his own behalf as follows:
14		
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CANTU
16		
17	Q	State your name.
18	A	Charles Douglas Raby.
19	Q	Mr. Raby, you and I have discussed your right to
20		testify in this case?
21	A	Yes, we have.
22	Q	And I have indicated to you my opinion as to this
23		case and advised you not to testify?
24	A	Yes.
25	0	And you have spoken to Mr. Fosher, co-counsel, and

1		he's indicated to you his advice is that you not
2		testify?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	And you know that you can testify if you choose?
5		You can testify against our wishes.
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And what are your wishes at this time?
8	A	Not to testify. (29
9		
10		MR. CANTU: Thank you.
11		THE COURT: Do you want to make a motion
12		outside the presence of the jury for any reason
13		at this time and then you can redo it in the
14		presence of the jury, or we can do it when we
15		excuse them.
16		MR. CANTU: I want to make it after he
17		rests.
18		THE COURT: Okay. Outside the presence of
19		the jury, does the State rest at this time?
20		MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, sir, the State rests
21		at this time.
22		MR. CANTU: And we would make a motion for
23		instructed verdict at this time, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: That will be denied.
25		T will allow you to rest, and then the

1	Defense will rest, and you'll close and you'll
2	close, and then I'll excuse them until 10:00
3	tomorrow and we'll do the arguments, and we'll
4	work on the charge this afternoon.
5	
6	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
7	box.)
8	
9	THE COURT: What says the State?
10	MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, at this time
11	the State would rest its case.
12	THE COURT: The Defense?
13	MR. CANTU: The Defense would also rest.
14	THE COURT: State closes?
15	MR. GUTIERREZ: State would close, Your
16	Honor.
17	THE COURT: Does the Defense close?
18	MR. CANTU: Defense closes.
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, both
20	sides having rested and closed on the issue of
21	guilt or innocence, I am going to recess you
22	until 10:00 a.m. in the morning. The Court will
23	prepare the charge for you, and in the morning
24	you will be presented the Court's charge and you
25	will hear the closing argument of counsel.

1	Let me, again, admonish you, do not make
2	any independent investigations as to any matters
3	or facts related to the case. You are not
4	going to be together, but don't deliberate or
5	discuss the case. And I don't anticipate any
6	publicity, but in the event there is, don't
7	read, view it, listen to it. And we will see
8	you here at 10 o'clock tomorrow, and at that
9	time we will present the charge and you will
10	hear the closing arguments of counsel. So you
11	are excused until 10:00 a.m. in the morning.
12	
13	(Whereupon the jury was excused and the
14	following discussion ensued.)
15	
16	THE COURT: Is there any objection to the
17	Court's proposed charge from the State?
18	MR. GUTIERREZ: No, sir.
19	THE COURT: From the Defense?
20	MR. CANTU: No, sir.
21	THE COURT: I believe you have requested a
22	lesser included offense, an instruction of
23	felony murder and memorandum of law in support
24	thereof. Do you want to be heard on this?
25	MR. CANTU: Yes, Your Honor.

1	MR. FOSHER: Judge, we would like co
2	request that that motion is request an
3	instruction be included in the charge, the
4	intoxication going to mitigate the intent to
5	commit specific excuse me going to negate
6	the intent to the specific intent of capital
7	murder, capital murder being a specific intent
8	crime.
9	THE COURT: Does the State want to respond?
10	MR. GUTIERREZ: State objects. That's
11	contrary to Texas law. It's outlined in their
12	charge, their requested charge, and we would
13	object.
14	THE COURT: I'll deny your requested
15	charge.
16	MR. FOSHER: Thank you, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Off the record.
18	
19	(Whereupon an off-the-record discussion was
20	had.)
21	
22	THE COURT: Are there any further
23	objections? I believe there was one page that
24	everyone agreed to take out regarding extraneous
25	offenses.

MR. GUTIERREZ: Page 9. 1 THE COURT: Let the record reflect the 2 parties have agreed to exclude the limiting 3 instructions on prior convicted offenses or other offenses. That is the agreement of the 5 Defense and the State? 6 MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, sir. 7 MR. CANTU: Yes, Your Honor. 8 MR. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, I do want the 9 record to reflect that the Defendant is 10 requesting --11 Is Mr. Raby back there? THE COURT: 12 No, sir. 13 MR. CANTU: THE COURT: They've already taken him back? 14 MR. CANTU: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Well, in the morning we'll get 16 on the record that he has an objection to the 17 charge, too. 18 MR. GUTIERREZ: I just would like the 19 record to reflect, Your Honor, that the Defense 20 is asking that the third theory of murder appear 21 on the charge, and if they want it, I have no 22 objection to including it, that is, committing 23 or attempting to commit a felony in the course 24 of and in furtherance of the commission or 25

attempt, et cetera, et cetera -- committing or attempting to commit an act clearly dangerous to human life. Now, we're going to have to work on the wording that you guys may want.

THE COURT: Why don't all of you work on that this afternoon and see if you can come up with an agreement and we'll include that, and that should do it.

THE STATE OF TEXAS *

*
COUNTY OF HARRIS *

I, GINA BENCH, Certified Court
Reporter for the 248th District Court of Harris
County, Texas, do hereby certify that the
foregoing pages of typewritten material contain
a true and correct transcript of all evidence
adduced and admitted at the STATEMENT OF FACTS
in the case shown in the caption hereof; that I
was present in open court and reported said
testimony in shorthand, and that later I
transcribed same into typewriting.

official signature on this the loth day of

GINA BENCH Certified Court Reporter 248th District Court Harris County, Texas

Certification Number: 221
Certification Expires: 12-31-94
Business Address: 248th District Court
301 San Jacinto
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone Number: (713) 755-7094

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS IN THE STATE OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

Appellee

vs.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Honorable Woody R. Densen, Judge Presiding

STATEMENT OF FACTS

VOLUME XXX OF X VOLUMES

ORIGINAL

GINA BENCH Certified Court Reporter Harris County, Texas FILED IN COURT OF CRIMINAL ACTURES
FEB 1 5 1995

Thomas Lowe, Clerk

1	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX	
2		
3	STATEMENT OF FACTS: JUNE 9, 1994 PAG	E
4	APPELLATE PAGE	!
5	APPEARANCES	1
6	PROCEEDINGS OF JUNE 9, 1994 424	
7		
8	JUROR AGEEL RIZVI Questioned by The Court	,
9	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	
10	By The Defense, Mr. Fosher 432	
11	By The Defense, Mr. Cantu 445 By The State, Mr. Gutierrez 462	
12	VERDICT	5
13	REPORTER'S SIGNATURE PAGE 478	3
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	i	
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

CAUSE NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE 248th DISTRICT COURT

*

vs.

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY * HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S

APPEARANCES

FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:

Mr. Roberto Gutierrez District Attorney's Office 201 Fannin Houston, Texas

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Mr. Felix Cantu
Attorney at Law
618 East 28
Houston, Texas 77008
And
Mr. Michael P. Fosher
Attorney at Law
440 Louisiana
Houston, Texas 77002

of June, 1994, the above entitled and numbered cause came for STATEMENT OF FACTS before Woody R. Densen, Judge of the 248th District Court of Harris County, Texas; and the State appearing by counsel and the Defendant appearing in person and by counsel announced ready to proceed; and all preliminaries having been disposed of, the following proceedings were had, viz:

1		JUNE 9, 1994
2		
3		THE COURT: Is there any problem with the
4		charge?
5		MR. GUTIERREZ: Not from my view, Judge.
6		THE COURT: No objections?
7		MR. FOSHER: I don't, but on the record,
8	v	we'll let Felix answer if he has any objections,
9	٤	since he's lead counsel.
10		THE COURT: On the record, is there any
11	c	objection to the charge from the State?
12		MR. GUTIERREZ: No, Your Honor. I would like
13	t	the record to reflect, Mr. Cantu and I worked at
14	t	the charge bank yesterday on the charge and we
15	ŀ	have added the third theory of murder in the
16	1	lesser included offense portion of it as well as
17	t	the definitions of voluntary and involuntary
18	п	manslaughter. That will be on Page 6, I believe.
19		MR. FOSHER: Right.
20		THE COURT: Mr. Fosher, is there any
21	c	objection?
22		MR. FOSHER: No objection, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Is there any objection from you,
24	M	Mr. Cantu, from the charge?
25		MR. CANTU: No, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: From you, Mr. Raby?
2	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: Let's bring the jury in and I'll
4	give you both I assume you're going to open and
5	close?
6	MR. GUTIERREZ: No, sir. I gave the Defense
7	notice yesterday that I was not going to open.
8	MR. FOSHER: Not real notice.
9	MR. GUTIERREZ: It was verbal.
10	THE COURT: I'll probably give you five- or
11	two-minute notice or both.
12	MR. GUTIERREZ: I would respectfully ask for
13	five and one minute, if you don't mind.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15 .	
16	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
17	box.)
18	
19	THE COURT: You may be seated. Good morning,
20	Ladies and Gentlemen. I apologize again. We're
21	splitting the courtroom, so we couldn't get to you
22	any sooner.
23	At this time I will present the Court's
24	charge to you, then you will hear the closing
25	arguments of counsel then you will retire to the

1	Jury Deliberation Room and Select one of Your
2	members as your foreperson and then you will
3	deliberate.
4	
5	(Whereupon the Court's charge was read to the
6	jury.)
7	
8	THE COURT: Mr. Gutierrez, are you waiving
9	opening statement?
10	MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, Your Honor. State would
11	like to waive its right to opening statement but
12	respectfully retain my right to close.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	Mr. Fosher, are you starting opening?
15	MR. FOSHER: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: You may proceed.
17	
18	CLOSING ARGUMENTS
19	
20	BY MR. FOSHER:
21	May it please the Court, Mr. Gutierrez.
22	
23	MR. CANTU: Your Honor, prior to Mr. Fosher
24	starting argument, may I have a word with the
25	Court in front of the hench?

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	
3	(Whereupon counsel approached the bench.)
4	
5	THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, I am going
6	to at this time excuse you to the Jury
7	Deliberation, and do not deliberate at this time.
8	I am excusing you briefly to the Jury Deliberation
9	Room.
10	
11	(Whereupon the jury was excused to the Jury
12	Deliberation Room.)
13	
14	THE COURT: Bring the juror out and we'll ask
15	him some questions.
16	(Whereupon Juror, Ageel Rizvi, was brought
17	into the courtroom.)
18	
19	THE COURT: Good morning. Would you approach
20	the bench? For the record, would you state your
21	name?
22	MR. RIZVI: Ageel Rizvi.
23	THE COURT: Ageel Rizvi, I'm trying to
24	identify you. How do you spell your last name?
25	MR. RIZVI: R-i-z-v-i.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Rizvi, of course, you're a
2	juror in this case and you were here yesterday for
3	the trial; is that right?
4	MR. RIZVI: Right.
5	THE COURT: That was on the 8th, and you
6	recall the Court released you all early. I
7	believe it was around noon; is that correct?
8	MR. RIZVI: Correct.
9	THE COURT: And what time did you leave?
10	MR. RIZVI: The building or the Court?
11	THE COURT: The Court, this floor.
12	MR. RIZVI: This floor, about a half hour
13	later.
14	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to
15	see the Defendant?
16	MR. RIZVI: Yes. I saw the Defendant by the
17	elevator.
18	THE COURT: Would you describe what you saw?
19	MR. RIZVI: I was in the hallway and I was
20	coming out and I saw the bailiff and the Defendant
21	standing there by the elevators and I saw them and
22	looked, and so I said, "Uh-oh," and kind of turned
23	my face, because obviously I sensed it was
24	something not proper for me to see in terms of the
25	procedures. So I just kind of turned around and

they walked off and I didn't see them walking off, 1 but then I just punched the elevator button for 2 the elevator and caught the elevator and headed 3 home. THE COURT: So did you see anything further 5 than the elevator and them? 6 7 MR. RIZVI: No. THE COURT: Let me ask you, because of that, 8 does that in any way in your mind create any presumption of guilt or would that in any way 10 prejudice you against the Defendant? 11 12 MR. RIZVI: No. THE COURT: The bottom line is, would it 13 affect you in any way? Has it changed anything 14 that you said or have done prior to being chosen 15 as a juror? 16 MR. RIZVI: No. All I saw was the 17 Defendant -- I couldn't see the Defendant very 18 well because his body was -- he was in front, and 19 all I saw was his head. He was kind of looking 20 that way, and that's all I saw. And the minute I 21 22 saw his facial expression, I just knew whatever it

23

24

25

was, so I just changed and turned my head the

other way.

said it already wouldn't affect you, but you swear 1 and promise that you wouldn't hold it against the Defendant in any way on deliberations on the 3 guilt/innocence or punishment if you got to that part of the trial? 5 MR. RIZVI: Absolutely. 6 MR. GUTIERREZ: The State would simply ask 7 the Court to instruct the juror not to mention 8 this incident to the rest of the jurors. 9 THE COURT: Furthermore, don't mention it to 10 the other jurors. Don't discuss it with them. 11 Anything from either attorney? 12 MR. GUTIERREZ: Nothing from the State. I 13 just want the record to reflect that when the 14 juror was pointing to the individual who was with 15 the Defendant, he was referring to Deputy R.F. 16 Rosenkranz. That's spelled R-o-s-e-n-k-r-a-n-z. 17 MR. RIZVI: Can I add something more to that? 18 MR. CANTU: Sure. 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 21 MR. RIZVI: How it all transpired is that he was escorting us out. I had a cellular phone in 22 my briefcase and it rang, so I answered the phone 23 and sat down on the bench over there. As I was 24

sitting down on the bench, Mr. Prosecutor came out

25

1	of the courtroom and
2	MR. GUTIERREZ: For the record, I was going
3	to the rest room at the time.
4	MR. RIZVI: He came out of the courtroom, so
5	I just wanted to be sure nobody that I would
6	not land up hearing any conversation, because I
7	know there are families and witnesses out there
8	that I would not accidentally overhear any portion
9	of the conversation. I walked away, to the end of
10	the hallway, away from where I could hear or
11	understand anything they were saying, again,
12	recognizing the protection that the Defendant
13	needs.
14	THE COURT: Very good.
15	Any questions or any matters of Mr. Rizvi?
16	MR. GUTIERREZ: Nothing from the State.
17	THE COURT: You may join the other jurors at
18	this time.
19	MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Let's take about a two-minute
21	recess since the jury is back there.
22	
23	(A recess.)
24	
25	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury

box.) 1 2 3 4 5

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Mr. Fosher, you may proceed.

6

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. FOSHER

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

May it please the Court, Mr. Gutierrez, Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury.

First of all, I would like to apologize for the way I look. When we picked the jury on voir dire I didn't look like this. In February I fell and hurt my ribs and ended up having medical problems, so this last weekend was real tough and so I ended up getting this collar, which is very uncomfortable, very hot and makes me sweat and everything. So I apologize for my appearance.

First of all, I would like to speak to you for a few minutes and then Mr. Cantu will speak to you. What I say to you, what Mr. Cantu says to you and what Mr. Gutierrez says to you is not evidence. We're just telling you what we think that the evidence shows you, what the facts really are, what we believe the facts really are and what are the reasonable

inferences you can develop from those facts.

Now, the State has in all criminal cases, as you understood on voir dire, the burden of proof in all cases. Mr. Raby doesn't have to do anything. The Defense never has to prove their innocence. The burden is completely on the State.

Now, the State, they have offered you a theory of this case, their theory of what they believe the facts are. They have alleged three different types of ways that they think this crime occurred.

They claim in one, there was a murder, there was a robbery, there was an aggravated sexual assault, which in the normal term or common term is rape, but in the Penal Code we call it aggravated sexual assault, or that there was a burglary. Those are theories that the State has brought to you, because they're trying -- what they're saying here, they're saying there was a murder. But this was not only a murder. That one of these other crimes was committed or attempted to be committed and this brought this -- elevated this case to what we call a capital murder case, which changes the

range of punishment.

Now, the State has claimed that this is a capital murder case, and they have done this -they're claiming that, one, either he committed a robbery and he was attempting to -- or attempting to commit a robbery. Now, attempt is defined for you on the first page of the jury charge. It says, "Attempt to commit an offense occurs if with specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends but fails to effect the commission of the offense intended."

Now, you can't just automatically assume that happened. Well, gee, there was a killing. And we admit -- you can look at the pictures, it was a brutal killing, and we're sorry for that, but the point is here, that is not just was there a killing but does this amount to a capital murder?

Now, first off, the State has alleged, and they chose the types of evidence, types of theories they wanted to present to you. They made a choice. They chose robbery. Now, was anything taken? There's no evidence of anything taken. Eric Benge said nothing was taken.

Is there an attempt here? Is there an attempt? They're claiming that the stuff strewn around the house, that the purse on the bed or the purse on the floor, the credit cards, whatever, there had to be a robbery. I mean, there was nothing there. Now, if there was a robbery, if there was an attempt, wouldn't there be some evidence of that? Wouldn't they have some fingerprints? Something? I mean, these experts get on the stand.

officer Sheldon went through detail, everything, checked everything. He said this house was very messy, very unkept, that it was hard to tell what was normal, what was abnormal. They couldn't find any fingerprints. If Mr. Raby was trying to rob Ms. Franklin and if he went through that purse, wouldn't they have found something with his fingerprints on there? Wouldn't they have found something that would identify him to that? No, they didn't. They admitted, they said, "We didn't find anything, and it's not unusual for us to not find that evidence to link the person to the crime."

Well, if they don't, you can't hold that against Mr. Raby. So I submit to you that the State

has not proven to you that he committed a robbery or that there was an attempt to commit a robbery.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the second allegation, they claim, is an aggravated sexual assault. And I'm sure Mr. Gutierrez will show you these panties. were panties found next to the victim. You can look at the pictures. Now, he's going to say that it's obvious those pictures show that panties had been torn off the victim and Mr. Raby tried to rape her. That's one thing that could happen. But there's other logical conclusions from that. If you look at the pictures, if you look how unkept the house was, that there was dirty laundry around, laundry spread out all over. Eric testified that when he came in, he ran into his grandmother, the body, what did he think, the first thing? "Lee has left clothing in the house, on the floor." So it's not unusual for there to be clothing. And it could be a coincident that those panties just happened to be there. Because I'm sure there was a struggle, there was a struggle throughout this house, and that just may have been where the body ended up.

Then they bring in an expert. Well, gee, they had to be torn. They had to be torn. Panties can be torn. They could have been torn by Ms. Franklin, they could have been torn by washing, they could have got snagged. There's other ways. There's nothing to show that Mr. Raby touched them.

If Mr. Raby was raping this woman and he was stabbing her and blood was everywhere, why wasn't there blood on those panties? Why wasn't there Mr. Raby's blood? Why wasn't there some blood found on those panties? Why wasn't there any hairs, any pubic hairs, any hairs of Mr. Raby found to show that he was attacking this woman sexually? Because there isn't any.

The medical examiner testified there was no indication of sexual assault. He's the expert. He's the one who did the autopsy. No indication of sexual assault. There was no abrasions, no bruises on her body, no pubic hairs from Mr. Raby, no penetration. Granted, she didn't have any underwear on. It's not unusual for people to be in their home and may not have underwear on. And if they happen to struggle with somebody and the dress she had on or long shirt

or whatever, could have gotten pushed up.

I'm telling you, you just can't assume that a person has committed a crime without valid proof. I submit to you there's not valid proof that he committed an aggravated sexual assault.

Now, the State would have loved to have some DNA samples, samples of semen, something to show that there was a sexual assault, but they didn't have any of that. You can't say, "Well, we're sorry, State, we're just going to agree with you anyway." You can't do that. You have a solemn oath. Your oath is to follow the law. The charge says you are to decide this case beyond proof of each element — beyond proof — beyond proof beyond a reasonable doubt as to each element.

They're alleging there was a robbery, they're alleging there was a sexual assault, and that doesn't buy. Now they're alleging there was a burglary. Well, there's a burglary, forced entry, meaning that they're claiming the house was locked. If you look at Mr. Raby's statement, he said he went in the front door. It was unlocked. If he had forced his way in, there would have been some indication.

officer Sheldon testified that the
screen -- he couldn't tell when that was torn.

He said everything was old. The pry marks were
old. There was no forced entry into this home.

It's probably true what he said in his confession, that he went in the front door. He went in the front door and he sat down. And what happened after that, maybe he doesn't even really know.

so here's another point. The State wants you to accept the parts in Mr. Raby's confession, Exhibit 98, which helped their case, but disregard everything else. They want you to say, "Well, gee, agree and accept he admits he killed this woman. Accept that. But Mr. Raby doesn't admit that he committed a robbery, he doesn't admit he committed a sexual assault, he doesn't admit he committed a burglary. Well, then he must be lying about everything else."

Look at what all he drank. He had drank beer, whiskey, Mad Dog Wine. He could have had a blackout; he couldn't remember what happened. That doesn't mean the person committed a capital offense. In other words, he did not commit murder along with committing the other criminal

act, the other felony.

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the burglary, if he would have broke in, there would have been some type of forced entry. If he had unlocked the door, they would have found his fingerprints. I mean, nobody claimed this guy had gloves on or anything. They would have found something if he would have done that. The door was probably open and he just went in. There was no forced entry.

I mean, the State has the burden here. It's not your job just to say, "Well, hey, there was a killing, so, man, this person deserves the maximum punishment" or "We're going to find him guilty of everything we can because there was a killing." That is not your job. That's not the way the system is based on. It's based on, did the State prove its case to you beyond a reasonable doubt as to each element. Not only that there was a murder, but this other crime was committed or there was an attempt to commit And they've got to provide proof of that. it. They just can't pull it out of the air and say, "Well, we think this happened." But here these witnesses get up and testify, "We didn't find anything and it's not unusual for us not to find anything." One guy said 85 percent we can't get any prints. One said five percent -- only five percent can we use. So that happens. So what are you supposed to do? You're supposed to hold that against Mr. Raby. That's not right.

That's not right. That's not justice. That's not determining the truth. The truth is, the State didn't prove this to you beyond a reasonable doubt as to each element. I submit that they haven't. They haven't proven the burglary, they haven't proven the robbery, they haven't proven the aggravated sexual assault.

Now, as I said on the confession, they want you to believe parts of that. And you heard that on voir dire: a person will admit parts of things but then they don't admit the rest of it. Read his confession. Go over it. He says, "I was intoxicated" and he says he killed this woman, but there's no evidence of the other felony. There's no evidence of that. The State wants you to assume stuff that they can't prove. They want you to look at these pictures. And they are gruesome pictures. I mean, any person being killed is gruesome. And this one is gruesome. I admit that, and I'm sorry, and

we're all sorry. But your job here is to decide the case on the facts, and the State has to prove to you that he did this with the other felony. It's not just claiming that they make a statement, pulling it out of the air that he did this other crime.

Now, what happened out there, I don't know if anybody can tell you what really happened completely. We know that Ms. Franklin was killed and Mr. Raby has admitted killing her. We know that. But whether he committed it, there's a big question of whether he attempted to commit either the burglary, sexual assault or the robbery.

Now, the State wants you to believe that this was a capital murder because it was a brutal killing. That raises it to the level of capital murder. But they can't do that. They have to provide proof of the other felony. I submit to you, they have not done that.

Now, they must prove their case to you by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Officer Sheldon, the print man, he collected the prints. Officer Stephens collected the hair. He found the hair at the autopsy from her hand. And

Officer Hilleman, that was a hair comparison. All said it was not unusual to not find any evidence which would link a person to a crime. I mean, why would they come in here and say that? Because they didn't find any. If they had found something, do you think they would have come in here and said, "Well, it's very unusual for us to find evidence that links somebody to a crime"? I mean, use your common sense. But when they come here and say they don't, it's because they didn't find anything. They just don't want to face it; they don't have it. They don't have the evidence to prove their case, that this person committed the other crime or attempted to commit this other crime.

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: You have about five minutes. MR. FOSHER: Thank you, Judge. I'm just about through.

I submit to you, look at the jury charge, 20 21 look at Page 10 on reasonable doubt. reasonable doubt is a doubt based on reason and 22 23 common sense after a careful and impartial 24 consideration of all of the evidence in the It is the kind of doubt that would make 25

important of his own affairs. Proof beyond a 2 reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of 3 such a convincing character, that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without 5 hesitation in the most important of your own 6 affairs." 7 What I'm saying here, what I am submitting 8 to you, is that the State has proved there was 9 a killing, they have proved that Mr. Raby 10 committed this killing, but they have not proved 11 to you beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Raby 12 13 either committed or attempted to commit the robbery, the aggravated sexual assault or the 14 burglary. And I submit to you, after reviewing 15 all the evidence and on your sworn oath, I think 16 17 if you consider the evidence in all its light and everything, that you return a verdict of the 18 19 lesser included offense of murder. And I thank 20 you for your attention. 21 22 Mr. Cantu. THE COURT:

MR. CANTU:

Thank you.

a reasonable person hesitate to act in the most

23

1

25

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. CANTU

Your Honor, Mr. Fosher, Mr. Gutierrez.

Well, we have had what is it, four days of testimony? Some of it interesting, some not.

Some of it revealing, some not so. But what we do have, of course, is a confession. We have a confession given and, of course, let me just say that what I say are deductions that I concluded, are observations that I have made. As Mr.

Fosher said, what I say, of course, is not evidence and don't take it as such, but take it as I would hope reasonable deductions from what I have heard and from what I have seen.

Based on that, Mr. Bellas gets up here.

He's the first witness. He's a fellow -- he's

the medical examiner, the doctor. And he talks

about the examination and what he concludes or

what he observes is multiple cuts, 16, 17 cuts,

stab wounds, on the body. And you all recall

that he was quizzed on that, and he indicated

that those cuts started about the midline, upper

part of the body, and they travelled to the

side, and there were two distinctive cuts in the

lower part of Mrs. Franklin's back. And my

24

25

deduction, my observation, is that this is some indication of a struggle, a person struggling, started being cut on the top portion of the body, the torso, and as they were either being turned or turning themselves -- we obviously don't know, but just think about it. will recall -- if I may take my coat off -- the stabbing, the cuts started right about here. course, we grant there were cuts of the neck, and we don't know what the sequence of events But we do know there were cuts here, and were. the cuts go from the top, middle of the top, all the way to the back. And we do know that the body is found in the living area, a few steps from the door, very near the table. And, of course, we know that she got some bruises on the head. The doctor said that. And that could have been from any number of things, but we know that he concluded that it was a flat object, could have been a table, a floor, could have been any number of things. It wasn't, obviously, a knife. He wasn't saying that. it was something other than that. Something flat, something that caused a bruise.

The real point of that is, the real point

of this observation, were the multiple wounds, in almost a circular fashion around the body. And also another point, another observation he made, the wounds, the stabs, were of such an intensity, such an intensity, that it broke the ribs of Mrs. Franklin. And I don't say that to talk about the gruesomeness, but I want to say that because it indicates the level of intensity, the level of just the madness and the craziness of that moment for somebody to stab another person. Not only to stab, penetrate the skin, but to stab hard enough to break the ribs.

And we do know where the body ended. We know that the body was at the time it was first observed, Mrs. Franklin's body, it was facing down with her legs spread eagle, with her feet, toes, partially towards the floor and the heels partially up. We know that the left arm was kind of over her body, with her head down towards the floor, the carpet area.

And he says, Dr. Bellas says on direct, and I know for sure on cross, "There's no sexual assault here. I didn't find anything. I searched. I have been a doctor of many years, working for Harris County, doing this type of

work. I searched and I found none."

What he didn't say but what should even be clearer to you, as you think about that, is that he didn't say, "Well, I found bruises on the arm, indicating a struggle of someone being held down in this intense moment. Arms being held, bruises on the arms as they were held down, bruises on the legs as they were spread open and held there. Someone struggling." He didn't say that, did he? Because it didn't occur. It did not occur.

And there's no evidence of an attempt of a sexual assault. You don't hear that from Dr. Bellas. The fact that he doesn't say that someone attempted, tried to hold another person down, a female person, Mrs. Franklin, the fact that he didn't say that, just to hold her down, to lead you past the assault and into the sexual arena, the fact that he doesn't say it, you can only conclude one thing from that: that it didn't occur, that aspect, that theory.

It would be argument, of course, to be made, well, Mrs. Franklin's photos are of a woman laying on the ground, cut, stabbed, her body there, her upper garment twisted up,

bloody, twisted up around her waist, beyond her hips.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One detective -- and I forget who it is, but you all can get together and identify the person -- one chemist -- and they're all fine individuals, fine scientists that have been selected by the Houston Police Department to testify, and they have testified on many occasions. But one detective, one chemist says, "I examined the garment. I examined the panties." That chemist said, "That elastic was broken." That chemist, with years of experience, years of time of testifying, can only say that. Could not conclude beyond that, because there is no conclusion beyond that. pants that they photographed, blue pants -- and I forget where they are. Let me see if I can find them quickly. I believe these are in evidence. These are elasticized pants. State would say that they belong to Mrs. Franklin. I don't know that they do or they don't. They were obviously in her home. pants were found near a wall in that same room as many other things, many objects or personal items could have been found there. These were

found there and nothing more. There seems to be
something here, grass or something, but this
doesn't give you a clue as to what occurred.
This could possibly inflame your mind, but it
doesn't give you a clue to make that conclusion,
that logical conclusion, that takes you beyond
the assault and beyond the death of Mrs.
Franklin.

And soon thereafter, Eric Benge gets there, arrives. And, of course, Mr. Fosher said that the body of Mrs. Franklin is found, and they take photos. Later, I think after Mr. Benge's assistance, and they take photographs of a window. And many witnesses testified to a window.

Mr. Benge tells you, of course, he concludes someone entered into that window, that freshly painted window, freshly painted white, freshly painted screen. What do we know about that window? That window might be open or not. He doesn't know that it can be open. He does know it was freshly painted. You have pictures of that. You can look at the pictures. You recall that I pointed out nails or what looks like to be nails on top of the window. I point

this out only to tell you, to reiterate your observation and deduction, that this window could not have possibly been open, or at least Eric Benge did not know whether it could be open or not. We know it was closed when everyone got there. We know that no one says that they handled the window and they concluded that window could be opened.

Detective Allen, who was in charge, and I think he's got 22 years, two decades, over two decades of experience, and he's a fair, reasonable man. He didn't come in here and tell you that that was an observation made and that he further the investigation by looking at that piece of evidence, that possibility of a point of entry. He didn't tell you that. He did not tell you that.

They do have a picture of a closed window with a screen. They do have a picture of a screwdriver that belongs to Eric Benge. I would conclude, I would deduct from that, that whoever painted the house left the screwdriver there.

You can look at the window. You can tell there are no scrapings. There has been no scrapings.

No one has testified there was dust knocked off

the window. We know it was very dusty. No dust was knocked off. There's no point of entry. No one came in here and said, "You know, we looked at those windows as police officers, with many, many years of experience, and what did we find? We can't find fingerprints. No, we can't find fingerprints. And I wish we could, because it would be much easier. But we did find dust knocked off the windows. We didn't find smudges on the window to indicate entry." There is no entry through the window. There's no such testimony about entry through the window. So what do we have? We go back to the 19th of October, 1992, when Charles made a confession: entry through the door. How do we know that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Eric Benge says what? "When I left, I left the puppy dogs in the back yard. When I arrived, the puppy dogs were in the front yard." What does that tell you? And he said what? The doors were closed. And he believed what? That they might have been locked. He doesn't know that, because he wasn't there to determine that, but he believes that.

The doors will tell you they were open if

those dogs got from the back of the yard to the front. No one says all three of them hopped over a fence. And we know that the door was open, the back door, because he said it was open. And the dogs were in the front, and he came in through the front door, and the front door was partially closed, then what can you deduct from that? The dogs came from the back through the front. Two points of entry and egression, right? That's all that it can be.

Property. We have got a place in some disarray. These two boys take care of their grandma, and not very well. We go in the area where she sleeps. She sleeps on a trundle bed. A 72-year-old woman that weighs 72 pounds sleeps on a trundle bed. Her life belongings in that room. We find Eric Benge says he put the purse on her bed after he found his grandmother dead in the living area. Things come out. He sees things, but what does he really say? "Nothing was stolen. Nothing's been taken. We do not know of anything taken." We know that nothing's been spent that belongs to Mrs. Franklin. We know the credit cards have not been spent. We know all of this?

Because no one says they have been spent, so you can't conclude that they were, right? The easiest thing would be, "Someone took that credit card that afternoon and used it." That evidence isn't here.

Detective Allen, 18 years of experience.

He says it's consistent. He was talking about the consistency of the sexual assault. What consistent sexual assault? Well, consistent, his impression, that it must be a woman and she must be nude. If you have those, then it's consistent with sexual assault. Possibly. I wouldn't argue with that. But what would be more consistent with sexual assault? All those elements that I talked about.

We know that in the backs of people's minds people do many awful and horrible things, that we have seen people paralyzed, cut up, we read it, we see it, and we wonder why. And you can take that and you see bodies of poor women, how people devastate the body, and you wonder why.

I submit that if every time you found a dead person that was nude, you couldn't conclude that. You would have to ask for more. That would be the sound and reasonable thing to ask.

22

23

24

25

1

He also indicated or spoke about the consistency of burglaries. Okay, he spoke specifically. He said that the screen was dislodged. Well, the screen is obviously pulled from the main window frame, but if you look at the evidence, and you have got these pictures here, it doesn't show to the eye there's any scratches or pry marks from the window that would indicate that. It doesn't indicate there's been entry through the window. And you have got all those photos. Take them back. See if you can find scratches on the window or pries on the panes. Look for that. I would submit the person entering a window that's very dusty would leave something behind, something that would indicate an entry through that point.

Fresh wood chips. I think we found -- you all got the photo, and I think I have shown it until ad nauseam. There's the screwdriver, the closeup, the screwdriver and the shot kind of taken at an angle, and you can see beyond on the other side of the screen, you can see the screwdriver and you can see a little object. I believe that's what he's talking about. We don't have it here. I believe that's what he

might be talking about, a chip of wood. I don't know. I haven't seen it, but maybe that's it.

They have got years of experience. Police officers have testified and said, "You know, we looked at that bed. We took photos of the bed, but there's nothing there. There's nothing there on that mattress." There's nothing there to indicate that there was a burglary or an attempt to enter through that point. There's nothing there.

And we know about the hair found in Mrs. Franklin's hand. We know that. One of the officers went to the autopsy, and that followed a chain of custody and it ended up in a noted chemist's hands and they determined, after doing the analysis and comparisons, that it belonged to Eric and one of the three dogs that were there. That's not evidence. I mean, I don't ask you to disregard anything, but just think about it. I mean, that's fine, it's good you want to know what all the circumstances are, but the one we grasp, I would assume the ground, the floor, and that's what she came up with. That doesn't say anything in my mind.

THE COURT: Excuse me. You have five 1 2 minutes, Mr. Cantu. MR. CANTU: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 4 Charles is arrested on the 19th. But on 5 the 16th, I believe, the following day, they get 6 to one of the houses. We know where the houses 7 It's either Charles' residence, and they 8 don't find Charles there. Charles' female 9 friend, Mary Gomez, is not there. Or the Reid 10 Street -- they talked about going to three 11 12 different places. On the 16th, that morning, that afternoon, 13 14 they get this jacket. They give it to the chemist. The chemist goes through this jacket 15 looking for the evidence, as they ought to. And 16 they find no evidence. They find no evidence of 17 hairs, wood chips, screwdrivers. 18 19 nothing in this jacket, on this jacket, near 20 this jacket. 21 Charles, on the 19th of October, gets 22 arrested. Eleven o'clock in the morning, at 706 23 Reid, and he's driven by Officer Allen, along

24

25

with Mary, along with some other police officers

that are there. They're all escorted to the

police station. We can assume that takes from the address on Reid Street, which I believe they said was off the 610 Loop, and they drove from there to town, we can assume that it took anywhere from five minutes to fifteen minutes depending on the range of speed. We can assume then when he got there, it took a few minutes to park the car, to enter into the Homicide Division. Let's assume that happens all in about 30 minutes. We're into about 11:30.

At 12:00, I believe -- and I'm hurried now, but at 12:00 he signs a document that indicates that he's going to make a confession. He and Officer Allen get along and Charles wants to get this off his chest, and then he makes a confession. He makes a confession and he signs it an hour later, after everything is done. He signs it, it's been done, typed, gone through the computer. It's done.

Now, people might say, "Well, he had time to reflect on that. He had time to think about what he was going to say." And then on the other hand, "Yeah, he sure was dumb. He made a confession. There's no evidence." But he wanted to get it off his chest. That's what it

was.

1

2 You have seen this young fellow. You have seen him sit here beside me for the last four 3 You have seen him -- as a matter of fact, you have seen him longer than that. All 5 of you all sat and listened to Mr. Gutierrez and 6 7 myself talk to you about the promise that you had to make and your answers were that you would 8 fulfill your obligations as jurors, that you 9 would do whatever was required by law and 10 whatever the guidelines of the Court dictated, 11 that you would follow those. You would not 12 13 divert from that. You would not forget the rights, not only of the victim but the accused, 14 15 and all accused and all victims, because they're all our rights and we're all victims. And when 16 17 people don't do right, when we think, "Well, we as people really need to hammer those," we have 18 to hold ourselves and say, "This is the United 19 States of America. We have rights for all. 20 21 have responsibilities. If a person fails in 22 their responsibility, then they must be tried, 23 and they must be tried under certain guidelines 24 so that it's fair, decent, truthful and 25 impartial." And once we hear all the evidence,

21

22

23

24

25

being all of that, all of that that you promised me then, and I know that you promise me now, after listening to all of that, based on those promises, based on the guidelines of the Court, you can only conclude one thing, that at 11 o'clock or 11:30 or 12:00 noon on the 19th of October, three days later, four days later, Charles Raby made a confession. He made a confession about a very horrible thing that he had done. He made a confession about doing something to a lady that he had known almost all his life. Known the boys all his life. Lived there in that community. Known everybody in that area. Known, I think, Mrs. Wright. think a lot of people knew him in that area, older people. Older people came in here and said, "We all knew him. We saw him, as a matter of fact. We saw him in the area, intoxicated, smelling of alcohol."

THE COURT: Excuse me. You have run out of time, Mr. Cantu.

MR. CANTU: I want to thank everyone for listening to me. Mr. Gutierrez will speak next. We have no opportunity to speak after this.

25

This is the last opportunity. I know I have forgotten something. I invariably always forget something, and it's always the most important thing that I should have said and I never say it. I don't know why. But it happens. ask all of you all, you all heard the evidence, you all are reasonable, decent, kind human beings. Take all the evidence, all the photos, look at it, take all the demonstrative evidence and make your conclusion based on the evidence And if you do that, you look at all the alone. evidence that's been given to you and make those reasonable conclusions that you have, because all of you all are real people of common sense, and you can conclude only one thing, that Charles made a confession, confessed to a horrible thing that he did on the 16th of October. And we can't search the depth of his mind today or tomorrow. Maybe a psychiatrist can. Who knows why people do the things they do to each other. Who knows. Maybe they can't be articulated. Maybe they're so far deep in the recesses of a person's mind and soul and heart, that we don't know. But all we do know is what occurred. We have the evidence, and I

know you will make a conclusion and I think you will conclude with us is that the truth is that Charles Raby killed Mrs. Franklin and nothing more.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Gutierrez.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. GUTIERREZ

If it please the Court, Mr. Cantu, Mr. Fosher, Ladies and Gentlemen.

I'm real sorry that I can't give you a blow-by-blow account of everything Charles Raby did to that poor little old lady. We have a saying in Spanish, and it applies to this case. It says that people don't talk. And, you know, I'm real sorry we can't do that, you see, Charles Raby made sure she couldn't come here and tell you what happened. And as I listened to the arguments of counsel, I started thinking, you know, is it any wonder -- is it any wonder that a person who would attack a helpless, fragile, arthritic little old lady and stab her as many times as he did, brutalize, slit her throat, ripped her clothes off, ripped her

panties, anyone who would do something so cowardly, is it any wonder that when he runs, that he is silent after he runs? He doesn't go to the police. He isn't filled with remorse. When he gets the call that the police are coming, when he gets that call from his mother, he flees, indicating guilty knowledge. Is it any wonder that that type of coward would not fess up to all the details of his confession to the police? Of course not.

You know, you look at his confession and counsel -- both of them -- talked about how he confessed to the murder. You look at that confession. He doesn't confess to much about what he did to her. He speaks in vague terms about he struggles with her and he realizes he has the knife in his hand. That he runs away and he realizes his hands are covered with blood. And then the next day, he realizes that he has killed her.

Well, folks, there's no law that requires you to swallow every bit of that lie.

Thankfully you can look at the physical evidence and look at the way her pants were ripped off, her panties were ripped off. What is that

consistent with? It is consistent with humiliation and degradation of a man who wants to sexually assault a woman. It wasn't enough for him to kill her, but before he did so, he had to degrade her and humiliate her, and all that's part of a sexual assault. And that's common knowledge.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Defense counsel wants you to say, "Well, you know, he didn't give you all those details when he confessed, so find him guilty. Reward him with a lesser included offense of murder." Now, I'm absolutely in total agreement, a brutal crime does not a capital murder case make. But, folks, this wonderful law that is in our charge ain't worth spit if you don't make it work. And if you don't give someone the label of a capital murder when he deserves it based on the evidence, then what are you saying? That Charles Raby and other people like him, "Buster, you did good. You did damn good. You slit that little old lady's throat. You threw away the murder weapon. And because the jury believed everything you said, or at least didn't want to look at the other evidence that was there, you get off with a lesser

crime and a lesser sentence." Boy, ain't that a good signal to send out. Well, I don't think you'll do that, because there's one thing both sides agree on, that each one of you is an honest person, intellectually honest, and whether you all realized it or not at the time, I felt that each one of you had the intestinal fortitude to make the right decision based on the evidence.

You are blessed in this case. There's no argument, have you got the right guy. There isn't. And if you ignore all the evidence at the scene and you swallow only what the Defense wants you to, then you have got a murder. But what about everything else?

What all this argument meant about, did he go in through the front door? Did he go in through the window? If you look at the charge, it ain't important, folks. It doesn't matter whether he went in through a window or the front door. How do you know that? Well, I feel as a prosecutor I've got a duty to present the evidence as the witnesses perceive it. At the time they felt the point of entry, Eric Benge, who had been there before, was through the

window. The police investigated that part. And
I brought those witnesses in to show you that at
least they tried.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And why all this evidence about, "Well, it's not unusual to find it"? Because, folks, we are all children of the television generation, and if you watch enough Quincys and Matlocks, some folks on some juries might think that any time you touch something, there's going to be a fingerprint, and some folks might expect us to bring DNA in all cases, and that just ain't realistic. It isn't. But don't you know that if I brought you evidence that there were fingerprints anyhow, these two fine lawyers would have been arguing, "Well, it doesn't matter, because Charles Raby had been in the house." It wouldn't matter. Fingerprints didn't matter, but, you see, the police officers told you they didn't even find fingerprints of the grandsons who lived there every day or the lady who died in the house. Did that mean she never lived there? Of course not.

So what are we left with? With the physical evidence. And look at the pictures. What's it consistent with?

Let's talk about the charge very briefly.

You'll see about 12 pages. The last page is

what we call the verdict form. Hereafter you

select your foreperson, you are to sign it

according to what your unanimous verdict is.

The first one says, "We, the Jury, find the Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby, not guilty." I submit based on the evidence that would be outrageous. I have X'd it out. That's not the one you want to sign.

The third one says, "We, the Jury, find the Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby, guilty of murder." That I submit would be a gross miscarriage of justice, and if you're going to do that one, if you're going to find him guilty of a lesser offense based on the evidence, hey, just let him go. Find him not guilty, because it would be so outrageous based on the evidence. And I don't mean to insult you all, but, folks, there's one beautiful — two words in the charge, and the page before that that talks about what a reasonable doubt is. Two beautiful words: common sense. Use your common sense. This is a simple case. Once you find him guilty of capital murder, then you are to please

sign that part where it says "Foreperson".

Now, let's talk about the charge. In here you will find all of the law that is pertinent to this case. Please don't let it mislead you. It's real, real simple. In the first page you will also hear some of the same things we have been talking about in voir dire. What is capital murder? Well, we talked to you in the beginning about what I had to prove. I had to prove one of three theories of capital murder. Let's find out if I did that.

We have to prove that this occurred while the Defendant was either committing or attempting to commit one of those three felonies. There doesn't have to be any penetration. There doesn't have to be any semen.

Now, I know counsel for the Defense was quoting the medical examiner and saying something about, "Well, I examined it and there was no sexual assault here." Boy, maybe we were on different channels, but that sounded like a totally different program than what I was listening to when I was sitting here. I remember the medical examiner saying that it is

not uncommon in a sexual assault to not find any semen or any trauma. He did say he found nothing, no semen, no trauma. Fine, that doesn't mean a sexual assault didn't take place. That also doesn't mean that I have to prove to you that it actually took place. It's very easy to conclude what the Defendant was trying to do with her while he ripped her pants off, when he ripped her panties off.

One of the attorneys said, well, maybe they were being washed or something. They're in evidence. You look at them. You decide if they're clean panties or not. I mean, look at the pictures and how they were found. Of course they're her panties. They sure ain't Eric's, they sure ain't Lee's, and those pants don't fit either one of those boys, because they're like giants. And you can see those pants lying next to her.

So while in the course of committing a robbery, Eric Benge testified, "I know she kept some money. I don't know if anything was taken." Again, we are at the mercy of the people who were there. One of them is dead, the other one confessed to the police but didn't

tell the whole truth. Now, can you imagine where we would be in our society if we had to prove property was taken in order to prove there was a robbery that occurred? That means people could go in and kill ten people in a bank and leave, and if nothing was taken, then we couldn't prosecute them. Now, that's ridiculous. Nothing has to be taken. The theft does not have to be complete.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eric told you that when he came home, her belongings were strewn all over the place. He picked them up. If that's not a reasonable deduction that someone is looking through a purse for something, I don't know what is. And I don't know about you gentlemen, but when I go looking for my underwear, if it please the members of the jury, in the morning and I rummage through the drawers, I mean, I'm rummaging through the drawers because I'm looking for them. And that's what the evidence is consistent with when those drawers were open. He looked for something. We don't know what. It doesn't matter if he found anything or not. It doesn't matter if we can prove anything was taken or not, because the evidence shows that he looked for something, to try and take something.

And that's what's important.

Now, let's talk about that window versus that door. The State has to prove burglary of a habitation. On Page 2 you will see the definition, that our law provides that a person commits or attempts to commit a burglary of a habitation if without the effective consent of the owner he enters the habitation.

Now, let's go back a few days. The

Defendant had notice that he was to leave and

not come back. That's what the evidence shows

of the testimony of Lee Rose. Edna Franklin ran

him off her property. She said she didn't want

him there. That's notice. He is not to come

back or in.

He entered the habitation with intent to commit a felony or theft. It doesn't matter if he came in through the window. It doesn't matter if he came in through the front door. If he entered with intent to commit a felony or aggravated assault or theft or whatever, he is guilty of the burglary of a habitation, whether any theft occurs or not.

The second possibility for a burglary of a

habitation, if he remains concealed with intent to commit a felony or theft. And the third theory is, if he enters a habitation and commits or attempts to commit a felony or a theft.

So even if you believe his confession, that he walked in the front door and sat down, if you believe that he also, after he entered, formed the intent to commit theft at some point, at any point, that's part of the burden, and I submit we have proven each and every element of each and every paragraph of each and every theory in this case if you use common sense and if you don't put on blinders and ignore it.

And I submit that it would be an injustice if you ignore all that.

Now, going on to the third part, third page of the definition of aggravated sexual assault. One thing I do want to emphasize, there is no question, based on the physical evidence, that the Defendant intended to kill the complaining witness. Just look where the wounds are. But for a capital murder, that's what we have to prove. So on Page 4 it has certain definitions at the top: intentionally and knowingly. Page No. 5 is what contains what we call the charging

paragraph. Now, the charging paragraph starts with the word "Now." It is the paragraph that will allow you to convict the Defendant of capital murder. Basically it says that if you believe the State proves one theory or another and he intentionally killed the Complainant, then you will find him guilty of capital murder. Now, that's the larger charge.

Page No. 6, underneath that, it will talk
to you about, if you have a reasonable doubt
about whether or not he's guilty of capital
murder, consider the lesser included offense of
murder. Now, don't be confused just because the
elements are meant for murder in some of these
different theories. Of course we're saying he
killed her. Of course we're saying he intended
to kill her, but he just didn't intend to do
it. Those are three theories set out in Page
No. 6.

The third theory might seem a little confusing. It talks about while in the course of committing a felony. Those cases involve a situation where a person is committing one felony and does not necessarily intend to kill someone but commits an act clearly dangerous to

human life. We have all that, but we have more. We have a higher culpable mental state in this case.

There's talk about sudden passion,
involuntary manslaughter. Those are just things
that are mentioned in the third theory of
murder. Don't be confused by them. Read
through them. It's really fairly simple.

so what do we have? The bottom line is, it's a simple case and all you've got to do is go back there, review the evidence, obviously take your time, read the charge, but for God's sake, don't ignore the evidence as the Defense is asking you to do. Look at it. And there's no doubt, I submit, based on the evidence, that the Defendant killed her. And what you have got to decide is whether or not the State has proven the rest of the theories or one of the theories to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt. After careful consideration and honest consideration of the evidence, I submit you will promptly return with a verdict of capital murder. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, because

1	of the hour, I am going to recess you for
2	lunch. Now, listen carefully. Of course you
3	are not to separate under any circumstances.
4	The bailiff will accompany you to lunch. Do not
5	deliberate during your lunch break. Enjoy your
6	lunch. When you come back, when all twelve of
7	you are in the Jury Deliberation Room, select
8	one of your members as your foreperson and then
9	you will deliberate until you have reached a
10	unanimous verdict. You can work briefly while
11	they're preparing for your lunch, but it will
12	probably be shortly before they take you out.
13	You are excused to the Jury Deliberation Room at
14	this time.
15	
16	(Whereupon a lunch recess was had.)
17	(Whereupon the jury began deliberations.)
18	
19	THE COURT: Any objection to giving them
20	all the evidence from either side when they come
21	back, in case they request any?
22	MR. GUTIERREZ: No.
23	MR. CANTU: No, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Harris, the Court wants to
25	thank you for narticinating as an alternate, and

1	you are free to go at this time, and I have an
2	excuse here for you if you need it. Thanks
3	again.
4	
5	(Whereupon the jury was seated in the jury
6	box.)
7	
8	THE COURT: Mr. Raby, if you will remain
9	standing.
10	Ladies and Gentlemen, have you reached a
11	verdict?
12	THE FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor, we have.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Foreperson, if you will
14	give your verdict to the bailiff, please.
15	MR. FOREPERSON: (Complies.)
16	THE COURT: "We, the Jury, find the
17	Defendant, Charles Douglas Raby, guilty of
18	capital murder, as charged in the indictment."
19	Signed David Gibson, Foreperson of the Jury.
20	Ladies and Gentlemen, if this is your
21	individual verdict, will you indicate by saying
22	aye?
23	(Whereupon the jury answered in the
24	affirmative.)
25	THE COURT. Does either side wish to have

1	the jury	individu	ally po	olled?			
2	MR. C	ANTU:	Yes, Yo	our Hor	nor.		
3	THE C	OURT:	Ladies	and Ge	entlemen,	as	your
4	names are	called	individ	dually,	if this	is	your
5	verdict, w	ill you	indica	ate by	saying a	aye?	
6	(Wher	eupon tl	he jury	was i	ndividua	lly	
7	polled.)						
8	THE C	OURT:	Is the	State	and Def	ense	ready
9	to proceed	on the	punish	nment p	hase of	the	trial?
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
1 THE STATE OF TEXAS *
   COUNTY
           OF
                HARRIS *
 3
 4
                I; GINA BENCH, Certified Court
 5 Reporter for the 248th District Court of Harris
 6 County, Texas, do hereby certify that the
 7 foregoing pages of typewritten material contain
 8 a true and correct transcript of all evidence
 9 adduced and admitted at the STATEMENT OF FACTS
10 in the case shown in the caption hereof; that I
11 was present in open court and reported said
12 testimony in shorthand, and that later I
13 transcribed same into typewriting.
14
           IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness my
15
  official signature on this the
16
                        1994.
17
18
19
                       GINA BENCH
                       Certified Court Reporter
20
                       248th District Court
                       Harris County, Texas
21
22
  Certification Number:
                          221
   Certification Expires: 12-31-94
23 Business Address:
                          248th District Court
                        301 San Jacinto
24
                      Houston, Texas
                                       77002
   Telephone Number:
                        __ (713) 755-7094
25
```

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

: IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
:
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY : 248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Pursuant to the authority of Article 28.01 and 39.14, V.A.C.C.P., comes the Defendant, CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY, by and through Defendant's attorneys of record, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to order the District Attorney to produce and/or allow defense counsel to inspect and copy and/or photograph the following items in which are in the possession and/or within the knowledge of the State of Texas or an agency thereof:

STATEMENTS BY DEFENDANT

1	 Any statement, including Grand Jury testimony, made by Defendant
to the police,	District Attorney, or any of his employees, any law enforcement officials,
State agency,	or any private citizen within the knowledge of the police or the District
Attorney, or a	ny of his employees, any law enforcement official or State agency, whether
under arrest o	r not, or whether written or oral.

GRANTED	DENIED

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION, Page 1

(Al alaph)

EXCULPATORY/INCULPATORY STATEMENTS

2.	Any and all exculpatory or inculpatory written and/or oral statements,
confessions or adr	issions (whether or not reduced to writing)presently in the possession,
custody or under	ne control of the State, its agents, or agencies, made by the Defendant
to any witness or	erson the State intends to call to testify in this cause of action.
GRANTED	DENIED
	PHOTOGRAPHS
3.	Any photographs, drawings or charts made by the police, the District
Attorney's Office	r anyone else which were made with references to this case, which are
in the possession of	the police, District Attorney, law enforcement official, State agency or
private citizen wit	in the knowledge of the police, District Attorney, any of his employees,
any law enforcement	nt official, State agency, or employee or representative of same.
GRANTED	DENIED
	SCENE PHOTOGRAPHS
4.	Any and all photographs of the scene that relate to the alleged offense,
including but not	limited to the interior and exterior of the premises, appurtenances
thereto, the curtila	e, the street, or surrounding vicinity, including the names and addresses
of the individuals	ho took said photographs and the date said photographs were taken.
GRANTED	DENIED

PHOTOGRAPHS OF COMPLAINANT

5.	Any and all photographs taken of the complainant by or at the reques
of, or within the kn	lowledge of the police, District Attorney or any if his employees, any law
enforcement officia	al, State agency or agents thereof.
GRANTED	DENIED
	PHOTOGRAPHS OF DEFENDANT
6.	Any and all photographs which may have been made of the Defendant
while in custody an	d control of the police, District Attorney, their employees, or an agency
of the State of Texa	as.
GRANTED	DENIED
	IDENTIFICATION

- 7. Information regarding the identification of the Defendant whether by photographs, films, line-ups, or show-ups as follows:
 - a. Names and addresses of persons identifying the Defendant, specifying the crime for which the Defendant was identified and the corresponding date of the identification and the date of the alleged offense for which the Defendant was identified;
 - b. Photographs used in any photographic identification;
 - Identify and description of persons participating in any and all line-ups or show-ups with the Defendant;
 - d. The names and their particular participation of all officers conducting any and all line-ups or show-ups in which the Defendant was placed for the purpose of identification wherein the Defendant was identified, the corresponding offense and date said offense for which Defendant was identified;

- The dates, times and locations of any and all line-ups or show-ups which were conducted wherein the Defendant was identified; and
- f. Any and all waivers of Defendant's right to have counsel present at any lineup or show-up which were signed by the Defendant.

GRANTED	DENIED
---------	--------

WITNESSES-INJURIES

8. The names and addresses of any and all persons relating to or connected with the making of any notes, medical reports or other reports of the complainant's alleged injuries that allegedly resulted from this offense and this is to include any statements made by any complainant to, or in the presence of, any such person in connection with said injuries or the occurrence of the alleged offense.

connection with said injuries or the occurre	nce of the alleged offense.
GRANTED	DENIED

EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE

- 9. Any and all favorable evidence which is in the possession, custody, or control of the State, or investigating body of the State of Texas, or any police department or any of their agencies including, but not limited to the following:
 - Any prior inconsistent statements of witnesses for the State which are favorable to Defendant or are exculpatory in nature regarding any alleged offense by the Defendant;
 - The names and addresses of any eyewitnesses to the offenses alleged which are favorable to the Defendant or are exculpatory in nature;
 - Failure of any witness to identify Defendant either from photographs, films, or in person while in a line-up or show-up; and

d.	Results of any scientific tests conducted which are favorable to the Defendant or exculpatory in nature including, but not limited to ballistic tests or fingerprints at the site of the offense or on other tangible evidence.
GRANTED	DENIED
	REAL EVIDENCE
	10. Any papers, objects or real evidence that is in the possession of the
police, the D	sistrict Attorney's Office or their employees or State agencies which may in any
way be mate	erial to the guilt or innocence of this Defendant.
GRANTED	DENIED
	SCIENTIFIC TEST RESULTS
	11. Any written report of any test that is a biological, microscopic or
scientific ana	alysis of any items which was conducted pursuant to the investigation of the
instant case r	regardless of whether said test was prepared or conducted at the request of any
law enforcem	nent official, by the State of Texas or its agents, State agency or any private
citizen, within	n the knowledge of the police or the District Attorney, or any of his employees,
together with	any descriptions, test dates, and any determinations as well as the name and
address of the	e individuals who conducted such tests or analysis.
GRANTED _	DENIED
	TEST ON COMPLAINANT
	12. The results of any and all blood tests, electrocardiogram, chemical, or
other medical	or biological tests run on complainant and/or deceased by whomsoever made.
GRANTED _	DENIED
MOTION FO	R DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION, Page 5
ese w	The Meties for test lesult
1 they	with held A.

EVIDENCE OF SCENE

13. Any and all tests, records, diagrams, charts, or written reports relating
to the actual scene of the alleged offense, e.g., diagrams of where any complainant was or
where any person was allegedly located at the time of the alleged offense.
GRANTED DENIED
POLICE INFORMATION
14. The names, rank and badge number of all police officers of the State
or County law enforcement agents and all employees of the Criminal District Attorney who
participated in any way in the investigation of this case, whether at the scene, the police
station, county jail or elsewhere.
GRANTED DENIED
ETALC ETA DE LA PE
<u>FINGERPRINT</u>
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown.
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown. GRANTED
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown. GRANTED
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown. GRANTED
15. Any and all fingerprint impressions obtained by whatever means and process from the scene of the alleged offense in question, found as a result of the investigation of this offense, whether such fingerprints were fingerprints of the Defendant or were fingerprints from some other person or persons known or unknown. GRANTED

WEAPON

17. The weapon or weapons which the State of Texas alleged or may allege
was or were used in the commission of the alleged offense.
GRANTED DENIED
EVIDENCE FROM DEFENDANT
18. Any and all objects of evidence the State intends to use which was
found on Defendant's person at the time of his apprehension or arrest.
GRANTED DENIED
19. At least five (5) days prior to trial the "pen packets" which will be
relied on in order to enhance this Defendant, if any. This is necessary so that defense
counsel may have adequate time to review these documents which will be the basis of the
State's case on punishment, if any.
As a basis for this Motion, the Defendant states that the objects requested are
vital and material to the issue of the Defendant's innocence for the following reasons:
That the items requested are material to the issue of Defendant's attorney to render effective counsel as is guaranteed to the Defendant by the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America and by Sections 10, 19 and 29 of Article I of the Constitution of the State of Texas and are needed in order that the Defendant may be informed of the nature and causes of the accusation against Defendant.
This Motion is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.
WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant respectfully prays
that this Honorable Court order:

- (1) The District Attorney to permit the Defendant to inspect, copy or photograph the above set out tangible objects prior to the trial in this cause which are in the possession or subject to the control of the State of Texas or any agency thereof pursuant to Articles 39.14, 28.01, 1.03, 1.04 and 1.05 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, Article I, Sections 10 and 19 of the Constitution of the State of Texas and pursuant to the Defendant's right to due process of the law, the effective assistance of Counsel as guaranteed to Defendant by the Fourteenth and Sixth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States respectively;
- (2) That a timely hearing on said Motion be had;
- (3) That an "in camera" inspection of all evidence sought to be discovered but withheld by the prosecution be had;
- (4) That an inquiry be made of the prosecutors and agents of the State of Texas to determine the extent of compliance with any discovery that is Ordered by this Honorable Court; and
- (5) That any and all evidence requested but not Ordered subject to discovery by this Honorable Court be included in the Appellate record of this cause for review by the Appellate Court; and for any and all further relief to which this Court may deem the Defendant entitled.

Respectfully submitted.

FELIX CANTU

State Bar No. 03767300 848 Heights Blvd.

Suite 202

Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone: (713)868-0968

By:

MICHAEL P. FOSHER State Bar No. 07280300 440 Louisiana Street Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713)221-1810

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of same this the χ day of χ , 199 χ .

FELIX CANTU

NO. 9407130

X8/13/9574

THE STATE OF TEXAS IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF VS. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY 248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF EVIDENCE MAY 1 9 1994 On this ___ day of __ _____, 199___, came on to be heard the Defendant's Motion For Discovery And Inspection of Evidence, and after due consideration, the Court is of the opinion, and it is hereby ORDERED, that said Motion is: GRANTED as to item numbers DENIED as to item numbers SIGNED this the ___ day of ____ Nove 1 9 1000 RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic ORDER FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION, Page 1 recordation; and/or alterations were Here the judge scantul my flere the judge scantul my flow held.
Motion, get it was still with held.
That is a vislation blady V. nevery land

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS :

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

VS.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY, Defendant, and files this Motion for Discovery and Inspection of Alleged Deadly Weapon, and in support thereof would show the following:

I.

The Defendant stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder.

II.

Counsel for the Defendant seeks permission to be allowed to inspect and subject to analysis the alleged deadly weapon.

III.

Without the requested inspection of the alleged deadly weapon, defense counsel will not be able to provide the Defendant with the effective assistance of counsel as

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON, Page 1

DW 958

guaranteed under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution of the State of Texas, in order to develop and prepare a defense to the allegations herein.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant requests that this Motion be granted and that Defendant's counsel have permission to inspect and subject to analysis the alleged deadly weapon.

Respectfully submitted,

FELDX-CANTU

State Bar No. 03767300

848 Heights Blvd.

Suite 202

Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone: (713)868-0968

State Bar No. 07280300 440 Louisiana Street

Suite 2100

Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713)221-1810

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON, Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

FELIX CANTU

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON, Page 3

- AB/13/952a

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

.: IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

vs.

: HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S

: CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

: 248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON

		YES 19 1964				
	On this the d	ay of	, 199	, came on to be		
heard the D	efendant's Motion fo	r Discovery and I	nspection of Alleged I	Deadly Weapon, and		
after due co	nsideration, the Cou	rt is of the opinio	on, and it is hereby O	RDERED, that said		
Motion is:						
	X	GRANTED				
		DENIED, to w	hich ruling Defendan	t timely excepts.		
	SIGNED this the		MAY 1 9 1994			
		N	Donean			

JUDGE PRESIDING

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recordation; and/or alterations were present at the time of filming.

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION OF ALLEGED DEADLY WEAPON, Page 1



~ 0\8/13/978)

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

vs.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY, Defendant in the above cause, by and through Defendant's attorneys, and presents this Motion to Present Written Questions to Jury Panel, and in support thereof respectfully show the Court as follows:

I.

The Defendant stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder and will be allowed to conduct individual voir dire on each jury panel member. In order to conduct this individual voir dire in the most complete manner but also to avoid lengthy and repetitious questioning, the Defendant has prepared a list of written questions that Defendant would ask each and every jury panel member. By allowing the panel to fill out these questions prior to their individual examination, the Court could save valuable court time and still provide the Defendant and the State with the information essential to a Defendant in a capital murder case. The questions are attached hereto and made a part of this Motion.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL, Page 1 To deny this information to the Defendant would be to deny Defendant's rights to a fair and impartial jury as guaranteed by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 10 of the Texas Constitution.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that this Court allow Defendant to submit the attached written questions to the jury panel prior to their individual voir dire.

Respectfully submitted,

FELIX CANTU

State Bar No. 03767300

848 Heights Blvd.

Suite 202

Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone: (713)868-0968

By:

MICHAEL P. FOSHER State Bar No. 07280300 440 Louisiana Street

Suite 2100

Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713)221-1810

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT
WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO HIPY BANK

WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL, Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of same this the day of day of 1994.

FELIX CANTU

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL, Page 3

- XB/13/9662

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL

	On this day of	1'	∾ 1 9 1904	, 199_	, came on to	be heard
the Defenda	ant's Motion to Pre	esent Writter	n Questions	to Jury	Panel, and a	fter due
consideratio	n, the Court is of th	e opinion, ar	nd it is here	by ORDI	ERED, that said	d Motion
is:	6					
		GRANTEI)			
		DENIED,	to which ru	ling Defe	ndant timely ex	cepts.
	SIGNED this the _	day of	MAY 1	9 1994	, 199	

JUDGE PRESIDING

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recordation; and/or alterations were present at the time of filming.

MB

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRESENT WRITTEN QUESTIONS TO JURY PANEL, Page 1

V1230 P038

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

VS.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY

248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY, Defendant in the aboveentitled and numbered cause, by and through Defendant's attorneys, and requests that the Court compel the State to disclose any and all evidence favorable to the Defendant including the following:

- 1. Any and all evidence showing the Defendant's lack of culpability; and
- 2. Any and all evidence reflecting on the issue of punishment.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Defendant prays and requests that the Court grant Items 1 and 2.

Respectfully submitted,

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recordation; and/or alterations were present at the time of filming.

EELIX CANTU

State Bar No. 03767300 848 Heights Blvd.

Suite 202

Houston, Texas 77007 Telephone: (713)868-0968

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recordation; and/or are attions were present at the time of filming.

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO DEFENDANT, Page 1 V1230 P.0363

By:

MICHAEL P. FOSHER State Bar No. 07280300 440 Louisiana Street **Suite 2100**

Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713)221-1810

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been furnished to counsel for the State by hand-delivery of a copy of same this the L day of _

FELIX CANTU

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO DEFENDANT, Page 2

- PB /13/979a

NO. 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

VS. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHARLES DOUGLAS RABY 248TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT
On this the day of, 199, came on
to be heard the Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Evidence Favorable to the
Defendant, and after due consideration, the Court is of the opinion, and it is hereby
ORDERED that: Item 1 is hereby: GRANTED
DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts. Item 2 is hereby: GRANTED DENIED, to which ruling Defendant timely excepts.
SIGNED this the day of, 199
JUDGE PRESIDING

ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO DEFENDANT, Page 1

- XB/14/95/ E

CAUSE NUMBER 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

IN THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

OF

CHARLES D. RABY

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

STATE'S MOTION TO HAVE CLERK MAKE ENTRY OF AFFIRMATIVE FINDING OF DEADLY WEAPON

Comes now the State of Texas by and through her undersigned Assistant District Attorney, Roberto Gutierrez, and asks the court to note that the State is alleging in its indictment that the defendant in the above styled and numbered cause used a deadly weapon in the commission of this offense. As such the defendant and his/her attorney have been given notice of the State's intent to seek an affirmative finding of a deadly weapon.

The State respectfully asks the court to instruct the clerk through the signing of the attached order, that in the event that the jury finds the defendant guilt "as alleged in the indictment", or in the alternative, in the event that the defendant is found guilty of a lesser included offense and a special issue is submitted to the jury regarding the use of a deadly weapon by this defendant and the jury returns a finding that the defendant did use and/or exhibit a deadly weapon during the course of the offense, that the clerk is ORDERED to make an entry in the judgment of the above styled and numbered cause that there has been a finding that the defendant did in fact use a deadly weapon.

This request is made in the interest of justice, to ensure that an entry of a deadly weapon be entered in the judgment if such a finding is made by a fact finder, and to avoid the necessity of a judgment nunc pro tunc in the future.

Respectfully submitted

Roberto Gutierrez

Assistant District Attorney

Harris County, Texas

FATERINE TYRA
District Clerk

MAY 1 6 1994
Time:
Herris County Teams
By Deputy

- XB/13/9564

CAUSE NUMBER 9407130

THE STATE OF TEXAS

. IN THE 248TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

OF

CHARLES D. RABY

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER ON STATE'S MOTION TO HAVE CLERK MAKE ENTRY OF AFFIRMATIVE FINDING OF DEADLY WEAPON

Having read the foregoing STATE'S MOTION TO HAVE CLERK MAKE ENTRY OF AFFIRMATIVE FINDING OF DEADLY WEAPON, which is hereto attached and hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes, it is the order of this court that the State's Motion is HEREBY (GRANTED) (DENIED).

Accordingly, it is the ORDER of this Court that, in the event that the fact finder (jurdge or jury) make a finding of "guilty, as alleged in the indictment", or in the alternative, if a the jury finds the defendant guilty of a lesser included offense, a special issue is submitted to a jury on the issue of a deadly weapon, and the jury makes an affirmative finding of such, that the Clerk of this court make an entry in the judgement of the above styled and numbered cause, towit: that the defendant did in fact use a deadly weapon during the commission of the offense for which he has been convicted.

RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: This instrument is of poor quality and not satisfactory for photographic recordation; and/or alterations were present at the time of filming. /1230 P039

MAY 1 9 1984

WDoncon

Honorable Woody Densen Presiding Judge 248th District Court Harris County, Texas

V1230 P0396

I, Roberto Gutierrez, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was HAND DELIVERED to the defendant's attorneys of record, Felix Cantu and Michael Fosher on May 16, 1994.

Roberto Gutierrez

Assistant District Attorney

I, Roberto Gutierrez, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was FAXED to the defendant's attorneys of record, Felix Cantu at Fax # 802-0274

and Michael Fosher at Fax # 237 1408 on May 16, 1994

Roberto Gutjerrez

Assistant District Attorney

e ar ill.